per page reproduction costs), payable to the Consent Decree Library.

Walker Smith,

Deputy Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 96–23492 Filed 9–12–96; 8:45 am]

Notice of Lodging of Revised Amended Work Plan, Pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act ("CERCLA")

In accordance with Departmental policy, notice is hereby given that a proposed revised Amended Work Plan was lodged on August 29, 1996, with the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania ("District Court"), in *United States* v. Raymark Industries, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 85-3073 (E.D. Pa.). Pursuant to a Stipulation between the parties in Raymark Industries, the revised Amended Work Plan has been substituted for the Amended Work Plan ("1993 Plan") attached to a Modification to Consent Decree that was lodged with the District Court on June 29, 1994 ("1994 Modification").

The 1993 Plan conformed the remedy for certain groundwater contamination affecting municipal drinking water wells in Hatboro Borough, Pennsylvania to the remedy chosen by the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") in its Record of Decision ("ROD") to abate groundwater contamination at and under the Raymark Site, located at 220 Jacksonville Road, Hatboro, Pennsylvania. This was necessary because the original Consent Decree, entered in 1989 prior to EPA's publication of the ROD, had required the Hatboro Borough Municipal Authority ("Hatboro") to pump and treat water at a location different than that later set forth in the ROD. Under the Decree, the defendants paid Hatboro, an intervening plaintiff in the Raymark Industries case, the sum of \$612,500. In return, Hatboro was to pump and treat groundwater originating at the Site at an off-Site location.

Prior to the expiration of the public comment period on the 1994
Modification and the 1993 Plan attached to it, Hatboro asked that the 1994
Modification not be entered pending further revisions to the 1993 Plan needed to accommodate changes in the operation of its water supply and distribution system ("System") and a potential sale of its System. Following extensive negotiations, the United

States, Hatboro, and the defendants are in agreement on a proposed revised Amended Work Plan containing three major revisions to the 1993 Plan. First, because Hatboro does not anticipate needing well H-16 as a water supply well, Hatboro need only recover and treat groundwater at well H-16 if Hatboro elects in the future to operate that well as a water supply well. (Under the 1993 Plan, Hatboro was unconditionally required to construct a recovery and treatment system at well H-16.) Second, Hatboro is to take over certain operation and maintenance functions at the existing groundwater recovery system at the Raymark Site which are now being performed by EPA. Third, the revised Amended Work Plan contains extensive sampling and monitoring requirements which Hatboro must perform at its wellfield, regardless of whether the Hatboro System is sold or not.

The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication, comments relating to the 1994 Modification and the proposed revised Amended Work Plan. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General for the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, D.C. 20530, and should refer to United States v. Raymark Industries, Inc., DOJ Ref. #90-11-2-12. The 1994 Modification and revised Amended Work Plan may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, 615 Chestnut Street, 12th Floor, Suite 1200, Philadelphia Life Building, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19106, and the Region III Office of the Environmental Protection Agency, 841 Chestnut Building, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107. A copy of the 1994 Modification and the revised Amended Work Plan may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, N.W., 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005, (202) 624-0892. In requesting a copy of the proposed Modification and revised Amended Work Plan (Appendix A to the Modification), please refer to the referenced case and enclose a check in the amount of \$7.25 (25 cents per page reproduction costs), payable to the Consent Decree Library. Please enclose an additional \$19.25 should you wish to order a copy of the ROD (Appendix B). Joel M. Gross

Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division. [FR Doc. 96–23493 Filed 9–12–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Notice of Determinations Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and NAFTA Transitional Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, the Department of Labor herein presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance for workers (TA–W) issued during the period of August, 1996.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made and a certification of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance to be issued, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Act must be met.

(1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, have become totally or partially separated,

(2) that sales or production, or both, of the firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely, and

(3) that increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by the firm or appropriate subdivision have contributed importantly to the separations, or threat thereof, and to the absolute decline in sales or production.

Negative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In each of the following cases the investigation revealed that criterion (3) has not been met. A survey of customers indicated that increased imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the firm.

TA-W-32,467; Rissler & McMurry Co., Welding Div., Casper, WY

TA-W-32,452; Spartan Mills, Beaumont Plant, Spartanburg, SC

TA-W-32,517; International Paper Co., Veneta, OR

TA-W-32,480; Beaufab Mills, Inc., Stroudsburg, PA

TA-W-32,518; Lloyd Smith Co., Inc., Bradford, PA

TA-W-32,490; Tempered Spring, Inc., Jackson, MI

TA-W-32,402; Fluid Pack Pump, Woodward, OK

TA-W-32,577; Uniroyal Technology Corp., Ensolite Div., Mishawaka, IN TA-W-32,295; Mariners-Astubeco, Inc.,

Edgewater, NJ

TA-W-32,583; Greenfield Research, Inc., Hermann, MO

TA-W-32,541; Prentiss Manufacturing Co., Iuka, MS

- TA-W-32,562; Columbia Natural Resources, Inc., Charleston, WV
- TA-W-32,590; Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co., Niagara Falls, NY
- TA-W-32,484; Wyeth Laboratories, Inc., Mason, MI
- TA-W-32,637; Aeroquip Corp., (AKA Trinova Corp.), Automotive Products Group, Henderson, KY

In the following cases, the investigation revealed that the criteria for eligibility have not been met for the reasons specified.

TA-W-32,609; *Felters Co., Millbury, MA*Increased imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the firm.

TA-W-32,503; Operations & Systems Service Department (O&S), Mobil Administrative Service Co., Inc., Dallas, TX

The workers firm does not produce an article as required for certification under Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974.

TA-W-32,444; Sunbeam, Sunbeam Household Products, Cookeville, TN

In early 1995, the parent company made a corporate decision to transfer its production of small electrical motors from its Cookeville, TN plant to another existing domestic facility.

Affirmative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

The following certifications have been issued; the date following the company name & location for each determination references the impact date for all workers for such determination.

- TA-W-32,570; The Safety Stitch, Inc., Harrisville, WV: June 14, 1995.
- TA-W-32,466; Dyna-Safe of Wyoming, Inc., Mountain View, WY: May 31, 1995.
- TA-W-32,474; Varsity Manufacturing Co., Inc., Susquehanna, PA: June 5, 1995.
- TA-W-32,500; John F. Queeny Plant, Monsanto Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO: June 13, 1995.
- TA-W-32,532, A,B,C; Orbit Industries, Inc., Helen, GA, Cleveland Sportswear, Cleveland, GA, Clarkesville Garment, Clarkesville, GA, Union County Sportswear, Blairsville, GA: June 24, 1995.
- TA-W-32,499; Alden Electronics, Inc., Westboro, MA: June 7, 1995.
- TA-W-32,478; Nestaway Canal Wire Facility, Nestaway Div. of Axia, Inc., Canal Winchester, OH: June 12, 1995.
- TA-W-32,421; Mould Services, Inc., Malden, ME: May 28, 1995.
- TA-W-32,460; Original American UGHS Co. of UGG Holdings, Inc., Portland, OR: May 20, 1995.

- TA-W-32,557, A,B,C; Cluett Peabody & Co., Inc., Atlanta, GA, Albertville Plant, Albertville, AL, The Enterprise Plant, Enterprise, AL, Austell Plant, Austell, GA: September 12, 1996.
- TA-W-32,386; Sew Fine, Inc., Maryville, TN: May 8, 1995.
- TA-W-32,425; Jama/Southside Apparel, Petersburg, TN: May 24, 1995.
- TA-W-32,415; Medley Čo. Cedar, Inc., Santa, ID: May 24, 1995.
- TA-W-32,427 & Å; McClouth Steel, Trenton, MI & Gibraltar, MI: May 28, 1995.
- TA-W-32,509 & A,B; Caribou Limited, AKA Warehouse, Inc. & MMR Corp., Nashville, TN, Carbou Limited/AKA C'Mon Sportswear, New York, NY, Caribou Limited, Allamont, TN: June 14, 1995.
- TA-W-32,526; The Kendall Co., Albertville, AL: June 20, 1995.
- TA-W-32,559; United Technologies Automotive Wiring Systems Div., Newton, IL: July 12, 1995.
- TA-W-31,878; Klear Knit of Stateville, Inc., Statesville, NC: January 19, 1995.
- TA-W-31,796; Magee Apparel Manufacturing Co., Magee, MS: December 14, 1994.
- TA-W-31,797; Magee Apparel Manufacturing Co., Collins, MS: December 14, 1994.
- TA-W-31,963; Converse, Inc., Lumberton, NC: February 13, 1995.
- TA-W-32,488; Big J. Apparel, Inc., Waco, TX: June 10, 1995.
- TA-W-32,569; National Castings, Inc., Ciceron, IL: July 8, 1995.
- TA-W-32,392; Tennessee River Manufacturing, Adamsville, TN: October 23, 1994.
- TA-W-32,498; Lucent Technologies, Lee Summit, MO: June 19, 1995.
- TA-W-32,508; Truck-Lite Co., Inc., Falconer, NY: May 31, 1995.
- TA-W-32,523; Pioneer Cut Stock, Inc., Prineville, OR: June 19, 1995.
- TA-W-32,462; Prescott Manufacturing Corp., Prescott, AR: June 3, 1995.
- TA-W-32,579; Mr. Casuals, Troutdale, VA: July 12, 1995.
- TA-W-32, 457 & TA-W-32, 458; Sara Lee Knit Products, Lumberton, NC & Jefferson, NC: June 4, 1995.
- TA-W-32,456; Lexington Fabrics, Inc., Corinth, MS: June 6, 1995.
- TA-W-32,495; Eaton corp., Golf Grip Div., Laurinburg, NC: June 13, 1995.
- TA-W-32,512; SST Energy Corp., Casper, WY: June 6, 1995.
- TA-W-32,560; Bortz Chocolate, Inc., A Subdivision of the Allan Div. of DeTrebor Allan, Inc., Reading, PA: July 12, 1995. of GA:
- TA-W-32,607; Katie Brooke, Inc., Avon, MA: July 10, 1995.

- TA-W-32,611; J.M. Huber Corp., Oil and Gas Div., Houston, TX: July 26, 1995.
- TA-W-32,502; V.R. Fashion, Inc., Waco, TX: July 12, 1995.
- TA-W-32, 492 & TA-W-32, 493; American Tourister Jacksonville, FL & Warren, RI: June 11, 1995.
- TA-W-32,521; BP Exploration (Alaska), Anchorage, AK: August 22, 1996.
- TA-W-32,507; Dive N Surf, Inc., d/b/a Body Glove International, Torrance, CA: June 19, 1995.

Also, pursuant to Title V of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (P.L. 103–182) concerning transitional adjustment assistance hereinafter called (NAFTA–TAA) and in accordance with Section 250(a) Subchapter D, Chapter 2, Title II, of the Trade Act as amended, the Department of Labor presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for NAFTA–TAA issued during the month of August, 1996.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made and a certification of eligibility to apply for NAFTA-TAA the following group eligibility requirements of Section 250 of the Trade Act must be met:

- (1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, (including workers in any agricultural firm or appropriate subdivision thereof) have become totally or partially separated from employment and either—
- (2) that sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely,
- (3) that imports from Mexico or Canada of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by such firm or subdivision have increased, and that the increases in imports contributed importantly to such workers' separations or threat of separation and to the decline in sales or production of such firm or subdivision; or
- (4) that there has been a shift in production by such workers' firm or subdivision to Mexico or Canada of articles like or directly competitive with articles which are produced by the firm or subdivision.

Negative Determinations NAFTA-TAA

In each of the following cases the investigation revealed that criteria (3) and (4) were not met. Imports from Canada or Mexico did not contribute importantly to workers' separations. There was no shift in production from the subject firm to Canada or Mexico during the relevant period.

- NAFTA-TAA-01132; Dale Electronics, Inc., Bradford Electronics, Bradford, PA
- NAFTA-TAA-01116; Nu-Tech Precision Metals L.P., Waterbury, CT
- NAFTA-TAA-01124; Uniroyal Technology Corp., Ensolite Div., Mishawaka, IN
- NAFTA-TAA-01099; Stream International, Inc., Lindon, UT
- NAFTA-TAA-01166; Woodbridge Group Cartex Corp., Fairless Hills, PA
- NAFTA-TAA-01139; Evanite Fiber Corp., Submicro Div., Corvallis, OR NAFTA-TAA-01133; MX5 Brahamans, Robinson, TX
- NAFTA-TAA-01144; Burlington Industries, Burlington Knitted Fabrics Div.,-Wake Finishing Wake Forest, NC
- NAFTA-TAA-01156; Hallelujah Logging, Lakeview, OR NAFTA-TAA-01127: Private Western
- NAFTA-TAA-01127; Private Western Brands, Inc., El Paso, TX

In the following cases, the investigation revealed that the criteria for eligibility have not been met for the reasons specified.

- NAFTA-TAA-01154; FAI Electronics Corp., A Unit of Future Electronics, Portland, OR
- NAFTA-TAA-01137; Union Pacific Railroad Co., Portland, OR

The investigation revealed that the workers of the subject firm did not produce an article within the meaning of Section 250(a) of the Trade Act, as amended.

Affirmative Determinations NAFTA-TAA

The following certifications have been issued; the date following the company name & location for each determination references the impact date for all workers for such determination.

- NAFTA-TAA-01135; Westbrook Wood Products, Coquille Mill, Coquille, OR: July 5, 1995.
- NAFTA-TAA-01128; J & M Apparel, Inc., Finger, TN: June 21, 1995.
- NAFTA-TAĂ-01155 & A; The Olga Co, Div. of Warnaco, Inc., Santa Paula, CA and Fillmore, CA: June 27, 1995.
- NAFTA-TAA-01134: Rives Associated Companies, W & J Rives, Inc., High Point, NC: July 10, 1996.
- NAFTA-TAA-01140; Ransom Industries, Inc., Tyler Pipe Industries, Tyler, TX: June 17, 1995.
- NAFTA-TAA-01106; Pioneer Cut Stock, Inc., Prineville, OR: June 26, 1995.
- NAFTA-TAA-01125; Oak Grigsby, Inc., Oak Frequency/Controls Group, Sugar Grove, IL: July 8, 1995.
- NAFTÄ-TAA-01138; United Technologies Automotive, Wiring

- Systems Div., Newton, IL: July 12, 1995.
- NAFTA-TAA-01131; Bortz Chocolate, Inc., A Part of the Allan Div. of DeTrebor Allan, Inc., Reading, PA: July 12, 1995.
- NAFTA-TAA-01118; KL Manufacturing Col, Inc., Post Falls, ID: July 1, 1995.
- NAFTA-TAA-01141; Strick Corp., Casa Grande, AZ: July 18, 1995.
- NAFTA-TAA-01160; Protein Genetics, ABS Global, Inc., Deforest, WI: July 27, 1995.
- NAFTA-TAA-01170; The Chas. H. Lily Co., Portland, OR: July 30, 1995.
- NAFTA-TAA-01104; Munro & Co., Inc., Clear Lake Footwear, England, AR: June 28, 1995.
- NAFTA-TAA-01148; Osh Kosh B'Gosh, Inc., Celina Manufacturing, Celina, TN: July 17, 1995.

I hereby certify that the aforementioned determinations were issued during the month of August, 1996. Copies of these determinations are available for inspection in Room C–4318, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210 during normal business hours or will be mailed to persons who write to the above address.

Dated: August 29, 1996.

Russell Kile

Acting Program Manager, Policy & Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 96–23541 Filed 9–12–96; 8:45 am]

TA-W-32,524, Blount, Incorporated, Owatonna, Minnesota; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on July 8, 1996 in response to a worker petition which was filed on behalf of workers and former workers at Blount, Incorporated, Owatonna, Minnesota (TA–W–32,524).

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 26th day of August 1996.

Russell T. Kile,

Acting Program Manager, Policy and Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 96–23543 Filed 9–12–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

[TA-W-32, 100 & 100B]

COLE HAAN, et al.; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In according with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 USC 2273) the Department of Labor issued an Amended Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on May 10, 1996, applicable to all workers of Cole Haan, Cole Haan Manufacturing Division, Lewiston, Maine. The notice was published in the Federal Register on May 24, 1996 (61 FR 26220).

At the request of State Trade Coordinator, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. New information provided by the company shows that worker separations have occurred at the subject firms' Yarmouth, Maine location. The workers are engaged in the production of moccasins for Cole Haan manufacturing facilities.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of the subject firm who were adversely affected by increased imports of moccasins. Accordingly, the Department is amending the certification to cover the workers of Cole Haan, Corporate Headquarters location, Yarmouth, Maine.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-32,100 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of Cole Haan, Manufacturing Division, Lewiston, Maine (TA–W–32,100), and Cole Haan, Corporate Headquarters Location, Yarmouth, Maine (TA–W–32, 100B) who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after March 11, 1995 are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 4th day of September 1996.

Russell T. Kile,

Acting Program Manager, Policy and Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 96–23534 Filed 9–12–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

TA-W-31,851, Ditto Apparel of California, Incorporated Colfax, Louisiana and TA-W-31,851A, Ditto Apparel of California, Incorporated Bastrop, Louisiana; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273) the