McDonnell Douglas Service Bulletin DC-10-57-134, dated August 15, 1995. Accomplishment of this replacement constitutes terminating action for the repetitive inspection requirements of paragraph (a)(2)(i) of this AD.

(b) For Model MD–11 series airplanes: Within 18 months after the effective date of this AD, perform a visual inspection to detect corrosion or failures of the steel Hi-Lok fasteners at the inboard flap inboard track in accordance with McDonnell Douglas Service Bulletin MD11–57–031, dated August 15, 1995

- (1) If no corrosion or failures are detected, accomplish either paragraph (b)(1)(i) or (b)(2)(ii) of this AD.
- (i) Repeat the inspection required by paragraph (b) of this AD thereafter at intervals not to exceed 18 months until paragraph (b)(1)(ii) of this AD is accomplished.
- (ii) Replace all steel Hi-Lok fasteners with inconel Hi-Lok fasteners in accordance with McDonnell Douglas Service Bulletin MD11–57–031, dated August 15, 1995. Accomplishment of this replacement constitutes terminating action for the repetitive inspection requirements of paragraph (b)(1)(i) of this AD.

(2) If any corrosion or failure is detected during the inspection required by paragraph (b) of this AD, prior to further flight, accomplish either paragraph (b)(2)(i) or (b)(2)(ii) of this AD, in accordance with McDonnell Douglas Service Bulletin MD11–57–031, dated August 15, 1995.

(i) Replace all corroded/failed steel Hi-Lok fasteners with either like steel Hi-Lok fasteners or inconel Hi-Lok fasteners, in accordance with the service bulletin. Thereafter, repeat the visual inspection required by paragraph (b) of this AD at intervals not to exceed 18 months until paragraph (b)(2)(ii) of this AD is accomplished.

(ii) Replace all steel Hi-Lok fasteners with inconel Hi-Lok fasteners in accordance with McDonnell Douglas Service Bulletin MD11–57–031, dated August 15, 1995. Accomplishment of this replacement constitutes terminating action for the repetitive inspection requirements of paragraph (b)(2)(i) of this AD.

(c) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Los Angeles ACO.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Los Angeles ACO.

- (d) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.
- (e) The inspections and replacement shall be done in accordance with McDonnell

Douglas Service Bulletin DC-10-57-134, dated August 15, 1995 (for Model DC-10 series airplanes); and McDonnell Douglas Service Bulletin MD11-57-031, dated August 15, 1995 (for Model MD-11 series airplanes). This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies may be obtained from McDonnell Douglas Corporation, 3855 Lakewood Boulevard, Long Beach, California 90846, Attention: Technical Publications Business Administration, Department C1-L51 (2-60). Copies may be inspected at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the FAA, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, Transport Airplane Directorate, 3960 Paramount Boulevard, Lakewood, California; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

(f) This amendment becomes effective on October 16, 1996.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on September 3, 1996.

Darrell M. Pederson.

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 96–22918 Filed 9–10–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–U

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 95-ANE-55; Amendment 39-9737; AD 96-18-13]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; AlliedSignal Inc. TFE731 Series Turbofan Engines

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.
ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to AlliedSignal Inc. (formerly Garrett Engine Division) TFE731 series turbofan engines, that requires removing certain fan rotor disks from service in accordance with a drawdown schedule, and would establish new fan rotor disk life limits. This amendment is prompted after additional analyses revealed that stress levels in the fan rotor disk dovetail slots for the applicable engine models are higher than initially calculated. The actions specified by this AD are intended to prevent uncontained failure of the fan rotor disk due to fatigue cracking in the dovetail slots, which can result in inflight engine shutdowns, severe secondary damage, and fan rotor assembly separation from the engine.

DATES: Effective November 12, 1996. The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director

of the Federal Register as of November 12, 1996.

ADDRESSES: The service information referenced in this AD may be obtained from AlliedSignal Aerospace, Attn: Data Distribution, M/S 64-3/2101-201, P.O. Box 29003, Phoenix, AZ 85038-9003; telephone (602) 365-2493, fax (602) 365-5577. This information may be examined at the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), New England Region, Office of the Assistant Chief Counsel, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joseph Costa, Aerospace Engineer, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office,

Joseph Costa, Aerospace Engineer, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 3960 Paramount Blvd., Lakewood, CA 90712–4137; telephone (310) 627–5246; fax (310) 627–5210.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) to include an airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to AlliedSignal Inc. (formerly Garrett Engine Division) TFE731 series turbofan engines was published in the Federal Register on March 22, 1996 (61 FR 11790). That action proposed to require removing certain fan rotor disks from service in accordance with a drawdown schedule and would establish new fan rotor disk life limits in accordance with AlliedSignal Engines Alert Service Bulletin (ASB) No. TFE731-A72-3569, dated May 31, 1995, and ASB No. TFE731-A72-3570, dated May 31, 1995.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of this amendment. No comments were received on the proposal or the FAA's determination of the cost to the public. The FAA has determined that air safety and the public interest require the adoption of the rule as proposed.

There are approximately 5,000 engines of the affected design in the worldwide fleet. The FAA estimates that 2,500 engines installed on aircraft of U.S. registry will be affected by this AD, that it will take approximately 16 work hours per engine to accomplish the required actions, and that the average labor rate is \$60 per work hour. Based on these figures, the total cost impact of the AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$2,400,000.

The regulations adopted herein will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this final rule does not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this action (1) is not a 'significant regulatory action' under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a ''significant rule'' under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A final evaluation has been prepared for this action and it is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained from the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air Transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 USC 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

96–18–13 AlliedSignal Inc.: Amendment 39– 9737. Docket 95–ANE–55.

Applicability: AlliedSignal Inc. (formerly Garrett Engine Division) Models TFE731–2, –2A, –3, –3A, –3AR, –3B, –3BR, –3C, –3CR, –3D, –3DR, –3R, and –4R turbofan engines, installed on, but not limited to the following aircraft: Avions Marcel Dassault Falcon 10, 50, 100 series; Learjet 31, 35, 36, and 55

series; Lockheed-Georgia 1329–23 and –25 series; Israel Aircraft Industries 1124 series and 1125 Westwind series; Cessna Model 650, Citations III, VI, and VII; Raytheon British Aerospace HS–125 series; and Sabreliner NA–265–65.

Note: This airworthiness directive (AD) applies to each engine identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For engines that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (d) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent uncontained failure of the fan rotor disk due to fatigue cracking in the dovetail slots, which can result in inflight engine shutdowns, severe secondary damage, and fan rotor assembly separation from the engine, accomplish the following:

(a) For engines equipped with fan rotor disks, part numbers (P/N's) 3072162–5, 3073436–5, 3073539-(All), and 3074529-(All), where (All) denotes any dash number, remove fan rotor disks from service and install a serviceable disk in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of AlliedSignal Engines Alert Service Bulletin (ASB) No. TFE731–A72–3569, dated May 31, 1995, as required by the following schedule:

Fan rotor disk cycles since new (CSN) on the effective date of this AD	Required fan rotor disk retirement (remove from service)
3,600 or less	Not to exceed the new life limit of 4,100 CSN.
3,601 to 5,500	Within the next 500 cycles after the effective date of this AD or prior to reaching 5,700 CSN, whichever occurs first.
5,501 or	Within the next 200 cycles
greater.	after the effective date of this AD, not to exceed 7,100 CSN.

(b) For engines equipped with fan rotor disks, P/N 3072816-(All), where (All) denotes any dash number, remove fan rotor disks from service and install a serviceable disk in accordance with Accomplishment Instructions of AlliedSignal Engines ASB No. TFE731–A72–3570, dated May 31, 1995, as required by the following schedule:

Fan rotor disk CSN on the effective date of this AD	Required fan rotor disk retire- ment (remove from service)
3,850 or less	Not to exceed the new life limit of 4,600 CSN.
3,851 to 6,000	Within the next 750 cycles after the effective date of this AD, or prior to reaching 6,500 CSN, whichever occurs first.
6,001 or greater.	Within the next 500 cycles after the effective date of this AD, not to exceed 10,000 CSN.

- (c) A serviceable part is one that has not exceeded the life limits established by this AD.
- (d) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office. The request should be forwarded through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office.

Note: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this airworthiness directive, if any, may be obtained from the Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office.

- (e) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the aircraft to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.
- (f) The actions required by this AD shall be done in accordance with the following AlliedSignal Engines ASBs:

Document No.	Pages	Revision	Date
TFE731-A72-3569	1–8	Original	May 31, 1995.
Total Pages: 8. TFE731–A72–3570	1–8	Original	May 31,
Total Pages: 8.			1995.

29003, Phoenix, AZ 85038–9003; telephone (602) 365–2493, fax (602) 365–5577. Copies may be inspected at the FAA, New England Region, Office of the Assistant Chief Counsel, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

(g) This amendment becomes effective on November 12, 1996.

Issued in Burlington, Massachusetts, on August 26, 1996.

Jay J. Pardee,

Manager, Engine and Propeller Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 96–22772 Filed 9–10–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–U

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 96-NM-10-AD; Amendment 39-9744; AD 96-18-18]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Airbus Model A300–600 Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.
ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to all Airbus Model A300–600 series airplanes, that requires inspections to detect cracking of the upper radius of the forward fitting of frame 47, and repair, if necessary. This amendment is prompted by results of full-scale fatigue testing, which revealed cracking in the upper radius of frame 47. The actions specified by this AD are intended to prevent such fatigue cracking, which could result in reduced structural integrity of frame 47 of the fuselage.

DATES: Effective October 16, 1996.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of October 16, 1996.

ADDRESSES: The service information referenced in this AD may be obtained from Airbus Industrie, 1 Rond Point Maurice Bellonte, 31707 Blagnac Cedex, France. This information may be examined at the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, Rules Docket, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Charles Huber, Aerospace Engineer, Standardization Branch, ANM-113, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056; telephone (206) 227–2589; fax (206) 227–1149.

(206) 227–2589; fax (206) 227–1149. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) to include an airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to all Airbus Model A300–600 series airplanes was published in the Federal Register on July 1, 1996 (61 FR 33874). That action proposed to require repetitive eddy current inspections to detect cracking of the upper radius of the left and right forward fitting of frame 47, and repair, if necessary.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of this amendment. Due consideration has been given to the single comment received.

The commenter supports the proposed rule.

Conclusion

After careful review of the available data, including the comment noted above, the FAA has determined that air safety and the public interest require the adoption of the rule as proposed.

Cost Impact

The FAA estimates that 35 Airbus Model A300–600 series airplanes of U.S. registry will be affected by this AD, that it will take approximately 4 work hours per airplane to accomplish the required actions, and that the average labor rate is \$60 per work hour. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$8,400, or \$240 per airplane.

The cost impact figure discussed above is based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted.

Regulatory Impact

The regulations adopted herein will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this final rule does not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this action (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44

FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A final evaluation has been prepared for this action and it is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained from the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

96–18–18 Airbus Industrie: Amendment 39–9744. Docket 96–NM–10–AD.

Applicability: All Model A300–600 series airplanes, certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (b) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent reduced structural integrity of frame 47 of the fuselage, accomplish the following:

(a) Prior to the accumulation of 17,300 total landings, or within one year after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs later: Perform an eddy current inspection to detect cracking of the upper radius of the left and right forward fitting of frame 47, in accordance with Airbus Service Bulletin A300–53–6029, Revision 2, dated November 7, 1994.