

LIST OF PETITION ACTION BY TRADE ADJUSTMENT ASSISTANCE FOR PERIOD 07/17/96-08/19/96

Firm name	Address	Date petition accepted	Product
Lance Garment Corporation .....	125 Hospital Road, Red Bay, AL 35582.	07/24/96	Cotton shirts for men.
Dwyer Products Corporation .....	418 North Calumet Avenue, Michigan City, IN 46360.	07/30/96	Custom compact kitchen systems and parts, wet bars and refrigerators.
Southern Oregon Sales, Inc .....	18 Stewart Avenue, Medford, OR 97501.	08/01/96	Pears.
Sun Valley Products, Inc .....	11505 38th Street South, Horace, ND 58047.	08/01/96	Sunflower Seeds, wheat kernels and soybeans.
TCK Manufacturing, Inc .....	3965 Park Avenue, St. Louis, MO 63110.	08/05/96	Liners for ball cap visors, and holiday decorative figures.
Kahlund Enterprises, Inc .....	3645 Hwy 200 East Missoula, MT 59802.	08/05/96	Wood and metal picture frames and moldings and supplies for picture framing.
Creative Marblecast Manufacturing, Inc.	2020 Creek Drive, Rapid City, SD 57701.	08/09/96	Cultured stone bath fixtures.
Avtech, Incorporated .....	412 North Red Bud, Broken Arrow, OK 74012.	08/07/96	Plastic toy products and components for pets.
Oak Ridge Designs .....	3875 East Huntington, Flagstaff, AZ 86004.	08/13/96	Blankets of cotton.
Wintron, Inc .....	250 Runville Road, Bellefonte, PA 16823.	08/13/96	Electronic yokes, power supply units and coils/flybacks.
Evvco Enterprises, Inc .....	589 Fifth Avenue, 17th Floor, New York, NY 10017.	08/13/96	Gold rings, necklaces and bracelets.
Bogue Executive Enterprises, Inc ...	1501 53rd Street West, West Palm Beach, FL 33407.	08/14/96	Gas Turbine aircraft engine parts.
FRS Industries, Inc .....	64 North 4th Street, Fargo, ND 58107.	08/16/96	Award ribbons, rubber stamps, engraving and printing.
Richtman's Inc .....	301 NP Avenue, Fargo, ND 58107	08/16/96	Commercial, web and packaging printing.
Durex, Inc .....	5 Stahuber Avenue, Union, NJ 07083.	08/19/96	Metal stamping and fabricated sheet metal.
Phoenix Gear Corporation .....	3301 East Madison Phoenix, AR 85034.	08/19/96	Gears.

The petitions were submitted pursuant to Section 251 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2341). Consequently, the United States Department of Commerce has initiated separate investigations to determine whether increased imports into the United States of articles like or directly competitive with those produced by each firm contributed importantly to total or partial separation of the firm's workers, or threat thereof, and to a decrease in sales or production of each petitioning firm.

Any party having a substantial interest in the proceedings may request a public hearing on the matter. A request for a hearing must be received by the Trade Adjustment Assistance Division, Room 7023, Economic Development Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230, no later than the close of business of the tenth calendar day following the publication of this notice.

The Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance official program number and title of the program under which these petitions are submitted is 11.313, Trade Adjustment Assistance.

Dated: August 23, 1996.  
 Brenda A. Johnson,  
*Acting Director, Trade Adjustment Assistance Division.*  
 [FR Doc. 96-22232 Filed 8-29-96; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 3510-24-M**

**International Trade Administration**

[A-588-401]

**Calcium Hypochlorite From Japan; Extension of Time Limit for Antidumping Duty Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.  
**ACTION:** Notice of extension of time limit for antidumping duty administrative review.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) is extending the time limits of the preliminary and final results of this administrative review of the antidumping duty order on calcium hypochlorite from Japan. The review covers the period April 1, 1995 through March 31, 1996.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** August 30, 1996.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Cameron Cardozo or Stephanie Moore, Office of CVD/AD Enforcement, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C., 20230; telephone: (202) 482-2786.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Because it is not practicable to complete this review within the original time limit, the Department is extending the time limits for the completion of the preliminary results until April 30, 1997 and of the final results until 120 days after publication of the preliminary results of this review, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). (See Memorandum to the file from Jeffrey P. Bialos to Robert S. LaRussa on file in the public file of the Central Records Unit, Room B-099 of the Department of Commerce).

These extensions are in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended by the URAA (19 U.S.C. 1675 (a)(3)(A)).

Dated: August 20, 1996.

Jeffrey P. Bialos,

*Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for  
Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 96-22238 Filed 8-29-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

[A-122-047]

### **Elemental Sulphur From Canada; Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration,  
International Trade Administration,  
Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of preliminary results of  
antidumping duty administrative  
reviews.

**SUMMARY:** In response to requests by  
respondents and a U.S. producer, the  
Department of Commerce (the  
Department) is conducting two  
administrative reviews of the  
antidumping finding on elemental  
sulphur from Canada. The reviews cover  
the periods December 1, 1992 through  
November 30, 1993, and December 1,  
1993 through November 30, 1994.

As a result of the reviews, we have  
preliminarily determined that sales have  
been made below foreign market value  
(FMV). If these preliminary results are  
adopted in our final results of  
administrative reviews, we will instruct  
U.S. Customs to assess antidumping  
duties equal to the difference between  
United States price (USP) and FMV.

Interested parties are invited to  
comment on these preliminary results.  
Parties who submit argument in these  
proceedings are requested to submit  
with each argument (1) a statement of the  
issue and (2) a brief summary of the  
argument.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** August 29, 1996.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**  
Karin Price or Maureen Flannery, Office  
of Antidumping Compliance, Import  
Administration, International Trade  
Administration, U.S. Department of  
Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution  
Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230;  
telephone: (202) 482-4733.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Background**

On December 17, 1973, the  
Department of the Treasury published  
in the Federal Register (38 FR 34655)  
the antidumping finding on elemental  
sulphur from Canada. On November 26,  
1993 and December 6, 1994, the  
Department published in the Federal  
Register notices of opportunity to  
request an administrative review of this  
antidumping finding for the periods

December 1, 1992 through November  
30, 1993 (58 FR 62326), and December  
1, 1993 through November 30, 1994 (59  
FR 62710), respectively.

With respect to the 1992/1993  
administrative review, on December 30,  
1993, Pennzoil Sulphur Company  
(Pennzoil), a domestic producer of  
elemental sulphur, requested that we  
conduct an administrative review of  
Alberta Energy Co., Ltd. (Alberta),  
Allied-Signal Inc. (Allied), Brimstone  
Export (Brimstone), Burza Resources  
(Burza), Fanchem, Husky Oil Ltd.  
(Husky), Mobil Oil Canada, Ltd. (Mobil),  
Norcen Energy Resources (Norcen),  
Petrosul International (Petrosul),  
Saratoga Processing Co., Ltd. (Saratoga),  
and Sulbow Minerals (Sulbow). On  
December 21, 1993, Petrosul requested  
revocation of the finding with respect to  
itself. The review was initiated on  
January 18, 1994 (59 FR 2593).

With respect to the 1993/1994  
administrative review, on December 29,  
1994, Pennzoil requested that we  
conduct an administrative review of  
Alberta, Husky, Mobil, Norcen, and  
Petrosul. On December 28, 1994,  
Petrosul requested revocation of the  
finding with respect to itself, and, on  
December 30, 1994, Mobil requested an  
administrative review of its sales. The  
review was initiated on January 13,  
1995 (60 FR 3193).

The Department is conducting these  
reviews in accordance with section 751  
of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended  
(the Act).

##### **Scope of the Review**

Imports covered by these reviews are  
shipments of elemental sulphur from  
Canada. This merchandise is classifiable  
under Harmonized Tariff Schedule  
(HTS) subheadings 2503.10.00,  
2503.90.00, and 2802.00.00. Although  
the HTS subheadings are provided for  
convenience and for U.S. Customs  
purposes, the written description of the  
scope of this finding remains  
dispositive.

The periods of review are December 1,  
1992 through November 30, 1993, and  
December 1, 1993 through November  
30, 1994. The 1992/1993 review covers  
eleven companies, and the 1993/1994  
review covers five companies.

##### **Applicable Statute and Regulations**

Unless otherwise indicated, all  
citations to the statute and to the  
Department's regulations are references  
to the provisions as they existed on  
December 31, 1994. Pursuant to section  
291(a)(2)(B) of the Uruguay Round  
Agreements Act (URAA), the provisions  
of that Act apply only to reviews  
requested on or after January 1, 1995.

Thus, although the 1993/1994 review  
was initiated after the effective date of  
the amendments pursuant to the URAA,  
those provisions do not apply to this  
review.

##### **Verification**

As provided in section 776(b) of the  
Act, we conducted verification of the  
sales information provided by Mobil in  
the 1992/1993 administrative review.  
We conducted the verification using  
standard verification procedures,  
including onsite inspection of the  
manufacturer's facilities, the  
examination of relevant sales and  
financial records, and selection of  
original documentation containing  
relevant information. Our verification  
results are outlined in the public  
version of the verification report.

##### **Best Information Available**

We preliminarily determine, in  
accordance with section 776(C) of the  
Act, that the use of best information  
available (BIA) is appropriate for Mobil  
in the 1992/1993 and the 1993/1994  
administrative reviews, for Petrosul in  
the 1992/1993 and the 1993/1994  
administrative reviews, for Norcen in  
the 1992/1993 administrative review,  
and for Allied, Brimstone, Burza,  
Fanchem, and Sulbow in the 1992/1993  
administrative review, and that the use  
of partial BIA is appropriate for Husky  
in the 1992/1993 and the 1993/1994  
administrative reviews. Section 776(c)  
of the Act requires the Department to  
use BIA whenever a company refuses or  
is unable to produce information  
requested in a timely manner or in the  
form required, or otherwise significantly  
impedes an investigation.

In deciding what to use as BIA,  
section 353.37(b) of the Department's  
regulations provide that the Department  
take into account whether a party  
refuses to provide requested information  
or impedes a proceeding. Prior  
Department practice has been to  
determine, on a case-by-case basis, what  
constitutes BIA. When it is necessary to  
base a firm's antidumping margin  
completely on BIA, the Department uses  
a two-tiered approach in its choice of  
BIA. When a company refuses to  
provide the information in the form  
required by the Department or otherwise  
significantly impedes the proceeding  
(first tier), the Department will normally  
assign to that company the higher of (1)  
the highest rate found for any firm in  
the less-than-fair-value (LTFV)  
investigation or a prior administrative  
review, or (2) the highest rate found in  
the current review for any firm. When  
a company substantially cooperates  
with the Department's requests for