institution maintains its home office as the institution's principal place of business, provided all of the following criteria are satisfied:

(i) At least 80 percent of the institution's accounting books, records and ledgers are maintained, located or held in such designated state;

(ii) A majority of meetings of the institution's board of directors and constituent committees are conducted in such designated state; and

in such designated state; and

(iii) A majority of the institution's five highest paid officers have their place of employment located in such designated state.

- (2) Written notice of a designation made pursuant to paragraph (c)(1) of this section shall be sent to the Bank in the district containing the designated state, the Board and the institution.
- (3) The notice of designation made pursuant to paragraph (c)(1) of this section shall include the state

designated as the principal place of business and the resulting Bank to which membership will be transferred.

(4) If the board of directors of the Bank in the district where the institution maintains its home office fails to make the designation requested by the member or applicant pursuant to paragraph (c)(1) of this section, then the member or applicant may request in writing that the Board make the designation.

(d) *Transfer of membership.* (1) No transfer of membership from one Bank to another Bank shall take effect until the Banks involved reach agreement on a method of orderly transfer.

(2) In the event that the Banks involved fail to agree on a method of orderly transfer, the Board shall determine the conditions under which the transfer shall take place.

(e) *Effect of transfer*. A transfer of membership pursuant to this section

shall be effective for all purposes including directorial representation under section 7(c) of the Act, 12 U.S.C. 1427(c), and § 932.11 of this chapter, but shall not be subject to the provisions on termination of membership set forth in section 6 of the Act, 12 U.S.C. 1426, or §§ 933.26, 933.27 and 933.29 of this part, including the restriction on reacquiring Bank membership set forth in § 933.30 of this part.

(The information collection requirements contained in this section have been approved by the Office of Management and Budget under control number 3069–0004.)

9. In the list below, for each newly designated section indicated in the left column, remove the reference indicated in the middle column from where it appears and add the reference indicated in the right column:

Section	Remove	Add
933.20(b)(1) 933.20(b)(2) 933.22(b)(1) 933.23 933.24(a)(2) 933.24(b)(2) 933.25(c) 933.25(d)(2) (ii) (A) and (B), and (iii) 933.25(d)(3) 933.26(c) 933.27(e) 933.27(e) 933.28(b) 933.29(a)(1)	§§ 933.2(c) or 933.3, § 933.2(d)	§ 933.3, § 933.4(a). § 933.4(a). § 933.20(a), § 933.31(d). § 933.20(a). § 933.29. Subpart B. § 933.20(a). § 933.29. § 933.29.
933.30(a) 933.30(b) 933.31(d)	§ 933.5 § 933.2(d) § 933.9(b)(1)	§ 933.18. § 933.4(a). § 933.22(b)(1).

Dated: August 2, 1996.

By the Board of Directors of the Federal Housing Finance Board.

Bruce A. Morrison,

Chairman.

[FR Doc. 96–20487 Filed 8–15–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6725-01-U

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 95-NM-10-AD; Amendment 39-9663; AD 96-12-20]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Lockheed Model 382, 382B, 382E, 382F, and 382G Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT. **ACTION:** Final rule; correction.

SUMMARY: This document corrects information that appeared in airworthiness directive (AD) 96–12–20, amendment 39–9663, that was published in the Federal Register on June 10, 1996 (61 FR 29279). This AD is applicable to certain Lockheed Model

382, 382B, 382E, 382F, and 382G series airplanes. Among other things, it requires visual inspections to detect loose, missing, or deformed fasteners in the upper truss mounts of certain engines. This action corrects a reference to the outboard and inboard engines, which should have referred to the outboard and inboard truss mounts.

DATES: Effective July 15, 1996.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations was previously approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of July 15, 1996 (61 FR 29279, June 10, 1996).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Thomas Peters, Aerospace Engineer, ACE–116A, Flight Test Branch, FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, Atlanta Aircraft Certification Office, Campus Building, Suite 2–160, 1701 Columbia Avenue, College Park, Georgia 30337– 2748; telephone (404) 305–7367; fax (404) 305–7348.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On June 3, 1996, the FAA issued AD 96–12–20, amendment 39–9663; (61 FR 29279, June 10, 1996), to require visual inspections to detect loose, missing, or deformed fasteners in the upper truss mounts of certain engines, inspections to detect cracking in the associated tangs, and replacement of cracked parts. That AD also requires repetitive ultrasonic inspections of the upper tang and replacement of cracked parts. Additionally, that AD provides an optional terminating action for the repetitive inspections.

As published, paragraph (d)(2) of that AD refers to "truss mounts in the No. 1 outboard engine" and refers to "truss mounts of the No. 4 inboard engine." However, the correct references should have been to "outboard truss mounts of the No. 1 engine" and "inboard truss mounts of the No. 4 engine." In all other respects, as well as in other references to these items in the AD, the originally-issued AD is correct.

Since no other part of the regulatory information has been changed, the final rule is not being republished.

The effective date of the AD remains July 15, 1996.

Accordingly, the final rule document (FR Doc. 96–14383), which was published on June 10, 1996, at 61 FR 29279, is corrected as follows:

Sec. 39.13 [Corrected]

On page 29282, in the first column, the text of paragraph (d)(2) of AD 96–12–20, amendment 39–9663, is corrected to read as follows:

(d) * * *

(1) * * *

(2) Replace the truss mount assembly with part number 360013–31 or subsequent (for the outboard truss mounts of the No. 1 engine), or part number 360017–31 or subsequent (for the inboard truss mounts of the No. 4 engine), as applicable, in accordance with SMP 583. Such replacement constitutes terminating action for the requirements of this AD.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on August 9, 1996.

*

Darrell M. Pederson,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 96–20872 Filed 8–15–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–U 14 CFR Part 73

[Airspace Docket No. 96-ASW-16] RIN 2120-AA66

Changes to Restricted Areas R-6302A, B, C, D, and E, Fort Hood, TX

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This action changes the internal boundary of Restricted Area 6302 (R–6302), Fort Hood, Texas (TX). Specifically, subareas R–6302C and R–6302D are realigned to better accommodate training requirements while simultaneously providing airspace for instrument approaches to Runway 15, Gray Army Air Field (AAF), TX. Additionally, the using agency for all subareas of R–6302 will be standardized to read: "U.S. Army, Commander, III Corps, Fort Hood, TX." EFFECTIVE DATE: 0901 UTC, October 10, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Steve Brown, Airspace and Rules Division, ATA–400, Office of Air Traffic Airspace Management, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591; telephone: (202) 267–8783.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

In the early 1960's, R–6302 was established as a gunnery range for the U.S. Army. Since its establishment, many refinements have been made to the restricted area to reflect changing requirements as well as administrative changes. The latest refinement occurred on July 20, 1995, when the FAA published a final rule (60 FR 37331), redefining the vertical limits of R–6302B and the horizontal limits of R–6302E. These changes, effective September 14, 1995, were instituted as part of the Dallas/Fort Worth Metroplex Plan.

As a result of a recent review of R-6302 airspace, the U.S. Army requested that the FAA take action to change the boundary separating two subareas in R-6302 to enhance traffic management and increase the efficiency of the airspace contained in R-6302. Currently, participating aircraft operating within R-6302D also use R-6302C; however, only the northwest corner of R-6302C is normally used for this training. When R-6302C is active, aircraft cannot conduct instrument approaches to Runway 15 at Gray AAF because the airspace contained in R-6302C is required for the approach. This action incorporates the northwestern corner of

R-6302C into R-6302D, allowing both military training in R-6302D and sufficient airspace for instrument approaches to Gray AAF to occur simultaneously. Additionally, the U.S. Army requested that the using agency for R-6302 be changed to reflect the current chain-of-command at Fort Hood, TX.

The Rule

This rule amends Title 14 of the Code of Federal Regulations part 73 (14 CFR part 73) by redefining the boundary separating R–6302C and R–6302D to enable more efficient use of airspace. Additionally, the using agency for all subareas of R–6302 will read: "U.S. Army, Commander, III Corps, Fort Hood, TX."

Since this action simply redefines the subdivision of an existing restricted area and amends the published using agency, I find that notice and public procedure under 5 U.S.C. 553(b) are unnecessary because this action is a minor technical amendment in which the public would not be particularly interested. This amendment will not change the external boundary of, or activities within, R-6302. The coordinates for this airspace docket are based on North American Datum 83. Section 73.63 of part 73 of the Federal Aviation Regulations was republished in FAA Order 7400.8C dated June 29, 1995.

The FAA has determined that this regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. It, therefore—(1) Is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that will only affect air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

Environmental Review

This action redefines the internal boundary separating R-6302C and R-6302D to enable more efficient use of airspace, and amends the published using agency. There are no changes to air traffic control procedures or routes as a result of this action. Additionally, this action does not change the external boundary of, or the activities conducted within, the restricted airspace.