

volume; implementability; cost; State and community acceptance.

A Record of Decision (ROD) was signed on March 31, 1992, by U.S. EPA Regional Administrator, which selected Natural Attenuation, Maintenance, Site Access Restrictions, and Groundwater and Surface Water Monitoring. The MPCA concurred with the remedy selected in the ROD. The ROD concluded that due to site environmental characteristics, natural attenuation of low level contamination would occur through adsorption, biodegradation, physical/chemical degradation and dispersion. Groundwater and surface water monitoring were necessary to assess the quality of groundwater and surface water immediately downgradient from the landfill and evaluate the effectiveness of natural attenuation. Access restrictions and site maintenance were included to achieve the remedial objective of protecting human health and the environment from contact with landfill components.

Construction of the fence was completed on September 21, 1992. The Sampling and Analysis Plan (SAP) for monitoring the SARL groundwater and surface water and installation of one monitoring well were completed by September 1992.

Groundwater and surface water monitoring was conducted every two months during 1993. A revised monitoring schedule of quarterly monitoring was approved and implemented in 1994. Groundwater and surface water monitoring results, and a review of all data collected during the history of the SARL, confirm that natural attenuation has proven to be an effective remedial action at the site. Site access restrictions (fence) and site maintenance have proven effective in protecting human health and the environment from contact with landfill components.

The RI report was presented to the community in July 1990. The FS report and Proposed Plan were presented to the community in August 1991. These documents were available for public comment through the administrative record in two information repositories. The information repositories are maintained at the Southdale Public Library, Edina, MN, and at the Public Affairs Office located at the Minneapolis-St. Paul IAP Air Reserve Station.

A public meeting to explain the proposed remedial action was held on September 5, 1991. Representatives from the USAFR, U.S. EPA and MPCA answered questions about remedial activities at the site. One member of the

community attended. One comment was received from the MPCA public affairs officer regarding improvement of the USAFR community relations program. A Responsiveness Summary addressing the comment is attached to the ROD.

In 1994, USAFR re-initiated community relation activities for the purpose of forming a Restoration Advisory Board (RAB). The RAB was to consist of USAFR, U.S. EPA, MPCA, Technical Review Committee (TRC) members and the surrounding community. The purpose of the RAB is to enhance community relations at Department of Defense sites.

Notice of formation of the RAB was placed in local newspapers and flyers were sent out to the local community inviting community participation. At that time, there was no response from the community. Newspaper notices inviting community participation have been issued annually. Presently, there has been no response from the community.

How Twin Cities Reserve Air Force Base—SARL Meets NPL Deletion Criteria

Section 300.425(e) of the NCP provides that releases may be deleted from, or recategorized on the NPL where no further response is appropriate. U.S. EPA, in consultation with the State of Minnesota, has concluded that the Twin Cities Air Force Reserve Base, Small Arms Range Landfill, meets the following criteria for site deletion:

- (i) Responsible parties or other parties have implemented all appropriate response actions required; and
- (ii) All appropriate response under CERCLA has been implemented, and no further action by responsible parties is appropriate.

State Concurrence To Delete Twin Cities Reserve Air Force Base—SARL

The State of Minnesota concurred with the deletion of the SARL by letter dated August 28, 1996. U.S. EPA, in consultation with the State of Minnesota, has concluded that the SARL meets the following criteria for site deletion: (1) U.S. EPA and the State of Minnesota have implemented all appropriate response actions required; (2) All appropriate response under CERCLA has been implemented; and (3) the confirmation sampling conducted as follow up to the recommendations in the SARL 1994 Annual Report, verifies that the SARL poses no significant threat to public health or the environment and, therefore, taking of further remedial measures is not appropriate. U.S. EPA and the State of

Minnesota believe that the above listed criteria for deletion have been met.

Subsequently, U.S. EPA is proposing deletion of the Twin Cities Reserve Air Force Base—SARL from the NPL. Documents supporting this action are available at the local information repositories.

V. Conclusion

U.S. EPA has determined that all appropriate Fund-financed responses under CERCLA at the Twin Cities Air Force Reserve Base, Small Arms Range Landfill, Minneapolis-St. Paul International Airport site have been completed, and no further Superfund response is appropriate in order to provide protection of human health and the environment. Therefore, it is proposed that the SARL be deleted from the NPL.

Dated: August 29, 1996.

Jo Lynn Traub,

Acting Regional Administrator, U.S. EPA, Region V.

[FR Doc. 96-23518 Filed 9-13-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 73

[MM Docket No. 96-180; RM-8863]

Radio Broadcasting Services; Amargosa Valley, NV

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Commission requests comments on a petition filed by Amargosa Valley Broadcasters seeking the allotment of Channel 266A to Amargosa Valley, NV, as its first local aural transmission service. Channel 266A can be allotted to Amargosa Valley in compliance with the Commission's minimum distance separation requirements without the imposition of a site restriction, at coordinates 36-38-38 NL; 116-23-58 WL.

DATES: Comments must be filed on or before October 28, 1996, and reply comments on or before November 12, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, Washington, DC 20554. In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve the petitioner, or its counsel or consultant, as follows: Eric S. Kravetz, Esq., Brown Nietert & Kaufman, Chartered, 1920 N Street, NW., Suite 660, Washington, DC 20036 (Counsel to petitioner).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Leslie K. Shapiro, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 418-2180.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a synopsis of the Commission's *Notice of Proposed Rule Making*, MM Docket No. 96-180, adopted August 30, 1996, and released September 6, 1996. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Center (Room 239), 1919 M Street, NW., Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Services, Inc., (202) 857-3800, 2100 M Street, NW., Suite 140, Washington, DC 20037.

Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to this proceeding.

Members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all *ex parte* contact acts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this one, which involve channel allotments. See 47 CFR 1.1204(b) for rules governing permissible *ex parte* contacts.

For information regarding proper filing procedures for comments, see 47 CFR 1.415 and 1.420.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Radio broadcasting.

Federal Communications Commission.

John A. Karousos,

Chief, Allocations Branch, Policy and Rules Division, Mass Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 96-23622 Filed 9-13-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-F

47 CFR Part 73

[MM Docket No. 96-178; RM-8865]

Radio Broadcasting Services; Hollis, OK

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Commission requests comments on a petition filed by The Hollis Group to allot Channel 267C3 to Hollis, OK, as the community's first local aural transmission service. Channel 267C3 can be allotted to Hollis in compliance with the Commission's minimum distance separation requirements with a site restriction of 17.0 kilometers (10.5 miles) west, at coordinates 34-41-25 NL; 100-06-00 WL, to avoid a short-spacing to Station

KLAW, Channel 268C1, Lawton, OK. The Commission also proposes to delete vacant and unapplied-for Channel 223A from Hollis unless an expression of interest in use of the channel is submitted during the initial comment period in this proceeding.

DATES: Comments must be filed on or before October 28, 1996, and reply comments on or before November 12, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, Washington, DC 20554. In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve the petitioner, or its counsel or consultant, as follows: Lee J. Peltzman, Esq., Shainis & Peltzman, Chartered, 1901 L Street, NW., Suite 290, Washington, DC 20036 (Counsel to petitioner).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Leslie K. Shapiro, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 418-2180.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a synopsis of the Commission's *Notice of Proposed Rule Making*, MM Docket No. 96-178, adopted August 30, 1996, and released September 6, 1996. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Center (Room 239), 1919 M Street, NW., Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Services, Inc., (202) 857-3800, 2100 M Street, NW., Suite 140, Washington, DC 20037.

Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to this proceeding.

Members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all *ex parte* contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this one, which involve channel allotments. See 47 CFR 1.1204(b) for rules governing permissible *ex parte* contacts.

For information regarding proper filing procedures for comments, see 47 CFR 1.415 and 1.420.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Radio broadcasting.

Federal Communications Commission.

John A. Karousos,

Chief, Allocations Branch, Policy and Rules Division, Mass Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 96-23619 Filed 9-13-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-F

47 CFR Part 73

[MM Docket No. 96-179, RM-8859]

Radio Broadcasting Services; Sunburg, MN

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: This document requests comments on a petition filed by Lac Qui Parle Broadcasting Company, Inc., proposing the allotment of Channel 293A to Sunburg, Minnesota, as that community's first local service. Channel 293A can be allotted to Sunburg without a site restriction at coordinates 45-20-54 and 95-14-12.

DATES: Comments must be filed on or before October 28, 1996, and reply comments on or before November 12, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, Washington, DC 20554. In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve the petitioner, as follows: Maynard R. Myer, Vice President, Lac Qui Parle Broadcasting Co., Inc., 623 W. 3rd Street, P.O. Box 70, Madison, Minnesota 56256.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kathleen Scheuerle, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 418-2180.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission's *Notice of Proposed Rule Making*, MM Docket No. 96-179, adopted August 30, 1996, and released September 12, 1996. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the Commission's Reference Center (Room 239), 1919 M Street, NW., Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractors, International Transcription Services, Inc., 2100 M Street, NW., Suite 140, Washington, DC 20037, (202) 857-3800.

Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to this proceeding.

Members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all *ex parte* contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this one, which involve channel allotments. See 47 CFR 1.1204(b) for rules governing permissible *ex parte* contact.

For information regarding proper filing procedures for comments, see 47 CFR 1.415 and 1.420.