

Therefore, I find that the Licensee's commitments as set forth in its June 18, 1996, and August 7, 1996 letters are acceptable and necessary, and conclude that with these commitments, the public health and safety are reasonably assured. In view of the foregoing, I have determined that the public health and safety require that the Licensee's commitments be confirmed by this Order. Based on the above and on the Licensee's consent, the Order is immediately effective upon issuance.

### III

Accordingly, pursuant to sections 81, 161b, 161i, 161o, 182, and 186 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 2.202 and 10 CFR Part 30, it is hereby ordered, effective immediately upon issuance, that License Nos. 20-27938-03g and 20-27938-02, are modified as follows:

1. The Licensee's Radiation Safety Officer will work a minimum of 20 hours per week until this commitment is relaxed by the NRC;

2. An assistant RSO will be designated within 15 days of the date of the Order, and the Licensee will provide written notification to NRC Region I of the individual designated as assistant RSO and the individual's qualifications within 30 days of the date of the Order;

3. A radiation safety refresher course, including testing, will be given by October 1, 1996 to all employees working with instruments containing sealed sources.

4. The required annual audit of the radiation safety program, and all previously submitted quarterly reports of source transfers, will be completed by October 1, 1996, and submitted to NRC Region I by November 1, 1996;

5. Wipes will be performed of all sources taken from storage; in determining compliance with License Condition 12, appropriate actions will be taken if contamination greater than 0.005 Uci is identified, and appropriate wipe tests and source disposition records will be maintained, effective immediately;

6. At least one calibrated survey meter will be available at all times;

7. Radiation Safety Records will be placed in locked files within 15 days of the date of the issuance of this Confirmatory Order;

8. An experienced outside independent auditor will conduct and complete an audit of the Licensee's adherence to the requirements of its NRC Licenses by December 1, 1996. The Licensee shall submit the name and qualifications of the outside auditor to the NRC for approval by October 1,

1996, and the outside auditor shall provide the audit results simultaneously to both HNU and the NRC; and

9. The Licensee will notify Mr. Francis Costello, Chief, Nuclear Materials Safety Branch 3, NRC Region I, if it does not adhere to the specified payment schedule that it negotiated with the NRC Fees Branch for the payment of fees, as noted in the Conditional Order Extending Time, dated June 24, 1996. If the payment schedule is not met, notification will be made within 10 business days from the missed payment due date.

The Regional Administrator, Region I, may relax or rescind, in writing, any of the above conditions upon a showing by the Licensee of good cause.

### IV

Any person adversely affected by this Confirmatory Order, other than the Licensee, may request a hearing within 20 days of its issuance. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extending the time to request a hearing. A request for extension of time must be made in writing to the Director, Office of Enforcement, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, and include a statement of good cause for extension. Any request for a hearing shall be submitted to the Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Chief, Docketing and Service Section, Washington, D.C. 20555. Copies also shall be sent to the Director, Office of Enforcement, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, to the Assistant General Counsel for Hearings and Enforcement at the same address, to the Regional Administrator, NRC Region I, 475 Allendale Road, King of Prussia, Pennsylvania, 19406, and to the Licensee. If such a person requests a hearing, that person shall set forth with particularity the manner in which his interest is adversely affected by this Order and shall address the criteria set forth in 10 CFR 2.714(d).

If a hearing is requested by a person whose interest is adversely affected, the Commission will issue an Order designating the time and place of any hearing. If a hearing is held, the issue to be considered at such hearing shall be whether this Confirmatory Order should be sustained.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 2.202(c)(2)(i), any person other than the Licensee, adversely affected by this Order, may, in addition to demanding a hearing, at the time the answer is filed or sooner, move the presiding officer to set aside the immediate effectiveness of the Order on the ground that the Order, including the need for immediate effectiveness, is not

based on adequate evidence but on mere suspicion, unfounded allegations, or error.

This Order is immediately effective upon issuance. In the absence of any request for hearing or written approval of an extension of time in which to request a hearing, the provisions specified in Section III above shall be final 20 days from the date of this Order without further order or proceedings. If an extension of time for requesting a hearing has been approved, the provisions specified in Section III shall be final when the extension expires, if a hearing request has not been received. *An answer or a request for hearing shall not stay the effectiveness of this Order.*

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 22d day of August, 1996.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.  
Joseph R. Gray,

*Acting Director, Office of Enforcement.*

[FR Doc. 96-22183 Filed 8-29-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

[Docket No. 50-285]

### **Omaha Public Power District; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing**

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. DPR-40 issued to Omaha Public Power District (the licensee) for operation of the Fort Calhoun Station, Unit No. 1, located in Washington County, Nebraska.

The proposed amendment would revise Paragraph 2.B(2) of Facility Operating License No. DPR-40 to allow source materials in the form of depleted or natural uranium as reactor fuel and to revise Technical Specification 4.3.2 to include depleted uranium in describing the reactor core.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously

evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

1. The proposed change does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

The proposed changes will allow the use of source material as reactor fuel. The use of source material as reactor fuel would not affect the physical plant or its operation in any way that could increase the probability of an accident. The use of depleted uranium in fuel rods near the exterior of the core reduces neutron leakage to the reactor pressure vessel, thereby decreasing the associated embrittlement effects. Its use will not introduce any new kind, or additional amount of fission product material. The use of source material as reactor fuel will not affect the Safety Limits, Limiting Conditions for Operations, or other safety analyses that support these requirements. Reactor core operating limits will continue to be determined and controlled using NRC approved methodologies as required by Technical Specification 5.9.5.

2. The proposed change does not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

The proposed changes would not introduce any new modes of operation, setpoint changes, or changes in the operation of plant equipment. The use of source material as reactor fuel will not introduce any new kind, or additional amount of fission product material. Reactor core operating limits will continue to be determined and controlled using NRC approved methodologies as required by Technical Specification 5.9.5.

3. The proposed change does not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

The use of source material as reactor fuel will not affect the Safety Limits, Limiting Conditions for Operations, or other safety analyses that support these requirements. Therefore, the proposed changes do not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 10 CFR 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

The Commission is seeking public comments on this proposed determination. Any comments received within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice will be considered in making any final determination.

Normally, the Commission will not issue the amendment until the

expiration of the 30-day notice period. However, should circumstances change during the notice period such that failure to act in a timely way would result, for example, in derating or shutdown of the facility, the Commission may issue the license amendment before the expiration of the 30-day notice period, provided that its final determination is that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will consider all public and State comments received. Should the Commission take this action, it will publish in the Federal Register a notice of issuance and provide for opportunity for a hearing after issuance. The Commission expects that the need to take this action will occur very infrequently.

Written comments may be submitted by mail to the Chief, Rules Review and Directives Branch, Division of Freedom of Information and Publications Services, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, and should cite the publication date and page number of this Federal Register notice. Written comments may also be delivered to Room 6D22, Two White Flint North, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland, from 7:30 a.m. to 4:15 p.m. Federal workdays. Copies of written comments received may be examined at the NRC Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC.

The filing of requests for hearing and petitions for leave to intervene is discussed below.

By September 30, 1996, the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to issuance of the amendment to the subject facility operating license and any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding and who wishes to participate as a party in the proceeding must file a written request for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene. Requests for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene shall be filed in accordance with the Commission's "Rules of Practice for Domestic Licensing Proceedings" in 10 CFR Part 2. Interested persons should consult a current copy of 10 CFR 2.714 which is available at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the W. Dale Clark Library, 215 South 15th Street, Omaha, Nebraska 68102. If a request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene is filed by the above date, the Commission or an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, designated by the

Commission or by the Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, will rule on the request and/or petition; and the Secretary or the designated Atomic Safety and Licensing Board will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

As required by 10 CFR 2.714, a petition for leave to intervene shall set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, and how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why intervention should be permitted with particular reference to the following factors: (1) The nature of the petitioner's right under the Act to be made party to the proceeding; (2) the nature and extent of the petitioner's property, financial, or other interest in the proceeding; and (3) the possible effect of any order which may be entered in the proceeding on the petitioner's interest. The petition should also identify the specific aspect(s) of the subject matter of the proceeding as to which petitioner wishes to intervene. Any person who has filed a petition for leave to intervene or who has been admitted as a party may amend the petition without requesting leave of the Board up to 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, but such an amended petition must satisfy the specificity requirements described above.

Not later than 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, a petitioner shall file a supplement to the petition to intervene which must include a list of the contentions which are sought to be litigated in the matter. Each contention must consist of a specific statement of the issue of law or fact to be raised or controverted. In addition, the petitioner shall provide a brief explanation of the bases of the contention and a concise statement of the alleged facts or expert opinion which support the contention and on which the petitioner intends to rely in proving the contention at the hearing. The petitioner must also provide references to those specific sources and documents of which the petitioner is aware and on which the petitioner intends to rely to establish those facts or expert opinion. Petitioner must provide sufficient information to show that a genuine dispute exists with the applicant on a material issue of law or fact. Contentions shall be limited to matters within the scope of the amendment under consideration. The contention must be one which, if proven, would entitle the petitioner to relief. A petitioner who fails to file such a supplement which satisfies these

requirements with respect to at least one contention will not be permitted to participate as a party.

Those permitted to intervene become parties to the proceeding, subject to any limitations in the order granting leave to intervene, and have the opportunity to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing, including the opportunity to present evidence and cross-examine witnesses.

If a hearing is requested, the Commission will make a final determination on the issue of no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will serve to decide when the hearing is held.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration, the Commission may issue the amendment and make it immediately effective, notwithstanding the request for a hearing. Any hearing held would take place after issuance of the amendment.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves a significant hazards consideration, any hearing held would take place before the issuance of any amendment.

A request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, Attention: Docketing and Services Branch, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, by the above date. Where petitions are filed during the last 10 days of the notice period, it is requested that the petitioner promptly so inform the Commission by a toll-free telephone call to Western Union at 1-(800) 248-5100 (in Missouri 1-(800) 342-6700). The Western Union operator should be given Datagram Identification Number N1023 and the following message addressed to William H. Bateman, Director, Project Directorate IV-2: petitioner's name and telephone number, date petition was mailed, plant name, and publication date and page number of this Federal Register notice. A copy of the petition should also be sent to the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, and to Perry D. Robinson, Winston & Strawn, 1400 L Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20005-3502, attorney for the licensee.

Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the presiding Atomic Safety and Licensing

Board that the petition and/or request should be granted based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1)(i)-(v) and 2.714(d).

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated August 23, 1996, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the W. Dale Clark Library, 215 South 15th Street, Omaha, Nebraska 68102.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 26th day of August 1996.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.  
L. Raynard Wharton,

*Project Manager, Project Directorate IV-2,  
Division of Reactor Projects III/IV, Office of  
Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. 96-22342 Filed 8-29-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

#### [Docket Nos. 50-277 and 50-278]

#### **Peco Energy Company; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing**

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-44 and DPR-56 issued to PECO Energy Company (the licensee) for operation of the Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station (PBAPS), Units 2 and 3, located in York County, Pennsylvania.

The proposed amendment would revise the safety limit minimum critical power ratios (SLMCPs) to support use of GE-13 fuel at PBAPS, Units 2 and 3.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its

analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

(1) The proposed TS [technical specification] changes do not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

The derivation of the cycle-specific SLMCPs for incorporation into the TS, and its use to determine cycle-specific thermal limits, have been performed using USNRC [U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission]-approved methods as discussed in "General Electric Standard Application for Reactor Fuel," NEDE-24011-P-A-11, and U.S. Supplement, NEDE-24011-P-A-11-US, November 17, 1995 and interim (reconfirmation) implementing procedures. This change in SLMCPs cannot increase the probability or severity of an accident.

The basis of the SLMCPs calculation is to ensure that greater than 99.9% of all fuel rods in the core avoid boiling transition if the limit is not violated. The new SLMCPs preserve the existing margin to transition boiling and fuel damage in the event of a postulated accident. The fuel licensing acceptance criteria for the SLMCP calculation apply to PBAPS, Unit 2, Cycle 12 in the same manner as they have applied previously. The probability of fuel damage is not increased. Therefore, the proposed TS changes do not involve an increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

(2) The proposed TS changes do not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated. The SLMCP is a TS numerical value, designed to ensure that transition boiling does not occur in 99.9% of all fuel rods in the core during the limiting postulated accident. It cannot create the possibility of any new type of accident. The new SLMCPs are calculated using USNRC-approved methods ("General Electric Standard Application for Reactor Fuel," NEDE-24011-P-A-11, and U.S. Supplement, NEDE-24011-P-A-11-US, November 17, 1995) and interim (reconfirmation) implementing procedures.

(3) The proposed TS changes do not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

The margin of safety as defined in the TS Bases will remain the same. The new SLMCPs are calculated using USNRC-approved methods ("General Electric Standard Application for Reactor Fuel," NEDE-24011-P-A-11, and U.S. Supplement, NEDE-24011-P-A-11-US, November 17, 1996) and interim (reconfirmation) implementing procedures which are in accordance with the current fuel licensing criteria.

The SLMCPs remain sufficient to ensure that greater than 99.9% of all fuel rods in the core will avoid boiling transition if the limit is not violated, thereby preserving the fuel cladding integrity. Therefore, the proposed TS changes do not involve a reduction in a margin of safety.

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on this