

## 25. Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company

[Docket No. ER96-2633-000]

Take notice that on August 6, 1996, Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company (CEI) tendered for filing an electric power sales agreement between CEI and Industrial Energy Applications, Inc.

*Comment date:* August 26, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

### Standard Paragraph

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,  
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-21022 Filed 8-16-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

[Docket No. CP96-690-000, et al.]

## Northern Natural Gas Company, et al.; Natural Gas Certificate Filings

August 12, 1996.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

### 1. Northern Natural Gas Company

[Docket No. CP96-690-000]

Take notice that on August 5, 1996, Northern Natural Gas Company (Northern), 1111 South 103rd Street, Omaha, Nebraska 68124-1000, filed in Docket No. CP96-690-000 an application pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for authorization to construct and operate approximately 16,000 feet of 30-inch pipeline and appurtenant facilities in Dakota and Washington Counties, Minnesota, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Northern states that the proposed pipeline is required in order to assure operational integrity, providing reliability in meeting its service

obligations and maintaining deliveries of certificated volumes to transportation customers.

Northern states further, that the estimated cost of the proposed construction is \$10,900,000.

*Comment date:* September 3, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

### 2. Great Lakes Gas Transmission Limited Partnership

[Docket No. CP96-691-000]

Take notice that on August 5, 1996, Great Lakes Gas Transmission Limited Partnership (Great Lakes), One Woodward Avenue, Suite 1600, Detroit, Michigan 48226, filed in Docket No. CP96-691-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.212) for authorization to construct and operate a dual line tap assembly in Hubbard County, Minnesota, under Great Lakes' blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP90-2053-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Great Lakes requests authorization to construct and operate a dual 4-inch line tap assembly and associated piping so as to interconnect its mainline and loopline with a meter station to be constructed, owned and operated by Northern Minnesota Utilities, a Division of UtiliCorp United Inc. (NMU) in Hubbard County, Minnesota. Great Lakes estimates that the cost of constructing the new line tap assembly will be approximately \$100,000 which will be reimbursed to it by NMU.

Great Lakes states that this proposal is not prohibited by its existing tariff and that it has sufficient capacity to accomplish deliveries without detriment or disadvantage to other customers. The proposed line tap will have no impact on Great Lakes' system-wide peak day and annual deliveries and the total volumes delivered will not exceed total volumes authorized prior to this request.

*Comment date:* September 26, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

### 3. K N Interstate Gas Transmission Company

[Docket No. CP96-694-000]

Take notice that on August 6, 1996, K N Interstate Gas Transmission Company (K N Interstate), P.O. Box 281304, Lakewood, Colorado 80228-8304, filed in Docket No. CP96-694-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205, 157.211,

and 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.211, 157.212) for authorization to install and operate fourteen new delivery taps under K N Interstate's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP83-140-000, et al., pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

K N Interstate proposes to install and operate fourteen new delivery taps located in Yuma County, Colorado; Kearny County, Kansas; Adams, Buffalo, Hamilton, Scottsbluff, Thayer, Webster, and York Counties, Nebraska; and Goshen County, Wyoming. Eleven of these taps will be added as delivery points under an existing transportation agreement between K N Interstate and K N Energy, Inc. (K N) and will be used by K N to facilitate the delivery of natural gas to direct retail customers. The other three taps will be added to facilitate delivery of gas to end users on behalf of Interenergy Corporation.

*Comment date:* September 26, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

### 4. Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation

[Docket No. CP96-697-000]

Take notice that on August 7, 1996, Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation (Columbia), 1700 MacCorkle Avenue, S.E., Charleston, West Virginia 25314-1599, filed a request with the Commission in Docket No. CP96-697-000, pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (NGA) for authorization to establish a new point of delivery to Orwell Natural Gas Company (ONG), in Trumbull County, Ohio, authorized in blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP83-76-000, all as more fully set forth in the request on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Columbia proposes to establish a new point of delivery for firm transportation service and would provide the service pursuant to Columbia's Blanket Certificate issued in Docket No. CP86-240-000 under existing authorized rate schedules and within certificated entitlements. Columbia states that ONG has requested the new point to provide additional transportation for residential service. As part of the firm transportation service to be provided, Columbia proposes to reassign the Maximum Daily Delivery Obligations (MDDOs) by amending ONG's GTS Agreement to reduce the MDDOs at the existing ONG delivery points

(MS730993 and MS730996) by 125 Dth/day each and reassign 250 Dth/day to the proposed new point of delivery (MS734296). Columbia states that there would be no impact on Columbia's existing peak day obligations to its other customers as a result of the proposed new point of delivery. The estimated cost to establish the new point of delivery would be approximately \$16,630, including gross-up for income tax purposes. Columbia further states that ONG has agreed to reimburse Columbia 100% of the actual total cost of the proposed construction.

**Comment date:** September 26, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

#### Standard Paragraphs

F. Any person desiring to be heard or make any protest with reference to said filing should on or before the comment date file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this filing if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for the applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

G. Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after the issuance of the instant notice by the

Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefore, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-21023 Filed 8-16-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-5555-3]

### Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request; CWA Section 404 State Assumed Programs

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), this notice announces that the Information Collection Request (ICR) abstracted below has been forwarded to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval. The ICR describes the nature of the information collection and its expected burden and cost; where appropriate, it includes the actual data collection instrument.

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted on or before September 18, 1996.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION OR A COPY**

**CALL:** Sandy Farmer at EPA, (202) 260-2740, and refer to EPA ICR No. 0220.07.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

**Title:** Clean Water Act (CWA) Section 404 State Assumed Programs (OMB Control No. 2040-0168; EPA ICR No. 0220.07) expiring 10/31/96. This is a request for extension of a currently approved information collection. This renewal incorporates the burden for Tribal Assumption of the Section 404 Permit Program, separately approved under OMB Control No. 2040-0140 through 04/30/98.

**Abstract:** Section 404(g) of the Clean Water Act (CWA) authorizes states/tribes to assume the Section 404 permit

program. States/tribes must demonstrate that they meet the applicable statutory and regulatory requirements (40 CFR Part 233) for an approvable program. Specified information and documents must be submitted by the State/tribe to EPA to request program assumption. Once the required information and documents are submitted and EPA has a complete assumption request package, the statutory time clock for EPA's decision either to approve or deny the State/tribe's assumption request starts. The information contained in the assumption request is made available to the other involved federal agencies (Corps of Engineers, Fish and Wildlife Service, and National Marine Fisheries Service) and to the general public for review and comment.

States/tribes must have the ability to issue permits that comply with the 404(b)(1) guidelines—the environmental review criteria. States/tribes and the reviewing federal agencies must be able to review proposed projects to evaluate and/or minimize anticipated impacts. EPA's state program regulations establish recommended elements that should be included in the State/tribe's permit application so that sufficient information is available to make a thorough analysis of anticipated impacts. These minimum information requirements are based on the information that must be submitted when applying for a Section 404 permit from the Corps of Engineers.

EPA is responsible for oversight of assumed State/tribal programs to ensure that the assumed programs are in compliance with applicable requirements and that State/tribal permit decisions adequately consider and minimize anticipated impacts. States/tribes must evaluate their program annually and submit an annual report to EPA assessing their program. EPA's state program regulations establish minimum requirements for the State/tribal annual report.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are listed in 40 CFR Part 9 and 48 CFR Chapter 15. The Federal Register Notice required under 5 CFR 1320.8(d), soliciting comments on this collection of information was published on 04/04/96 (61 FR 15068); no comments were received.

**Burden Estimates:** The annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for a State/Tribal assumption request is estimated to average 520 hours per one-time response, with 2 States/tribes