(1) Type of Information Collection: Reinstatement, without change, of a previously approved collection for which approval has expired.

(2) Title of the Form/Collection: United States Department of Justice Insurance Related Criminal Referral

Form.

(3) Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department of Justice sponsoring the collection: Form: None. Office of the Controller, Office of Justice Programs, United States Department of Justice.

(4) Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract: State and local governments, private non profit organizations, and businesses or other for profit

organizations.

This form is used to encourage state and federal agencies, insurance companies, and insurance trade associations to refer significant criminal activity for Federal prosecution. It will enable the Department to ensure that all cases are being investigated appropriately, and that all related investigations are coordinated.

(5) An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond: 200 respondents with an average of 1 hour per respondent.

(6) An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection: 200 annual burden hours.

If additional information is required contact: Mr. Robert B. Briggs, Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Information Management and Security Staff, Justice Management Division, Suite 850, Washington Center, 1001 G Street NW., Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: August 8, 1996.

Robert B. Briggs,

Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 96–20673 Filed 8–13–96; 8:45 am]

# NATIONAL ARCHIVES AND RECORDS ADMINISTRATION

# Advisory Committee on the Records of Congress; Meeting

**AGENCY:** National Archives and Records Administration.

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act, the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) announces a meeting of the Advisory Committee on the Records of Congress. The committee advises NARA on the full range of programs, policies, and plans for the Center for Legislative Archives in the Office of Special and Regional Archives.

**DATES:** September 16, 1996, from 9:00 a.m. to 10:30 a.m.

**ADDRESSES:** United States Capitol Building, LBJ Room (S–211).

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michael L. Gillette, Director, Center for Legislative Archives, (202) 501–5350.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Agenda

National Archives and Records Administration Strategic Plan Update—Center for Legislative Archives Archival Impact of Technology on congressional documentation Other current issues and new business.

The meeting is open to the public.

Dated: August 6, 1996.

L. Reynolds Cahoon,

Assistant Archivist for Policy and IRM Services.

[FR Doc. 96–20657 Filed 8–13–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7515–01–M

## NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD

## **Sunshine Act Meeting**

**AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING:** National Labor Relations Board.

TIME AND DATE: 3:00 p.m. Thursday, August 8, 1996.

PLACE: Board Conference Room, Eleventh Floor, 1099 Fourteenth St., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20570.

**STATUS:** Closed to public observation pursuant to 5 U.S.C. Section 552b(c)(2) (internal personnel rules and practices); (c)(6) (personal information where disclosure would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy).

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED: Personnel Matters.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: Hollace J. Enoch, Associate Executive Secretary, Washington, D.C. 20570, Telephone: (202) 273–1940.

By direction of the Board.

Dated, Washington, D.C. August 9, 1996.

Hollace J. Enoch,

Associate Executive Secretary, National Labor Relations Board.

[FR Doc. 96–20849 Filed 8–12–96; 3:28 pm] BILLING CODE 7545–01–M

### NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

# Proposed Collection: Comment Request

**Title of Proposed Collection** 

National Science Foundation Proposal Evaluation Process.

In compliance with the requirement of Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 for opportunity for public comment on proposed data collection projects, the National Science Foundation (NSF) will publish periodic summaries of proposed projects. To request more information on the proposed project or to obtain a copy of the data collection plans and instruments, call Herman Fleming, NSF Clearance Officer at (703) 306–1243.

Comments are invited on (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Proposed Project Proposal Evaluation Process

The missions of the NSF are to: increase the Nation's base of scientific and engineering knowledge and strengthen its ability to support research in all areas of science and engineering; promote innovative science and engineering education programs that can better prepare the Nation to meet the challenges of the future; and promote international cooperation in science and engineering. The Foundation is also committed to ensuring the Nation's supply of scientists, engineers and science educators. In its role as leading Federal supporter of science and engineering, NSF also has an important role in national policy planning.

The Foundation fulfills this responsibility by initiating and supporting merit-selected research and education projects in all the scientific and engineering disciplines. This support is made primarily through grants, contracts, and other agreements awarded to approximately 2,800 colleges, universities, academic consortia, nonprofit institutions, and small businesses.

The Foundation relies heavily on the advice and assistance of external advisory committees, ad-hoc proposal reviewers, and to other experts to ensure

that the Foundation is able to reach fair and knowledgeable judgments. These scientists and educators come from colleges and universities, nonprofit research and education organizations, industry, and other Government agencies.

In making its decisions on proposals the counsel of these merit reviewers has proven invaluable to the Foundation both in the identification of meritorious projects and in providing sound basis for project restructuring.

Review of proposals may involve large panel sessions, small groups, or use of a mail-review system. Proposals are reviewed carefully by scientists or engineers who are expert in the particular field represented by the proposal. About one-fourth are reviewed by mail reviewers alone. Another onefourth are reviewed exclusively by panels of reviewers who gather, usually in Washington, to discuss their advice as well as to deliver it. The remaining one-half are reviewed first by mail reviewers expert in the particular field, then by panels, usually of persons with more diverse expertise, who help the NSF decide among proposals from multiple fields or sub-fields.

#### Use of the Information

The information collected is used to support grant programs of the Foundation.

The information collected on the proposal evaluation forms is used by the Foundation to determine the following criteria when awarding or declining proposals submitted to the agency: (1) Research performance competence; (2) Intrinsic merit of the research; (3) Utility or relevance of the research; and (4) Effect of the research on the infrastructure of science and engineering.

The information collected on reviewer background questionnaires is used by managers to maintain an automated data base of reviewers for the many disciplines represented by the proposals submitted to the Foundation. Information collected on gender, race, ethnicity is used in meeting NSF needs for data to permit response to congressional and other queries into equity issues. These data are also used in the design, implementation, and monitoring of NSF efforts to increase the participation of various groups in science, engineering, and education.

### Confidentiality

Verbatim but anonymous copies of reviews are sent to the principal investigators/project directors. Subject to this NSF policy and applicable laws, including the Freedom of Information Act, reviewers' comments will be given maximum protection from disclosure.

While listings of panelists' names are released, the names of individual reviewers, associated with individual proposals, are not released to anyone.

Because the Foundation is committed to monitoring and identifying any real or apparent inequities based on gender, race, ethnicity, or disability of the proposed principal investigator(s)/project director(s) or the co-principal investigator(s)/co-project director(s), the Foundation also collects race, ethnicity, disability, and gender. This information is also protected by the Privacy Act.

#### Burden on the Public

The Foundation estimates that anywhere from one hour to twenty hours may be required to review a proposal. It is estimated that approximately five hours are required to review an average proposal. Each proposal receives an average of seven reviews.

Send comments to Herman Fleming, Clearance Officer, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Suite 485, Arlington, VA 22230. Written comments should be received by October 4, 1996.

Dated: August 8, 1996. Herman G. Fleming, Reports Clearance Officer. [FR Doc. 96–20735 Filed 8–13–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7555–01–M

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-440]

### The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, et al.; Notice of Withdrawal of Application for Amendment to Facility Operating License

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has granted the request of The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company (the licensee) to withdraw its November 2, 1995, application for proposed amendment to Facility Operating License No. NPF–58 for the Perry Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1, located in Lake County, Ohio.

The proposed amendment would have revised the technical specifications pertaining to the energization of 120 volt AC buses EV-1–A and EV-1–B from either their normal inverter power supply or from their alternate power supply.

The Commission had previously issued a Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment published in the Federal Register on December 6, 1995 (60 FR 62497). However, by letter dated July 23, 1996, the licensee withdrew the proposed change.

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated November 2, 1995, and the licensee's letter dated July 23, 1996, which withdrew the application for license amendment. The above documents are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Perry Public Library, 3753 Main Street, Perry, Ohio.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 7th day of August 1996.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Jon B. Hopkins,

Sr. Project Manager, Project Directorate III-3, Division of Reactor Projects—III/IV, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 96–20680 Filed 8–13–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

### [Docket No. 50-440]

### The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, et al.; Notice of Withdrawal of Application for Amendment to Facility Operating License

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has granted the request of The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company (the licensee) to withdraw its December 21, 1994, application for proposed amendment to Facility Operating License No. NPF–58 for the Perry Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1, located in Lake County, Ohio.

The proposed amendment would have revised the technical specifications pertaining to the Traversing In-Core Probe System to allow the use of substitute data generated from the process computer, normalized with available operating measurements, to replace data from inoperable local power range monitor (LPRM) strings for up to 10 LPRM strings.

The Commission had previously issued a Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment published in the Federal Register on February 1, 1995 (60 FR 6310). However, by letter dated July 23, 1996, the licensee withdrew the proposed change.

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated December 21, 1994, and the licensee's letter dated July 23, 1996, which withdrew the application for license amendment. The above documents are available for public