

capacity and/or energy as the parties may mutually agree.

In its filing letter, NMPC also included a Certificate of Concurrence executed by the Purchaser.

NMPC requests an effective date of July 22, 1996. NMPC has requested waiver of the notice requirements for good cause shown.

NMPC has served copies of the filing upon the New York State Public Service Commission and PSE&G.

*Comment date:* August 23, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

**5. Louisville Gas and Electric Company**  
[Docket No. ER96-2620-000]

Take notice that on August 5, 1996, Louisville Gas and Electric Company, tendered for filing copies of a service agreement between Louisville Gas and Electric Company and Rainbow Energy Marketing Corporation under Rate GSS.

*Comment date:* August 23, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

**6. Louisville Gas and Electric Company**  
[Docket No. ER96-2621-000]

Take notice that on August 5, 1996, Louisville Gas and Electric Company, tendered for filing copies of a service agreement between Louisville Gas and Electric Company and PanEnergy Power Services under Rate GSS.

*Comment date:* August 23, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

**7. Louisville Gas and Electric Company**  
[Docket No. ER96-2623-000]

Take notice that on August 5, 1996, Louisville Gas and Electric Company, tendered for filing copies of a service agreement between Louisville Gas and Electric Company and Entergy Services, Inc. under Rate GSS.

*Comment date:* August 23, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

**8. Wisconsin Power & Light Company**  
[Docket No. ER96-2625-000]

Take notice that on August 5, 1996, Wisconsin Power & Light Company (WPL), tendered for filing an amended Wholesale Power Contract dated July 31, 1996, between the City of Plymouth and WPL. WPL states that this amended Wholesale Power Contract revises the previous agreement between the two parties dated October 31, 1989, and designated Rate Schedule Number 75 by the Commission.

The parties have amended the Wholesale Power Contract to add an additional delivery point. Service under

this amended Wholesale Power Contract will be in accordance with standard WPL Rate Schedule W-3.

WPL requests that an effective date concurrent with the amendments effective date be assigned. WPL states that copies of the filing have been provided to the City of Plymouth and the Public Service Commission of Wisconsin.

*Comment date:* August 23, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

**9. Kansas City Power & Light Company**  
[Docket No. ER96-2626-000]

Take notice that on August 5, 1996, Kansas City Power & Light Company (KCPL), tendered for filing a Service Agreement dated July 15, 1996, between KCPL and VTEC Energy Inc. (VTEC). KCPL proposes an effective date of July 15, 1996, and requests waiver of the Commission's notice requirement. This Agreement provides for the rates and charges for Non-Firm Transmission Service between KCPL and VTEC.

In its filing, KCPL states that the rates included in the above-mentioned Service Agreement are KCPL's rates and charges from the compliance filing to FERC Order 888 in Docket No. ER96-1867-000.

*Comment date:* August 23, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

**10. New Jersey Natural Energy Company**  
[Docket No. ER96-2627-000]

Take notice that on August 5, 1996, New Jersey Natural Energy Company (NJNE), tendered for filing, pursuant to Section 207 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.207, an application requesting acceptance of its proposed FERC Electric Rate Schedule No. 1, authorizing market-based rates, granting waivers of certain Commission Regulations and granting certain blanket approvals. Consistent with these requests, NJNE seeks authority to engage in electric power marketing and to sell power at market-based rates.

*Comment date:* August 23, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

**11. Kentucky Utilities Company**  
[Docket No. ER96-2628-000]

Take notice that on August 5, 1996, Kentucky Utilities Company (KU), tendered for filing service agreements between KU and KOCH Power Services, Inc., Industrial Energy Applications, Inc., Western Gas Resources Power Marketing, Inc., Dayton Power & Light,

AES Power, Inc., Morgan Stanley Capital Group, Inc., Delhi Energy Services, Inc., UtiliCorp United, Engelhard Power Marketing, Inc., KN Marketing, Inc., Entergy Services, Inc., Commonwealth Edison Company, Florida Power Corporation, Southern Company Services, Inc., MIDCON Power Services Corp., South Carolina Public Service Authority, Oglethorpe Power Corporation, TransCanada Power Corp., DuPont Power Marketing, Inc., Valero Power Services Company, City of Tallahassee, Aquila Power Corporation, Calpine Power Services Company, Illinova Power Marketing, Alabama Electric Cooperative, Inc. and Duke/Louis Dreyfus L.L.C. under its Power Services (PS) Tariff. KU requests an effective date of August 5, 1996.

*Comment date:* August 23, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

**Standard Paragraph**

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 96-20913 Filed 8-15-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

**[Docket No. CP96-212-001, et al.]**

**Colorado Interstate Gas Company, et al.; Natural Gas Certificate Filings**

August 9, 1996.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

**1. Colorado Interstate Gas Company**  
Docket No. CP96-212-001

Take notice that on August 1, 1996, Colorado Interstate Gas Company (CIG), Post Office Box 1087, Colorado Springs, Colorado 80944, filed in Docket No. CP96-212-001 an application pursuant

to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act, to amend CIG's protested prior notice request, filed on February 26, 1996 in Docket No. CP96-212-000, by deleting CIG's request for authorization to construct the Burlington Delivery Facility; and to instead seek Commission authorization to operate the constructed Burlington Delivery Facility pursuant to Section 7(c), all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

By its February 26, 1996, prior notice filing, CIG sought authorization to operate, subject to the Natural Gas Act, certain facilities placed in operation solely to effectuate transportation under Section 311 of the Natural Gas Policy Act, and to construct and operate a new delivery facility (The Town of Burlington Delivery Facility). The request was protested by Williston Basin Interstate Pipeline Company, on April 22, 1996, and the protest was not resolved, which resulted in the request being converted to a Section 7(c) filing.

CIG avers that the basis for this amendment is to update the original filing as it pertains to the request for the Town of Burlington Delivery Facility. CIG indicates that subsequent to its prior notice request being converted to a Section 7(c) filing, that Wyoming Gas Company, the local distribution company who would be served from the Burlington Delivery Facility, requested that CIG construct the Burlington Facility under CIG's Section 311 authority. CIG states that it responded to Wyoming Gas' request and further states that Section 311 transportation service to Wyoming Gas commenced on July 25, 1996.

*Comment date:* August 30, 1996, in accordance with the first paragraph of Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

## 2. CNG Transmission Corporation

Docket No. CP96-675-000

Take notice that on July 29, 1996, CNG Transmission Corporation (CNG), 445 West Main Street, Clarksburg, West Virginia 26301, filed in Docket No. CP96-675-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.211 of the Commission's regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205 and 157.211) for authorization to construct and operate a new measuring and regulation (M&R) station in the State of New York. CNG makes such request, under its blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-537-000, pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

CNG states that it proposes to construct a new M&R station in Tompkins County, New York, to serve as an interconnection to New York State Electric & Gas Corporation (NYSEG), a local distribution company located in the vicinity. CNG estimates that 54,000 Dt per day will flow through the facility on a firm basis, stating that said volumes are within NYSEG's certificated entitlements. CNG indicates that NYSEG will use the volumes for its system supply from its Seneca Lake Storage project being constructed in Seneca County, New York.

CNG further states that in order for it to deliver NYSEG's gas, a measuring and regulation station must be constructed near Danby, New York. It is also averred that certain auxiliary installations must also be installed (a filter/separator, various valves and yard and station piping, and buildings) at points of interconnection with CNG on Lines 1 and 31.

CNG indicates that NYSEG has agreed to reimburse CNG for the cost associated with this project.

*Comment date:* September 23, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

## 3. Iroquois Gas Transmission System, L.P.

[Docket No. CP96-687-000]

Take notice that on July 31, 1996, Iroquois Gas Transmission System, L.P. (Iroquois), One Corporate Drive, Suite 600, Shelton, Connecticut 06484, filed in Docket No. CP96-687-000, an application pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing it to construct and operate a compressor station to be located near Athens, New York. Iroquois states that the compressor station is necessary to provide natural gas transportation services for two shippers in a total amount of 30,160 Mcf per day (Mcf/d). Iroquois' proposal is more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Iroquois proposes to construct and operate a new compressor station to be located near the Town of Athens in Greene County, New York. The site of the proposed compressor station is different from a site previously proposed by Iroquois for a similar project near Athens in Docket No. CP95-637-000. The proposal in Docket No. CP95-637-000 was withdrawn by Iroquois. The currently proposed site is in an "industrial zone" along County Route 28 which is presently used as a private airstrip.

The proposed Athens compressor station will be the third compressor station on Iroquois' system and will consist of one turbo-compressor unit with a 9,500 horsepower rating. Iroquois says that this new compressor station will provide capacity for the 30,160 Mcf/d of requested firm service, plus about 8,300 Mcf/d of additional unsubscribed excess capacity. The estimated cost of the proposed Athens compressor station is approximately \$22 million, as detailed in Exhibit K of Iroquois' application.

In its application Iroquois states that it has entered into Precedent Agreements with ProGas U.S.A., Inc. (ProGas) for new firm transportation service for 16,160 Mcf/d, and with Coastal Gas Marketing Company for new firm transportation service for 14,000 Mcf/d. Iroquois proposes to provide firm gas transportation service for these two shippers under its Part 284, Subpart G, Blanket Certificate and will be performed pursuant to Iroquois' RTS Rate Schedule and associated General Terms and Conditions of Iroquois' FERC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume 1. Iroquois proposes to collect the return of capital for the Athens compressor station through the use of its systemwide depreciation rate.

Iroquois says that it will charge these two shippers certain discounted rates for the new service under the terms of its effective Part 284 open-access RTS rate schedule. Two letter agreements and a workpaper detailing those discounted rates were filed with the Commission on August 5, 1996, under the privileged and confidential treatment rules specified in Section 388.112 of the Commission's Regulations.

Iroquois proposes to roll-in the construction and operation costs of the new Athens compressor station with the costs of its existing system. Consistent with the Commission's policy statement in Docket No. PL94-4, Iroquois has filed a schedule which shows the anticipated annual costs of the Athens compressor station and the increased system revenues associated with the new transportation service. Iroquois says that the schedule shows that construction and installation of the Athens compressor station and a rolling in of the associated costs and revenues will have no detrimental financial impact on Iroquois' existing shippers. Iroquois anticipates that the net effect of such a rolling in will benefit existing shippers by reducing their annual costs by \$1.5 million.

*Comment date:* August 30, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

## 4. Colorado Interstate Gas Company

[Docket No. CP96-688-000]

Take notice that on August 2, 1996, Colorado Interstate Gas Company (CIG), Post Office Box 1087, Colorado Springs, Colorado 80944, filed in Docket No. CP96-688-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205(b) and 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205(b) and 157.212) to construct new delivery facilities pursuant to CIG's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP83-21-000, all as more fully set forth in the request which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

CIG proposes that the new delivery facilities would be located in Weld County, Colorado. It is stated that the proposed facilities would consist of a four-inch meter and appurtenant facilities for delivery of up to 13,500 MMBtu per day to PanEnergy Field Services, Inc. (PanEnergy). It is further stated that Thermo Cogeneration Partnership, the end user, would use the gas for cogeneration. CIG states that the new facilities have an estimated cost of approximately \$50,000 which would be paid for/reimbursed by PanEnergy.

*Comment date:* September 23, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

## 5. Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation

[Docket No. CP96-692-000]

Take notice that on August 5, 1996, Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation (Texas Eastern), 5400 Westheimer Court, Houston, Texas 77056-5310, filed in Docket No. CP96-692-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.211 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.211) for authorization to establish a new delivery point to accommodate deliveries of gas transported on an interruptible basis on behalf of Petroleum Source and Systems Group, Inc. (PSSG), a marketer of natural gas, in Yazoo County, Mississippi, under Texas Eastern's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-535-000, pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Texas Eastern proposes to construct and operate delivery point facilities consisting of 2 valves, a meter, a meter run and 50 feet of 2-inch pipeline, in order for PSSG to serve the Federal Correctional Institution at Yazoo City. It is stated that the facilities would be used to deliver up to 300 dt equivalent

of natural gas per day under Texas Eastern's Rate Schedule IT-1. The cost of the facilities is estimated at \$38,069 to Ohio Intrastate. It is asserted that the deliveries at the new delivery point would be made utilizing existing capacity on Texas Eastern's system. It is further asserted that Texas Eastern has sufficient capacity to accomplish the deliveries without detriment or disadvantage to its other customers. It is explained that the proposed delivery point would not have any significant impact on Texas Eastern's peak day or annual deliveries.

*Comment date:* September 23, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

## Standard Paragraphs

F. Any person desiring to be heard or make any protest with reference to said filing should on or before the comment date file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this filing if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for the applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

G. Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after the issuance of the instant notice by the

Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 96-20912 Filed 8-15-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

[ER-FRL-5472-4]

**Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments**

Availability of EPA comments prepared July 29, 1996 through August 2, 1996 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 260-5076.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 5, 1996 (61 FR 15251).

## Draft EISs

*ERP No. D-BOP-D81025-PA* Rating EC2, Federal Prison Camp—Scranton, Pennsylvania, Construction, Operation and Site Selection, Jessup Borough, Lackawanna County, PA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding the alternatives analysis, secondary and cumulative impacts and the lack of information on ecological characteristics. EPA requested that those issues be addressed in the final document.

*ERP No. D-FHW-H40151-MO* Rating LO, MO-13 Highway Improvement, Existing MO-13 to MO-10 just south of Richmond to US 24 just south of Lexington, Funding, COE Section 10 and 404 Permits and US Coast Guard Bridge Permit Issuance, Ray and Lafayette Counties, MO.