

Paragraph 6005 Class E airspace areas extending upward from 700 feet or more above the surface of the earth.

\* \* \* \* \*

AWP CA E5 Murrieta/Temecula, CA [New]

French Valley Airport, CA  
(Lat. 33°34'34" N, long. 117°07'41" W)

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 6.4-mile radius of the French Valley Airport.

\* \* \* \* \*

Issued in Los Angeles, California, on September 3, 1996.

James H. Snow,

Acting Manager, Air Traffic Division,  
Western-Pacific Region.

[FR Doc. 96-23811 Filed 9-16-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

**Minerals Management Service**

**30 CFR Part 206**

RIN 1010-AC06

**Amendments to Transportation Allowance Regulations for Federal and Indian Leases to Specify Allowable Costs and Related Amendments to Gas Valuation Regulations**

**AGENCY:** Minerals Management Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; notice of extension of public comment period.

**SUMMARY:** The Minerals Management Service (MMS) hereby gives notice that it is extending the public comment period on a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, which was published in the Federal Register on July 31, 1996 (61 FR 39931). The proposed rule would amend the regulations governing allowances for transportation of gas and clarify the methods by which gas royalties and deductions for gas transportation are calculated. In response to requests for additional time, MMS will extend the comment period from September 30, 1996, to October 30, 1996.

**DATES:** Comments must be received by 4 p.m. Mountain time on October 30, 1996.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments should be sent to the Minerals Management Service, P.O. Box 25165, Mail Stop 3101, Denver, Colorado 80225-0165; courier address: Building 85, Denver Federal Center, Denver, Colorado 80225-0165, Attention: David S. Guzy.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** David S. Guzy, Chief, Rules and Procedures Staff, telephone (303) 231-3432, fax (303) 231-3194, or e-Mail David—Guzy@smtp.mms.gov.

Dated: September 11, 1996.

James W. Shaw,

Associate Director for Royalty Management.

[FR Doc. 96-23756 Filed 9-16-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MR-P

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**Patent and Trademark Office**

**37 CFR Part 2**

[Docket No. 960828232-6232-01]

RIN 0651-AA90

**Recordal Fees Associated with the Fastener Quality Act**

**AGENCY:** Patent and Trademark Office, Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of Proposed Rulemaking.

**SUMMARY:** The Patent and Trademark Office (PTO) is proposing to establish fees associated with recordation of insignias of manufacturers and private label distributors to ensure the traceability of a fastener to its manufacturer or private label distributor. This proposal is in accordance with provisions of the Fastener Quality Act.

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted on or before October 17, 1996. No meeting will be held.

**ADDRESSES:** Address written comments to the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231, Attention: Lizbeth Kulick, Office of the Assistant Commissioner for Trademarks, 2900 Crystal Drive, Arlington, Va. 22202-3513 or by fax to (703) 308-7220.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Lizbeth Kulick by telephone at (703) 308-8900, or by fax at (703) 308-7220, or by mail marked to her attention and addressed to the Assistant Commissioner for Trademarks, 2900 Crystal Drive, Arlington, Va. 22202-3513.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Department of Commerce issued a notice of proposed rulemaking to implement the Fastener Quality Act. 57 FR 37032, Aug. 17, 1992. Under that notice, the task of recording fastener insignia was assigned to the PTO. 57 FR 37033-35, Aug. 17, 1992. That notice provided for recovery of insignia costs through user fees. 57 FR 37035-36, Aug. 17, 1992. The PTO proposes three twenty-dollar fees to recover its costs associated with the insignia recordation program.

**Cost Calculations**

The cost of processing an application for recordal of an insignia is as follows:  
Compensation and Benefits.....1,000

Hardware and Software Costs .....	4,500
Subtotal .....	5,500
General and Administrative Overhead @ 17% .....	950
Total Costs.....	6,450
Estimated Workload .....	300
Fee Amount.....	21.50
Rounded Fee .....	\$20

Two rules, 37 CFR §§ 2.53 and 2.189, are being removed because they are not necessary. Section 2.53 specifies the manner in which drawings must be transmitted. Section 2.189 simply states the Office's policy on publishing amendments to the rules. The policy is not changing, but does not have to be stated as a rule.

**Other Considerations**

It has determined that this rule is not significant for the purposes of Executive Order 12866. The information collections required by this proposed rule are pending approval before the Office of Management and Budget (OMB number 0651-0028). The affected public would be manufacturers and private label distributors of certain types of industrial fasteners. The estimated average number of responses is six hundred. The estimated time per response is ten minutes, so the estimated total annual burden is one hundred hours. The collected information is needed to ensure that a fastener can be traced to its manufacturer or private label distributor.

This proposed fee does not require notice and comment under 5 U.S.C. 553 or any other statute, so no analysis or certification is required under 5 U.S.C. 603(a).

**Lists of Subjects in 37 CFR Part 2**

Administrative practice and procedure, Courts, Lawyers, Trademarks.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, the PTO proposes to amend 37 CFR part 2 as set forth below.

**PART 2—RULES APPLICABLE TO TRADEMARK CASES**

1. The authority citation for part 2 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 15 U.S.C. 1123; 35 U.S.C. 6, unless otherwise noted.

2. Section 2.7 is added to read as follows:

**§ 2.7 Fastener Recordal Fees.**

- (a) Application fee for recordal of insignia.....\$20.00
- (b) Renewal of insignia recordal.....\$20.00

(c) Surcharge for late renewal of insignia recordal.....\$20.00

**§ 2.53 [Removed]**

3. Section 2.53 is removed.

**§ 2.189 [Removed]**

4. Section 2.189 is and the undesignated center heading "Amendment of Rules" are removed.

Dated: September 10, 1996.

Bruce A. Lehman,

*Assistant Secretary of Commerce and Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks.*

[FR Doc. 96-23666 Filed 9-16-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-16-P

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

**40 CFR Part 52**

[IA-005-1005; FRL-5611-3]

**Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans and Approval Under Section 112(1); State of Iowa**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of reopening public comment period.

**SUMMARY:** The EPA is providing notice that the public comment period for a notice of proposed rulemaking published July 29, 1996 (61 FR 39375), has been reopened until October 17, 1996. The July 29, 1996, action proposes to adopt certain revisions submitted by the state of Iowa to meet requirements of the Clean Air Act, and improve the state's permitting program and air quality. Comments on the proposal were due by August 28, 1996.

A number of persons have indicated that they desire more time to adequately address the issues contained in the proposed rule. The EPA has determined that additional time for comment is appropriate and is therefore reopening the comment period.

**DATES:** Comments are now due on or before October 17, 1996.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be mailed to Christopher D. Hess, Environmental Protection Agency, Air Planning and Development Branch, 726 Minnesota Avenue, Kansas City, Kansas 66101.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Christopher D. Hess at (913) 551-7213.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

Dated: September 9, 1996.

William Rice,

*Acting Regional Administrator.*

[FR Doc. 96-23790 Filed 9-16-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

**Office of the Secretary**

**43 CFR Part 36**

RIN 1093-AA07

**Transportation and Utility Systems In and Across, and Access Into, Conservation System Units in Alaska**

**AGENCY:** Office of the Secretary, Interior.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** This proposed rule would revise and simplify the regulatory definition of the term "economically feasible and prudent alternative route" as used in the review of proposed transportation and utility systems in Alaska under Title XI of the Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act (ANILCA).

**DATES:** Comments are requested by November 18, 1996.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments on the proposed regulations should be addressed to: Field Director, Alaska Field Office, National Park Service, 2525 Gambell Street, Room 107, Anchorage, AK 99503-2892.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** David A. Funk, Alaska Field Office, National Park Service, 2525 Gambell Street, Room 107, Anchorage, AK 99503-2892. Phone: (907) 257-2589.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

On December 2, 1980, the Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act (ANILCA) was signed into law as Public Law 96-487 (94 Stat. 2371, 16 U.S.C. 3101, et seq.). Title XI of ANILCA, which is entitled "Transportation and Utility Systems in and across, and Access into, Conservation System Units," established guidelines and procedures for submitting and processing applications for transportation and utility systems (TUS) in Alaska when any portion of the route of the system will be within any conservation system unit, national recreation area, or national conservation area. In addition, Title XI authorizes special access, temporary access, and access to inholdings.

On July 15, 1983, the Department of the Interior (Department) proposed comprehensive regulations to implement ANILCA Title XI on lands in Alaska under the jurisdiction of the National Park Service (NPS), U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS), and the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) (48 FR 32506). On September 4, 1986, the

Department published final Title XI regulations (51 FR 31619).

In early 1987, the Trustees for Alaska and other groups (Trustees) sued the Department to challenge the Title XI regulations as exceeding the authority granted to the Department by ANILCA. Parties intervening in the case included Arctic Slope Regional Council, the Alaska Miners Association, the Alaska Forest Association, and the Resource Development Council for Alaska, Inc. (The State of Alaska's Motion to Intervene on appeal is pending.) In Orders dated April 29, 1991, and March 16, 1993, the U.S. District Court for the District of Alaska granted summary judgment to the Department. The Trustees appealed the lower court's decision to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, which assigned the case to the Chief Circuit Mediator to explore whether possible revision of the Title XI regulations, then under consideration by the Department of the Interior, might provide a basis for settlement. Based on all the parties' oral stipulation of agreement, and with the State of Alaska's concurrence, the Chief Circuit Mediator entered a court order on August 30, 1996, dismissing the litigation on the basis of the Department's proposal of a single regulatory revision to the existing Title XI regulations. If, after consideration of comments received in response to today's proposed rulemaking, the Department decides to promulgate a final rule based on the language of the proposed rule, the Ninth Circuit Court will dismiss the Title XI appeal with prejudice.

The Department is today proposing one revision to the 1986 regulations in order to improve the regulations' workability and reduce the opportunities for delays in decision-making. The decision to propose this one revision follows substantial review and discussion with interested parties both within and outside the Department. Based on these discussions and the August 30, 1996 Court Order entered by the Ninth Circuit's Chief Mediator, the Department is hopeful that this rulemaking process will result in settlement of the longstanding litigation.

The Department is not proposing any other revisions of the Title XI regulations. Thus, for example, the 1986 regulations implementing the Title XI provisions concerning access to inholdings, special access, and temporary access remain intact. Also, the Department is not proposing any changes to the regulatory provisions governing access to subsistence resources under Title VIII of ANILCA