## II. Description of Additional Documents and Information

EPA believes that the revised interpretation of "otherwise use" for purposes of EPCRA section 313 threshold calculations, as proposed in the June 27, 1996 Federal Register, may affect how certain facilities currently covered under EPCRA section 313 report. As stated in the proposal, EPA does not anticipate that this revised interpretation will significantly change the reporting status of facilities operating in the manufacturing sector because the revised interpretation is most likely to impact only those facilities that are in the business of managing waste materials from other facilities. In the proposal, EPA requested specific comment on the number of facilities within the manufacturing sector that this revised interpretation might affect. EPA has continued its efforts to refine its estimate of the quantitative impact that a revision of the otherwise use interpretation might have on reporting facilities. This Federal Register document is to notify interested parties that EPA has added information to the docket estimating the potential impact of the revised interpretation of "otherwise use" that includes receipt of chemicals for the purposes of further waste management activities on facilities currently covered under EPCRA section 313. This information also relates to RCRA Subtitle C Hazardous Waste facilities receiving materials from manufacturing facilities currently reporting to TRI. This information is available for public review and comment, and is contained in a document entitled Effects of Modified TRI Otherwise Use Interpretation on Manufacturing Facilities and Other Waste Treatment and Disposal Facilities (Ref. 1).

It has come to EPA's attention that the document entitled *Interpretive Guidance for Revised Interpretation for Otherwise Use* has not been readily available. By this Federal Register Notice, EPA is notifying all interested parties that this document has been placed in the public docket and that it is readily available.

In addition, EPA is providing additional information on potential impacts of the proposed rule on small entities in the document entitled: Estimated Impacts on Small Entities: Refined Estimation of Company Revenue and Impacts (Ref. 2). In particular, this document provides additional data on the distribution of company revenue and employment within selected non-manufacturing SIC

codes, the average number of facilities per company, and revenue and employment data sorted by the Small Business Administration's SIC codespecific size standards.

#### III. Rulemaking Record

A record has been established for this rulemaking under docket number "OPPTS-400104C" (including comments and data submitted electronically as described below). A public version of this record, including printed, paper versions of electronic comments, which does not include any information claimed as CBI, is available for inspection from noon to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The public record is located in the TSCA Nonconfidential Information Center (NCIC), located at EPA Headquarters, Rm. E-B607, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460.

Any person who submits comments claimed as CBI must mark the comments as "confidential," "CBI," or other appropriate designation.

Comments not claimed as confidential at the time of submission will be placed in the public file. Any comments marked as confidential will be treated in accordance with the procedures in 40 CFR part 2. Any person submitting comments claimed to be confidential must prepare a nonconfidential public version of the comments in triplicate that EPA can put in the public file.

Electronic comments can be sent directly to EPA at:

ncic@epamail.epa.gov

Electronic comments must be submitted as an ASCII file avoiding the use of special characters and any form of encryption.

The official record for this rulemaking, as well as the public version, described above will be kept in paper form. Accordingly, EPA will transfer all comments received electronically into printed, paper form as they are received and will place the paper copies in the official rulemaking record which will also include all comments submitted directly in writing. The official rulemaking record is the paper record maintained at the address in ADDRESSES at the beginning of this document.

### IV. References

1. USEPA/OPPT. Effects of Modified TRI Otherwise Use Interpretation on Manufacturing Facilities and Other Waste Treatment and Disposal Facilities. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC (1996).

2. USEPA/OPPT. Estimated Impacts on Small Entities: Refined Estimates of

Company Revenue and Impacts.. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC (1996).

3. USEPA/OPPT. Interpretive Guidance for Revised Interpretation for Otherwise Use. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC (1996).

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 372

Environmental protection, Community right-to-know, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Toxic chemicals.

Dated: August 16, 1996. Lvnn R. Goldman.

Assistant Administrator, Office of Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances.

[FR Doc. 96–21343 Filed 8–20–96; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 6560–50–F** 

# FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

### 44 CFR Part 206

RIN 3067-AC52

#### **Disaster Housing Resources Program**

AGENCY: Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). ACTION: Advance notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: This advance notice of proposed rulemaking gives notice of FEMA's intent to divest itself of its inventory of mobile homes and travel trailers which serve as disaster temporary housing and to devolve this portion of the housing program to the States. We invite public comments on the devolvement process and program development concerning Stateadministered disaster housing resources programs.

**DATES:** Comments should be received by September 20, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Please send any comments to the Rules Docket Clerk, Office of the General Counsel, Federal Emergency Management Agency, 500 C Street SW., room 840, Washington, DC 20472, (facsimile) (202) 646–4536.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Agnes C. Mravcak, Chief, Housing Resources Branch, Human Services Division, Response and Recovery Directorate, Federal Emergency Management Agency, 500 C Street SW., Washington, DC 20472, (202) 646–3660, (facsimile) (202) 646–2730.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On March 23, 1995, FEMA's report to the steering committee, National Performance Review Phase II, recommended that

FEMA divest itself of its inventory of mobile homes and travel trailers which serve as disaster temporary housing and devolve this portion of the housing program to the States.

The need for providing actual structures to serve as disaster temporary housing is infrequent. The requirement occurs only when homes are so badly damaged they cannot be repaired quickly and when the amount of available rental housing in the area is insufficient to accommodate the number of applicants requiring temporary housing.

Historically, an average of approximately two percent of all disaster housing assistance provided was in the form of a created resource. However, FEMA believes the resources of various State agencies can be mobilized to provide this housing in a timely and cost efficient manner.

FEMA will continue to administer that portion of the housing program that provides eligible applicants with direct financial assistance to repair their homes or rent other living accommodations. FEMA will also continue to make applicant eligibility determinations and refer those requiring created housing to the State.

FEMA and the State will enter into a cooperative agreement under which the State will perform the housing mission with appropriate program and administrative funding from FEMA, through the agreement or through a disaster grant. Details on the funding mechanism have yet to be determined.

Dated: July 31, 1996. William C. Tidball,

Associate Director, Response and Recovery. [FR Doc. 96–21295 Filed 8–20–96; 8:45 am]

## FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

## 46 CFR Part 540

[Docket No. 94-06]

# Financial Responsibility Requirements for Nonperformance of Transportation

**AGENCY:** Federal Maritime Commission. **ACTION:** Further notice of proposed rulemaking; Extension of time to comment.

SUMMARY: The proposed rule in this proceeding (61 FR 33059, June 26, 1996) would, *inter alia*, remove the current \$15 million coverage ceiling for nonperformance of transportation by passenger vessel operators, and replace the ceiling with sliding-scale coverage requirements keyed to passenger vessel

operators' financial rating, length of operation in United States trades and satisfactory explanation of claims for nonperformance of transportation. At the request of American Classic Voyages Co., and good cause appearing, the time for filing comments on the proposed rule is enlarged to September 25, 1996.

DATES: Comments due on or before September 25, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Send comments (original and fifteen copies) to: <sup>1</sup> Joseph C. Polking, Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, 800 North Capitol St., NW., Washington, DC 20573–0001, (202) 523–5725.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Bryant L. VanBrakle, Director, Bureau of Tariffs Certification and Licensing, Federal Maritime Commission, 800 North Capitol St., NW., Washington, DC 20573–0001, (202) 523–5796.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** None. Joseph C. Polking,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-21240 Filed 8-20-96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6730-01-M

# FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

#### 47 CFR Part 73

[MM Docket No. 87-268; FCC 96-317]

## Advanced Television Systems and Their Impact on the Existing Television Service

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission is continuing the process for implementation of the next era of broadcast television: digital television (DTV) service. In this action, the Commission proposes policies for developing the initial DTV Table of Allotments, procedures for assigning DTV frequencies, and plans for spectrum recovery. The Commission also proposes technical criteria for the allotment of additional DTV frequencies and provides a draft DTV Table of Allotments. These proposals are intended to provide frequencies on which broadcasters will operate digital television service and to plan for recovery of spectrum from television service for other uses.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before November 22, 1996, and reply

comments on or before December 23, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, 1919 M Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Bruce Franca (202–418–2470), Alan Stillwell (202–418–2470) or Robert Eckert (202–428–2470), Office of Engineering and Technology.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission's Sixth Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making in MM Docket No. 87–268, FCC 96–317, adopted July 25, 1996. The full text of this decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Dockets Branch (Room 230), 1919 M Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. The complete text of this decision also may be purchased from the Commission's duplicating contractor, International Transcription Service, 2100 M Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036, (202–857–3800).

Summary of the Sixth Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making

1. In this action, the Commission is continuing the DTV implementation process by proposing policies for developing the initial DTV allotments and procedures for assigning DTV frequencies to broadcasters. The Commission also proposed technical criteria for the allotment of additional DTV frequencies and provided a draft DTV Table of Allotments. The draft Table, which shows how digital frequencies might be allotted in individual markets, is based on the principles of accommodating all eligible broadcasters, replicating existing service areas, and sound spectrum management. The Commission stated that, while it expects the final DTV Table of Allotments to be based on these principles, the Table issued in this Further Notice is a draft and revisions are anticipated. The Commission's staff will work with broadcasters and other parties to revise the Table as appropriate. The Commission said that its goals in this phase of the proceeding are to ensure that the spectrum is used efficiently and effectively through reliance on market forces, and to ensure that the introduction of digital television fully serves the public interest.

2. The Commission is proposing several primary objectives for guiding the development of DTV allotments and assignments to ensure that broadcasters will be able to transition their transmitting facilities to DTV service. The first of these principles is to fully accommodate all eligible broadcasters,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Commission also requests, but does not require, that commenters submit an electronic copy of their comments in ASCII, WordPerfect or Microsoft Word format.