Street, Suite 1100, Los Angeles, CA 90071, (213) 689–1300

Randolph J. Hill, Esq., Vice President and Secretary, Ida-West Acquisition Company, 1199 Shoreline Lane, Suite 310, Boise, ID 83702, (208) 336–4254.

- i. FERC Contact: David Cagnon, (202) 219–2693.
 - j. Comment Date: October 9, 1996.
- k. Description of Transfer: The transfer of license is being sought in connection with the sale of the project from Highland Hydro Construction, Inc. to Snow Mountain Hydro LLC.

l. This notice also consists of the following standard paragraphs: B, C2, and D2.

- B. Comments, Protests, or Motions to Intervene—Anyone may submit comments, a protest, or a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.210, .211, .214. In determining the appropriate action to take, the Commission will consider all protest or other comments filed, but only those who file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules may become a party to the proceeding. Any comments, protests, or motions to intervene must be received on or before the specified comment date for the particular application.
- C2. Filing and Service of Responsive Documents—Any filings must bear in all capital letters the title "COMMENTS."
- "RECOMMENDATIONS FOR TERMS AND CONDITIONS," "NOTICE OF INTENT TO FILE COMPETING APPLICATION," "COMPETING APPLICATION," "PROTEST," or "MOTION TO INTERVENE," as applicable, and the Project Number of the particular application to which the filing refers. Any of these documents must be filed by providing the original and the number of copies provided by the Commission's regulations to: The Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426. A copy of a notice of intent, competing application, or motion to intervene must also be served upon each representative of the Applicant specified in the particular application.

D2. Agency Comments—Federal, state, and local agencies are invited to file comments on the described application. A copy of the application may be obtained by agencies directly from the Applicant. If an agency does not file comments within the time specified for filing comments, it will be presumed to have no comments. One copy of an agency's comments must also

be sent to the Applicant's representatives.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-24090 Filed 9-19-96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

Notice of Transfer of License

September 16, 1996.

Take notice that the following hydroelectric application has been filed with the Commission and is available for public inspection:

- a. Type of Application: Transfer of License.
 - b. Project No.: 8357-022.
 - c. Date Filed: September 4, 1996.
- d. Applicant: Highland Hydro Construction, Inc., Snow Mountain Hydro LLC.
- e. Project Name: Ponderosa/Bailey Project.
- f. Location: Bailey Creek in Shasta County, CA.
- g. Filed Pursuant to: Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. 791(a)–825(r).
 - h. Applicant Contact:

Anthony R. Callobre, Esq, Kelley Drye & Warren LLP, 515 South Flower Street, Suite 1100, Los Angeles, CA 90071, (213) 689–1300

Randolph J. Hill, Esq., Vice President and Secretary, Ida-West Acquisition Company, 1199 Shoreline Lane, Suite 310, Boise, ID 83702, (208) 336–4254

- i. FERC Contact: David Cagnon, (202) 219–2693.
- j. Comment Date: October 9, 1996.
- k. Description of Transfer: The transfer of license is being sought in connection with the sale of the project from Highland Hydro Construction, Inc. to Snow Mountain Hydro LLC.
- l. This notice also consists of the following standard paragraphs: B, C2, and D2.
- B. Comments, Protests, or Motions to Intervene—Anyone may submit comments, a protest, or a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.210, .211, .214. In determining the appropriate action to take, the Commission will consider all protests or other comments filed, but only those who file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules may become a party to the proceeding. Any comments, protests, or motions to intervene must be received on or before the specified comment date for the particular application.

C2. Filing and Service of Responsive Documents—Any filings must bear in all capital letters the title

"COMMENTS."

"RECOMMENDATIONS FOR TERMS AND CONDITIONS," "NOTICE OF INTENT TO FILE COMPETING APPLICATION," "COMPETING APPLICATION," "PROTEST," or "MOTION TO INTERVENE," as applicable, and the Project Number of the particular application to which the filing refers. Any of these documents must be filed by providing the original and the number of copies provided by the Commission's regulations to: The Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426. A copy of a notice of intent, competing application, or motion to intervene must also be served upon each representative of the Applicant specified in the particular application.

D2. Agency Comments—Federal, state, and local agencies are invited to file comments on the described application. A copy of the application may be obtained by agencies directly from the Applicant. If an agency does not file comments within the time specified for filing comments, it will be presumed to have no comments. One copy of an agency's comments must also be sent to the Applicant's representatives.

representatives. Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–24091 Filed 9–19–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[Docket No. CP96-769-000, et al.]

Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation, et al.; Natural Gas Certificate Filings

September 13, 1996.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation

[Docket No. CP96-769-000]

Take notice that on September 5, 1996, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation (TGPL), P.O. Box 1396, Houston, Texas 77251, filed in Docket No. CP96–769–000 an application pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act for permission and approval to abandon the Luby and Petronilla Lateral Facilities in Nueces County, Texas, which was authorized in Docket Nos. G–2075, CP80–89, and CP78–541, all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Specifically, TGPL proposes to abandon by sale to Corpus Christi Transmission Company, L.P. (CCTC), the Petronilla-Shield-Luby Line; the Luby Transmission Purchase Lateral; the Texas Eastern Pemex-Petronilla Transmission Purchase Line; the Sun-Luby Lateral; and the following three metering stations: the Sun-Luby M&R, the Texas Eastern Pemex-Petronilla Exchange M&R, and the Luby M&R. TGPL proposes to transfer these facilities, collectively referred to as the "Luby and Petronilla Lateral Facilities", at net book value, which was \$122,537 as of August 31, 1996.

Comment date: October 4, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

2. Coastal States Gas Transmission Company

[Docket No. CP96-770-000]

Take notice that on September 5, 1996, Coastal States Gas Transmission Company (CSGTC), Nine Greenway Plaza, Houston, Texas, 77046, pursuant to Executive Order No. 10485 (18 Fed. Reg. 5397 (1953)), Section 3 of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) (15 U.S.C. § 717b) and Part 153 of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (Commission) regulations, filed an application requesting a Presidential Permit and authorizations under Section 3 of the NGA to site, construct, operate, and maintain natural gas pipeline facilities at the International Boundary between the United States and the Republic of Mexico.

CSGTC proposes to construct a border facility consisting of approximately 650 feet of 24-inch O.D. pipe near the City of Roma, Texas on the United States side of the border which is proximate to Ciudad Miguel Aleman in the State of Tamaulipas on the Mexican side of the border.

Comment date: October 4, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

3. Williston Basin Interstate Pipeline Company v. Natural Gas Processing Co.

[Docket No. CP96-771-000]

Take notice that on September 5, 1996, Williston Basin Interstate Pipeline Company (Williston), 200 North Third Street, Bismarck, North Dakota 58501, filed with the Commission in Docket No. CP96-771-000 a complaint against Natural Gas Processing Co. (NGP), 101 Division Street, Worland, Wyoming 84201. Williston states that NGP is a vertically integrated natural gas enterprise engaged in the production, gathering, processing, transmission, and distribution of natural gas. Williston claims that NGP owns and operates natural gas transmission facilities subject to the jurisdiction of the

Commission under the Natural Gas Act (NGA) without certificate or rate authority.¹

Williston also claims that NGP is about to commence construction of the Graybull transmission line for the purpose of transporting interstate natural gas supplies from Colorado Interstate Gas Company's (CIG) Gooseberry Creek measuring station to the distribution system of Wyoming Gas Company, a Division of NGP, in Basin and Greybull, Wyoming without applying for and obtaining certificate and rate authorization from the Commission under the NGA.

Williston requests that the Commission (1) find that NGP is a ''natural-gas company'' as defined in section 2(6) of the NGA; (2) find that NGP's construction, ownership and operation of facilities subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission without obtaining prior certificate and rate approvals from the Commission constitutes violations of the NGA; and (3) take immediate enforcement action to enjoin NGP's violations of the NGA. Williston further states that if the Commission is unable promptly to enjoin NGP from the violation on the basis of the pleadings, Williston requests that an evidentiary hearing be held on an expedited basis to support a decision in this matter.

Comment date: October 15, 1996, in accordance with the first paragraph of Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice. Answers to the complaint shall be due on or before October 15, 1996.

4. K N Interstate Gas Transmission Company

[Docket No. CP96-778-000]

Take notice that on September 10, 1996, K N Interstate Gas Transmission Company (K N Interstate), 370 Van Gordon Street, P.O. Box 281304, Lakewood, Colorado 80228-8304 filed in Docket No. CP96-778-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.212) for approval and permission to install and operate six new points of delivery for K N Energy, Inc. (K N) for resale to various customers by K N, under the blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP89-1043–000, pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA), all as more fully set forth in the request which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

K N Interstate states that it proposes to install six new points of delivery in the states of Nebraska and Colorado. K N Interstate asserts that the total volumes of gas to be delivered at the proposed delivery points will be within the current maximum delivery quantities set forth in its transportation service agreement with K N. K N Interstate indicates that the proposed delivery points are not prohibited by its tariff and that the addition of the proposed delivery points will not adversely affect any of its customers.

Comment date: October 28, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

5. K N Interstate Gas Transmission Company

[Docket No. CP96-779-000]

Take notice that on September 10, 1996, K N Interstate Gas Transmission Co. (K N Interstate), P.O. Box 281304, Lakewood, Colorado 88228, filed in Docket No. CP96-779-000, an abbreviated application pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act, and Part 157 of the Commission's Regulations for an order permitting and approving the abandonment, by sale, of approximately 21.9 miles of 16-inch pipeline known as the Aledo East Extension facilities which are located in the State of Oklahoma and comprise a segment of K N Interstate's Buffalo Wallow System, K N Interstate states that the facilities, as a result of a series of transactions, will eventually be transferred to, and owned by, ONG Transmission Company, an intrastate pipeline company. K N Interstate also requests that the Commission declare that the Aledo East Extension facilities will be nonjurisdictional upon abandonment by sale, and the companies to which the facilities will be transferred will not be subject to the Commission's jurisdiction as a result of the contemplated transaction; all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Comment date: October 4, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraphs

F. Any person desiring to be heard or make any protest with reference to said filing should on or before the comment date file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214) and the Regulations under the

¹ Williston indicates that NGP also owns and operates various production, gathering and processing facilities which are not material to the activities which are the subject of this Complaint.

Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this filing if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for the applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

G. Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after the issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefore, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act. Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary. [FR Doc. 96

[FR Doc. 96–24128 Filed 9–19–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

Office of Hearings and Appeals

Notice of Issuance of Decisions and Orders From the Week of March 18 Through March 22, 1996

During the week of March 18 through March 22, 1996, the decisions and

orders summarized below were issued with respect to appeals, applications, petitions, or other requests filed with the Office of Hearings and Appeals of the Department of Energy. The following summary also contains a list of submissions that were dismissed by the Office of Hearings and Appeals.

Copies of the full text of these decisions and orders are available in the Public Reference Room of the Office of Hearings and Appeals, Room 1E-234, Forrestal Building, 1000 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20585-0107, Monday through Friday, between the hours of 1:00 p.m. and 5:00 p.m., except federal holidays. They are also available in Energy Management: Federal Energy Guidelines, a commercially published loose leaf reporter system. Some decisions and orders are available on the Office of Hearings and Appeals World Wide Web site at http://www.oha.doe.gov.

Dated: September 10, 1996.

George B. Breznay,

Director, Office of Hearings and Appeals.

Decision List No. 964—Week of March 18 through March 22, 1996

Appeals

Esther Samra, 3/21/96, VFA-0051

Esther Samra (Samra) filed an Appeal from a determination issued to her by the Albuquerque Operations Office (DOE/AL) of the Department of Energy (DOE). In her Appeal, Samra asserted that DOE/AL improperly withheld as classified a photograph she requested pursuant to the FOIA. The DOE determined that the photograph was properly classified since it contained nuclear weapon design features and was thus properly withheld pursuant to Exemption 3 of the FOIA. Consequently, Samra's Appeal was denied.

Gilberte R. Brashear, 3/21/96, VFA-0136

Mrs. Gilberte R. Brashear filed an Appeal from a determination issued to her on January 31, 1996, by the FOIA Officer of the Oak Ridge Operations Office of the Department of Energy (DOE). In that determination, the FOIA Officer stated that she did not find any documents responsive to the appellant's information request under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). In considering the Appeal, the DOE confirmed that the FOIA Officer followed procedures reasonably calculated to uncover the requested information. Accordingly, the DOE denied the appellant's request. The News Tribune, 3/21/96, VFA-0111

The News Tribune filed an Appeal from a determination issued to it by the Bonneville Power Administration (BPA)

of the Department of Energy (DOE) in response to a Request for Information submitted under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). In considering the Appeal, the DOE found that the BPA properly withheld under Exemption 6 the home addresses of property owners to whom the BPA had written letters requesting the removal of items encumbering BPA easements on the addresses' land. In particular, the DOE found that there was substantial privacy interest in home addresses and there was no FOIA public interest, as defined by the Supreme Court, that would be served by release of the home addresses. However, because the DOE's practice is to release business addresses, the matter was remanded to BPA to ascertain and release business locations. The DOE also determined that the addressees in this case had no privacy interest justifying withholding of their names because there is no privacy interest in land ownership, in the fact of government contract, or in the name itself. In addition, to the extent that the properties are not home locations, the DOE determined that, in this case, there was no privacy interest in what was occurring on the land because the BPA did not allege that the property owners knew of or caused the encumbrances prior to the receipt of the letters. Accordingly, the Appeal was denied in part, granted in part, and remanded to BPA to release business addresses and the names of the addressees unless the properties are their residence or some other privacy interest is identified.

Personnel Security Hearing

Oakridge Operations Office, 3/12/96, VSO-0074

An Office of Hearings and Appeals Hearing Officer issued an opinion addressing the continued eligibility of an individual for access authorization under the provisions of 10 C.F.R. Part 710. After considering the record of the proceeding in view of the standards set forth in Part 710, the Hearing Officer found that the individual had used an illegal drug and lied to the Department of Energy when confronted with the results of a positive drug test. The Hearing Officer also found that the individual had not mitigated the security concerns raised by these actions. Accordingly, the Hearing Officer's opinion recommended that the individual's access authorization not be restored.

Refund Application

Texaco Inc./California Target Supply, Inc., 3/18/96, RF321–20877