Based on these figures, the cost impact of the modification proposed by this AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$862,410, or \$25,365 per airplane.

The cost impact figures discussed above are based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the proposed requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted.

Regulatory Impact

The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

Fokker: Docket 96-NM-142-AD.

Applicability: All Model F27 Mark 100, 200, 300, 400, 500, 600, and 700 series airplanes; certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been otherwise modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (e) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent fatigue-related cracking of stringers of the lower skin of the wings, which could result in reduced structural integrity of the wing, accomplish the following:

- (a) Perform an x-ray inspection to detect cracks in stringers 4 through 7, inclusive, at wing stations 11260, 11860, 12660, and 13460 of the lower skin of the wings, in accordance with Part 2 of the Accomplishment Instructions of Fokker Service Bulletin F27/57–70, May 17, 1993, at the later of the times specified in paragraphs (a)(1) and (a)(2) of this AD.
- (1) Prior to the accumulation of 30,000 total flight cycles; or
- (2) Within the next 2,000 flight cycles, or within 12 months after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs first.
- (b) If no crack is detected during any inspection required by paragraph (a) of this AD, repeat the inspection thereafter at intervals not to exceed 4,000 flight cycles.
- (c) If any crack is detected during any inspection required by this AD, prior to further flight, accomplish either paragraph (c)(1) or (c)(2) of this AD.
- (1) Modify the stringers 4 through 7, inclusive, at wing stations 11260, 11860, 12660, and 13460 of the lower skin of the wings, in accordance with Part 1 of the Accomplishment Instructions of Fokker Service Bulletin F27/57–70, dated May 17, 1993. After accomplishment of the modification, no further action is required by this AD.
- (2) Repair the crack in accordance with Part 3 of the Accomplishment Instructions of Fokker Service Bulletin F27/57–70, dated May 17, 1993. Within the next 2,000 flight cycles or 1 year following accomplishment of the repair, whichever occurs first, modify the stringers 4 through 7, inclusive, at wing stations 11260, 11860, 12660, and 13460 of the lower skin of the wings, in accordance with Part 1 of the Accomplishment Instructions of the service bulletin. After accomplishment of the modification, no further action is required by this AD.
- (d) Prior to the accumulation of 30,000 flight cycles, or within 30 months after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs later, modify the stringers 4 through 7, inclusive, at wing stations 11260, 11860,

12660, and 13460 of the lower skin of the wings, in accordance with Part 1 of the Accomplishment Instructions of Fokker Service Bulletin F27/57–70, dated May 17, 1993. Accomplishment of the modification constitutes terminating action for the requirements of this AD.

(e) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Standardization Branch, ANM–113, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Standardization Branch, ANM–113.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Standardization Branch, ANM-113.

(f) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with §§ 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on July 30, 1996.

Darrell M. Pederson,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 96–19892 Filed 8–5–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–U

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 95-NM-248-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Lockheed Model 382 Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: This document proposes the adoption of a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to all Lockheed Model 382 series airplanes. This proposal would require that all landing gear brakes be inspected for wear and replaced if the wear limits prescribed in this proposal are not met, and that the new landing gear brake wear limits be incorporated into the FAA-approved maintenance inspection program. This proposal is prompted by an accident in which a transport category airplane executed a rejected takeoff (RTO) and was unable to stop on the runway due to worn brakes; and the subsequent review of allowable brake wear limits for all transport category airplanes. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to prevent

loss of brake effectiveness during a high energy RTO.

DATES: Comments must be received by September 16, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM–103, Attention: Rules Docket No. 95–NM–248–AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from Lockheed Aeronautical Systems Support Company (LASSC), Field Support Department, Dept. 693, Zone 0755, 2251 Lake Park Drive, Smyrna, Georgia 30080. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, Atlanta Aircraft Certification Office, Campus Building, 1701 Columbia Avenue, Suite 2–160, College Park, Georgia 30337–2748.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Thomas Peters, Aerospace Engineer, Flight Test Branch, ACE–116A, FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, Atlanta Aircraft Certification Office, Campus Building, 1701 Columbia Avenue, Suite 2–160, College Park, Georgia 30337– 2748; telephone (404) 305–7367; fax

(404) 305–7348.

Comments Invited

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 95–NM–248–AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

Availability of NPRMs

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-103, Attention: Rules Docket No. 95-NM-248-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056.

Discussion

In 1988, a McDonnell Douglas Model DC–10 series airplanes was involved in an aborted takeoff accident in which eight of the ten brakes failed and the airplane ran off the end of the runway. Investigation revealed that there were failed pistons on each of the eight brakes, with O-rings damaged by overextension due to extensive wear. Fluid leaking from the damaged pistons caused the hydraulic fuses to close, releasing all brake pressure.

This accident prompted a review of the methodology used in the determination of the allowable wear limits for all transport category airplane brakes. The FAA and the Aerospace Industries Association (AIA) jointly developed a set of dynamometer test guidelines that could be used to validate appropriate wear limits for all airplane brakes. It should be noted that this worn brake accountability determination validates brake wear limits with respect to brake energy capacity only, and is not meant to account for any reduction in brake force due solely to the wear state of the brake. Any reduction in brake force (or torque) that may develop over time as a result of brake wear is to be evaluated and accounted for as part of a separate rulemaking project. The guidelines for validating brake wear limits allow credit for use of reverse thrust to determine energy level absorbed by the brake during the dynamometer test.

The FAA has requested that U.S. airframe manufacturers (1) Determine required adjustments in allowable wear limits for all of its brakes in use, (2) schedule dynamometer testing to validate wear limits as necessary, and (3) submit information from items (1) and (2) to the FAA so that appropriate rulemaking action(s) can be initiated.

Lockheed Aeronautical System Company has submitted, and the FAA has evaluated, the dynamometer test data and analyses concerning brakes installed on Model 382 series airplanes. The dynamometer test was completed in November 1990. Based on this data, the FAA has determined that the brake wear limits currently recommended in the Component Maintenance Manuals for Model 382 series airplanes are not acceptable as they relate to the effectiveness of the brakes during a high energy RTO. Further, these limits are only recommended values.

Explanation of Relevant Service Information

The FAA has reviewed and approved Hercules Alert Service Bulletin A382–32–47, dated March 1, 1995, which describes a new maximum brake wear limit approved by the FAA. The service bulletin describes procedures for performing an inspection of the main landing gear brakes, having part number 9560685, for wear. The service bulletin also describes procedures for replacement of any brake worn more than the maximum wear limit of 0.359 inch with a brake within that limit.

The FAA has determined that the actions described in this service bulletin must be taken in order to prevent loss of brake effectiveness during a high energy RTO, which can cause the airplane to leave the runway surface, possibly resulting in injuries to passengers and crew.

Explanation of Requirements of Proposed Rule

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop on other products of this same type design, the proposed AD would require (1) inspection of the main landing gear brakes, having part number 9560685, for wear, and replacement if the new wear limits are not met; and (2) incorporation of specified maximum wear limits into the FAA-approved maintenance inspection program. The inspection and replacement would be required to be accomplished in accordance with the service bulletin described previously.

Cost Impact

There are approximately 112 Lockheed Model 382 series airplanes of the affected design in the worldwide fleet. The FAA estimates that 18 airplanes of U.S. registry would be affected by this proposed AD, that it would take approximately 1 work hour per airplane to accomplish the proposed actions, and that the average labor rate is \$60 per work hour. The cost of parts to accomplish the change (cost resulting from the requirement to change the brakes before they are worn to their previously approved limits for a one-

time change) is estimated to be \$4,800 per airplane. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the proposed AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$87,480, or \$4,860 per airplane.

The cost impact figure discussed above is based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the proposed requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted.

Regulatory Impact

The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption "ADDRESSES."

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive: *Lockheed*: Docket 95–NM–248–AD.

Applicability: All Model 382 series airplanes, certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (b) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent loss of brake effectiveness during a high energy rejected takeoff (RTO), accomplish the following:

(a) Within 180 days after the effective date of this AD, accomplish the requirements of paragraphs (a)(1) and (a)(2) of this AD.

(1) Inspect the main landing gear brakes having the brake part number listed below for wear, in accordance with Hercules Alert Service Bulletin A382–32–47, dated March 1, 1995. Any brake worn more than the maximum wear limit specified below must be replaced, prior to further flight, with a brake within that limit, in accordance with the alert service bulletin.

Brake manufac- turer	Brake part mumber	Maximum wear limit (inches)
Hercules	9560685	0.359

- (2) Incorporate into the FAAapproved maintenance inspection program the maximum brake wear limits specified in paragraph (a)(1) of this AD.
- (b) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, Atlanta Aircraft Certification Office (ACO). Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Atlanta ACO.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Atlanta ACO.

(c) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on July 30, 996.

Darrell M. Pederson,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 96–19891 Filed 8–5–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–U

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Fiscal Service

31 CFR Part 344

[Department of the Treasury Circular, Public Debt Series No. 3–72]

Regulations Governing United States Treasury Certificates of Indebtedness, Treasury Notes, and Treasury Bonds— State and Local Government Series

AGENCY: Bureau of the Public Debt, Fiscal Service, Department of the Treasury.

ACTION: Proposed rule; correction.

SUMMARY: In the proposed rule, beginning on page 39227 in the issue of Friday, July 26, 1996, make the following correction:

On page 39228, in the first column, address section of the preamble, the Internet address of the Public Debt home page was incorrect. It should be changed to read: http://www.ustreas.gov/treasury/bureaus/pubdebt/pubdebt.html

Dated: July 31, 1996.

Van Zeck,

Deputy Commissioner.

[FR Doc. 96-19931 Filed 8-5-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4810-39-M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

32 CFR Part 202

RIN 0790-AG31

Restoration Advisory Boards (RABs)

AGENCY: Department of Defense, Office of the Assistant Deputy Under Secretary of Defense (Environmental Cleanup), DoD.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Department of Defense (DoD) proposes and requests public comments on regulations regarding the characteristics, composition, funding, and establishment of Restoration Advisory Boards (RABs). DoD has proposed these regulations in response to section 324 of the National Defense