Annual Report

Within 90 days after the end of the fiscal year, each State shall submit an *Annual Report*. This report shall address:

1. State progress toward performance goals, using performance measures identified in the initial fiscal year benchmark report.

2. Steps taken toward meeting the State goals identified in the benchmark report, which may include administrative measures such as the number of training courses given and people trained, and the number of citations issued for not using child safety seats or safety belts; and

3. Descriptions of State and community projects funded during the year.

States are strongly encouraged to set ambitious goals and implement programs to achieve those goals. States will not be penalized or sanctioned for not meeting identified performance goals. However, where little or no progress toward goals is perceived, as described in the annual report or discussed in periodic meetings, NHTSA and FHWA staff will recommend changes in strategies, countermeasures, or goals.

As under the current procedures, there can be no extensions for the annual report due date even though a State can request an extension of up to 90 days for submission of the final youcher.

Moving from a Process-Dominated to an Outcome-Based Approach

Implementation of this new approach will establish new roles and relationships for both Federal and State participants. The involvement of the NHTSA and FHWA field staff in the operational aspects of a State highway safety program will entail a minimum of two formal strategic planning meetings per year to discuss implementation issues and needs that NHTSA/FHWA can meet. During these sessions, the regional, division and State representatives will review each State's progress toward identifying and meeting its goals and will discuss and negotiate strategies being used.

The degree and level of technical assistance in functional matters provided by NHTSA and FHWA will be determined at these meetings. National and regional NHTSA and FHWA staff have special expertise and can provide a national perspective on outcome approaches (best practices, newest countermeasures), marketing, training, data analysis, evaluation, financial management, and program development. (Of course, these same regional services will be available to States choosing to continue working under the existing HSP procedures.)

Examples of Performance Measures

This section contains examples of highway safety performance measures to assist States in formulating their goals. In addition to those identified below, other measures might include societal costs, CODES data, hospital head injury and similar injury data, etc. Measures must be reliable, readily available, and reasonable as representing the outcome of an effective highway safety program. (The national FARS average or norm for each measure, if available, appears in parentheses.)

Overall Highway Safety Indices

State fatality rate per 100M vehicle miles (1.7)

% motor vehicle collisions with non-motor vehicle (17%)

Number of pedestrians or bicyclists injured or killed

Alcohol

Number of drivers in fatal crashes with BACs > .00, .08, .10 (State limit)

Number of drivers in fatal crashes, ages 15–20, with BACs> .00, .08, .10 (State limit) Number of alcohol-related fatal crashes

% alcohol-related fatal crashes (42%)

% alcohol-related fatalities

% alcohol-related injuries Conviction rates for DUI/DWI Occupant Protection

% motor vehicle occupants (MVO) restrained (National State Survey 67%)

% MVO fatalities restrained (35%)

% MVO injuries restrained

% MVO youth fatalities (ages 15–20) restrained (35%)

Child Safety

% MVO fatalities age 0-4 restrained (70%)

% MVO injuries age 0-4 restrained

% MVO fatalities age 0-4 unrestrained

Emergency Medical Services

Time of crash to hospital treatment (60 min or less)

Time of crash to response time (arrival at crash site)

Motorcycle Safety

% motorcyclists helmeted (restraint survey)

% motorcycle fatalities helmeted (60%)

% motorcycle injuries helmeted

% motorcycle fatalities with properly licensed drivers (41%)

% motorcycle fatalities alcohol-involved (51%)

% motorcycle injuries alcohol-involved Number of fatal or serious head injuries

Pedestrian Safety

Number/% urban pedestrian fatalities at intersections or crossings (35%)

Number/% alcohol-impaired pedestrian fatalities 16 yrs and older (36%)

Number/% total fatalities or serious injuries that are pedestrian in given jurisdiction Number/% urban pedestrian injuries Number/% rural pedestrian injuries

Bicycle Safety

% pedacycle fatalities helmeted (no national norm)

% pedacycle fatalities ages 26–39 alcoholimpaired (26%)

Speed

% fatal crashes with speed as a contributing factor (31%)

Number of speed-related fatalities / fatal crashes

Monitoring changes in average speeds overall and on specific types of roadways (interstate, other 55–60 mph roads)

Youth

(National performance measures from above plus:)

% drivers ages 15–20 in fatal crashes with BACs >.01 (40%)

% drivers ages 15–20 injured in crashes with BACs > .01

Total fatalities per 100K involving registered drivers, ages 15–20

Total fatalities per 100 million VMT for youth, ages 15–20

Total injuries per 100K registered drivers, ages 15–20

Total injuries per 100 million VMT for youth, ages 15–20
% MVO fatalities, ages 15–20, restrained

% MVO fatalities, ages 15–20, restrained (35%)

Police Traffic Services

(See subject categories)

Roadway Safety

Work zone fatalities

Work zone injuries (included M.V. occupants, peds, & work personnel)

Number of Highway-railroad grade crossing crashes - number of injuries or fatalities Number of flaggers injured or killed Number of workers injured or killed

Traffic Records

Number of personnel trained in record collection, data input, and data analysis Number of high accident locations identified and improved

Unknown % for occupant protection fatalities (10%)

Unknown/untested % for fatal driver BAC (30%)

Unknown % of time of crash to hospital arrival (50%)

Entering data within a specific time Linking data systems

Injury Prevention Goals

(See subject categories)

[FR Doc. 96–22691 Filed 9–4–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-59-P; 4910-22-P

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. 96-091; Notice 1]

Notice of Receipt of Petition for Decision That Nonconforming 1984 Rolls Royce Silver Spur Passenger Cars Are Eligible for Importation

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of receipt of petition for decision that nonconforming 1984 Rolls Royce Silver Spur passenger cars are eligible for importation.

summary: This notice announces receipt by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) of a petition for a decision that a 1984 Rolls Royce Silver Spur that was not originally manufactured to comply with all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards is eligible for importation into the United States because (1) it is substantially similar to a vehicle that was originally manufactured for importation into and sale in the United

States and that was certified by its manufacturer as complying with the safety standards, and (2) it is capable of being readily altered to conform to the standards.

DATES: The closing date for comments on the petition is October 7, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Comments should refer to the docket number and notice number, and be submitted to: Docket Section, Room 5109, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, 400 Seventh St., SW, Washington, DC 20590. [Docket hours are from 9:30 am to 4 pm]

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: George Entwistle, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance, NHTSA (202–366–5306).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Under 49 U.S.C. § 30141(a)(1)(A) (formerly section 108(c)(3)(A)(i)(I) of the National Traffic and Motor Vehicle Safety Act (the Act)), a motor vehicle that was not originally manufactured to conform to all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards shall be refused admission into the United States unless NHTSA has decided that the motor vehicle is substantially similar to a motor vehicle originally manufactured for importation into and sale in the United States, certified under 49 U.S.C. § 30115 (formerly section 114 of the Act), and of the same model year as the model of the motor vehicle to be compared, and is capable of being readily altered to conform to all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety

Petitions for eligibility decisions may be submitted by either manufacturers or importers who have registered with NHTSA pursuant to 49 CFR Part 592. As specified in 49 CFR 593.7, NHTSA publishes notice in the Federal Register of each petition that it receives, and affords interested persons an opportunity to comment on the petition. At the close of the comment period, NHTSA decides, on the basis of the petition and any comments that it has received, whether the vehicle is eligible for importation. The agency then publishes this decision in the Federal Register.

Champagne Imports, Inc. of Lansdale, Pennsylvania ("Champagne") (Registered Importer 90–009) has petitioned NHTSA to decide whether 1984 Rolls Royce Silver Spur passenger cars are eligible for importation into the United States. The vehicle which Champagne believes is substantially similar is the 1984 Rolls Royce Silver Spur that was manufactured for importation into, and sale in, the United

States and certified by its manufacturer, Rolls Royce Motors, Ltd., as conforming to all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards.

The petitioner claims that it carefully compared the non- U.S. certified 1984 Rolls Royce Silver Spur to its U.S. certified counterpart, and found the two vehicles to be substantially similar with respect to compliance with most Federal motor vehicle safety standards.

Champagne submitted information with its petition intended to demonstrate that the non-U.S. certified 1984 Rolls Royce Silver Spur, as originally manufactured, conforms to many Federal motor vehicle safety standards in the same manner as its U.S. certified counterpart, or is capable of being readily altered to conform to those standards. Specifically, the petitioner claims that the non-U.S. certified 1984 Rolls Royce Silver Spur is identical to its U.S. certified counterpart with respect to compliance with Standard Nos. 102 Transmission Shift Lever Sequence * * *, 103 Defrosting and Defogging Systems, 104 Windshield Wiping and Washing Systems, 105 Hydraulic Brake Systems, 106 Brake Hoses, 107 Reflecting Surfaces, 109 New Pneumatic Tires, 113 Hood Latch Systems, 116 Brake Fluid, 124 Accelerator Control Systems, 201 Occupant Protection in Interior Impact, 202 Head Restraints, 203 Impact Protection for the Driver from the Steering Control System, 204 Steering Control Rearward Displacement, 205 Glazing Materials, 206 Door Locks and Door Retention Components, 207 Seating Systems, 209 Seat Belt Assemblies, 210 Seat Belt Assembly Anchorages, 211 Wheel Nuts, Wheel Discs and Hubcaps, 212 Windshield Retention, 216 Roof Crush Resistance, 219 Windshield Zone Intrusion, and 302 Flammability of Interior Materials.

Petitioner also contends that the vehicle is capable of being readily altered to meet the following standards, in the manner indicated:

Standard No. 101 *Controls and Displays:* (a) inscription of the word "Brake" on the brake failure indicator lamp lens; (b) installation of a seat belt warning lamp that displays the appropriate symbol; (c) recalibration of the speedometer/odometer from kilometers to miles per hour.

Standard No. 108 Lamps, Reflective Devices and Associated Equipment: (a) installation of U.S.—model headlamp assemblies; (b) installation of U.S.—model front and rear sidemarker/reflector assemblies; (c) installation of U.S.—model taillamp assemblies.

Standard No. 110 *Tire Selection and Rims:* installation of a tire information placard.

Standard No. 111 *Rearview Mirror*: replacement of the convex passenger side rearview mirror.

Standard No. 114 *Theft Protection:* installation of a warning buzzer and a warning buzzer microswitch in the steering lock assembly.

Standard No. 115 *Vehicle Identification Number:* installation of a VIN plate that can be read from outside the left windshield pillar, and a VIN reference label on the edge of the door or latch post nearest the driver.

Standard No. 118 *Power Window Systems:* rewiring of the power window system so that the window transport is inoperative when the ignition is switched off.

Standard No. 208 Occupant Crash Protection: installation of a U.S.- model seat belt in the driver's seating position, or a belt webbing actuated microswitch inside the driver's seat belt retractor. The petitioner states that the vehicle is equipped with combination lap and shoulder restraints that adjust by means of an automatic retractor and release by means of a single push button in both front designated seating positions, with combination lap and shoulder restraints that release by means of a single push button in both rear outboard designated seating positions, and with a lap belt in the rear center designated seating position.

Standard No. 214 Side Impact Protection: installation of reinforcing door beams.

Standard No. 301 *Fuel System Integrity:* installation of a rollover valve in the fuel tank vent line.

Additionally, the petitioner states that the bumpers on the non-U.S. certified 1984 Rolls Royce Silver Spur must be reinforced, or U.S.-model bumper components must be installed, to comply with the Bumper Standard found in 49 CFR Part 581.

Interested persons are invited to submit comments on the petition described above. Comments should refer to the docket number and be submitted to: Docket Section, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, Room 5109, 400 Seventh Street, S.W., Washington, DC 20590. It is requested but not required that 10 copies be submitted.

All comments received before the close of business on the closing date indicated above will be considered, and will be available for examination in the docket at the above address both before and after that date. To the extent possible, comments filed after the closing date will also be considered.

Notice of final action on the petition will be published in the Federal Register pursuant to the authority indicated below.

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 30141(a)(1)(A) and (b)(1); 49 CFR 593.8; delegations of authority at 49 CFR 1.50 and 501.8.

Marilynne Jacobs, *Director, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance*. [FR Doc. 96–22537 Filed 9–4–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910-59-P

[Docket No. 96-048; Notice 2]

Issued on: August 29, 1996.

Decision That Certain Nonconforming Mitsubishi Pajero Multi-Purpose Passenger Vehicles Are Eligible for Importation

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), DOT. ACTION: Notice of decision by NHTSA that certain nonconforming 1984 Mitsubishi Pajero multi-purpose passenger vehicles (MPVs) are eligible for importation.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the decision by NHTSA that 1984 Mitsubishi Pajero MPVs that were not originally manufactured to comply with all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards, are eligible for importation into the United States because they are substantially similar to a vehicle originally manufactured for importation into and sale in the United States and certified by its manufacturer as complying with the safety standards (the 1984 Mitsubishi Montero), and they are capable of being readily altered to conform to the standards.

DATE: This decision is effective September 5, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: George Entwistle, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance, NHTSA (202–366– 5306).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Under 49 U.S.C. § 30141(a)(1)(A) (formerly section 108(c)(3)(A)(i) of the National Traffic and Motor Vehicle Safety Act (the Act)), a motor vehicle that was not originally manufactured to conform to all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards shall be refused admission into the United States unless NHTSA has decided that the motor vehicle is substantially similar to a motor vehicle originally manufactured for importation into and sale in the United States, certified under 49 U.S.C. § 30115 (formerly section 114 of the Act), and of the same model year as the

model of the motor vehicle to be compared, and is capable of being readily altered to conform to all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards.

Petitions for eligibility decisions may be submitted by either manufacturers or importers who have registered with NHTSA pursuant to 49 CFR Part 592. As specified in 49 CFR 593.7, NHTSA publishes notice in the Federal Register of each petition that it receives, and affords interested persons an opportunity to comment on the petition. At the close of the comment period, NHTSA decides, on the basis of the petition and any comments that it has received, whether the vehicle is eligible for importation. The agency then publishes this decision in the Federal Register.

Champagne Imports, Inc. of Lansdale, Pennsylvania ("Champagne") (Registered Importer No. R-90-009) petitioned NHTSA to decide whether 1984 Mitsubishi Pajero MPVs are eligible for importation into the United States. NHTSA published notice of the petition on May 20, 1996 (61 FR 25269) to afford an opportunity for public comment. As stated in the notice of petition, the vehicle which Champagne believes is substantially similar is the 1984 Mitsubishi Montero that was manufactured for importation into, and sale in, the United States and certified by its manufacturer as conforming to all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards.

The petitioner contended that it carefully compared the 1984 Mitsubishi Pajero to the 1984 Mitsubishi Montero, and found the two models to be substantially similar with respect to compliance with most applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards.

Champagne submitted information with its petition intended to demonstrate that the 1984 Mitsubishi Pajero, as originally manufactured, conforms to many Federal motor vehicle safety standards in the same manner as the 1984 Mitsubishi Montero that was offered for sale in the United States, or is capable of being readily altered to conform to those standards.

Specifically, the petitioner claimed that the 1984 Mitsubishi Pajero is identical to the certified 1984 Mitsubishi Montero with respect to compliance with Standard Nos. 102 Transmission Shift Lever Sequence, 103 Defrosting and Defogging Systems, 104 Windshield Wiping and Washing Systems, 105 Hydraulic Brake Systems, 106 Brake Hoses, 107 Reflecting Surfaces, 113 Hood Latch Systems, 116 Brake Fluid, 119 New Pneumatic Tires for Vehicles

other than Passenger Cars, 124
Accelerator Control Systems, 201
Occupant Protection in Interior Impact,
203 Impact Protection for the Driver
From the Steering Control System, 204
Steering Control Rearward
Displacement, 205 Glazing Materials,
206 Door Locks and Door Retention
Components, 207 Seating Systems, 209
Seat Belt Assemblies, 210 Seat Belt
Assembly Anchorages, 211 Wheel Nuts,
Wheel Discs and Hubcaps, 212
Windshield Retention, 219 Windshield
Zone Intrusion, and 302 Flammability of
Interior Materials.

Petitioner also contended that the vehicle is capable of being readily altered to meet the following standards, in the manner indicated:

Standard No. 101 *Controls and Displays:* (a) substitution of a lens marked "Brake" for a lens with a noncomplying symbol on the brake failure indicator lamp; (b) installation of a seat belt warning lamp that displays the appropriate symbol; (c) recalibration of the speedometer/odometer from kilometers to miles per hour.

Standard No. 108 Lamps, Reflective Devices and Associated Equipment: (a) installation of U.S.-model headlamp assemblies which incorporate headlamps with DOT markings; (b) installation of front and rear sidemarker/reflector assemblies; (c) installation of U.S.-model taillamp assemblies.

Standard No. 111 *Rearview Mirrors:* replacement of the convex passenger side rear view mirror.

Standard No. 114 *Theft Protection:* installation of a buzzer microswitch in the steering lock assembly, and a warning buzzer.

Standard No. 115 *Vehicle Identification Number:* installation of a VIN plate that can be read from outside the left windshield pillar, and a VIN reference label on the edge of the door or latch post nearest the driver.

Standard No. 118 *Power Window Systems:* rewiring of the power window system so that the window transport is inoperative when the ignition is switched off.

Standard No. 120 Tire Selection and Rims for Motor Vehicles other than Passenger Cars: installation of a tire information pleased

information placard.

Standard No. 208 Occupant Crash Protection: (a) installation of a U.S.-model seat belt in the driver's position, or a belt webbing-actuated microswitch inside the driver's seat belt retractor; (b) installation of an ignition switch-actuated seat belt warning lamp and buzzer. The petitioner stated that the vehicle is equipped at each front designated seating position with a