State and county	Location	Dates and name of news- paper where Notice was published	Chief executive officer of community	Effective date of modi- fication	Community No.
Collin	City of Plano	May 22, 1996, May 29, 1996, <i>Plano Star Cou-</i> rier.	The Honorable James N. Muns, Mayor, City of Plano, P.O. Box 860358, Plano, Texas 75086–0358.	May 6, 1996	480140
Tarrant	City of Southlake	June 18, 1996, June 25, 1996, Fort Worth Star Telegram.	The Honorable Gary Fickes, Mayor, City of Southlake, 667 North Carroll Avenue, Southlake, Texas 76092.	May 22, 1996	480612
Tarrant	City of Southlake	June 18, 1996, June 25, 1996, Fort Worth Star Telegram.	The Honorable Gary Fickes, Mayor, City of Southlake, 667 North Carroll Avenue, Southlake, Texas 76092.	May 22, 1996	480612

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No. 83.100, "Flood Insurance")

Dated: July 26, 1996. Richard W. Krimm,

Acting Associate Director for Mitigation. [FR Doc. 96–19815 Filed 8–2–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6718-04-P

## FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION 46 CFR Part 572

[Docket No. 94-31]

Information Form and Post-Effective Reporting Requirements for Agreements Among Ocean Common Carriers Subject to the Shipping Act of 1984

**AGENCY:** Federal Maritime Commission. **ACTION:** Amendments to final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Maritime Commission is amending the final rule in this proceeding so that monitoring reports will be due within 75 days of the end of each calendar quarter, rather than 30 days as presently required. The intent of this amendment is to allow carriers subject to monitoring report obligations adequate time to gather, organize and submit all required data. In addition, the Commission is amending the final rule to provide that annual classification of agreements for monitoring purposes will be done on the basis of second-quarter market share, rather than third-quarter marketshare as presently required. The purpose of this amendment is to ensure adequate time for the submission and review of such data before the end of each calendar year.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 5, 1996.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Austin L. Schmitt, Director, Bureau of Economics and Agreement Analysis, Federal Maritime Commission, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., Washington, DC 20573–0001, (202) 523–5787.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In Docket No. 94-31, Information Form and Post-Effective Reporting Requirements for Agreements Among Ocean Common Carriers Subject to the Shipping Act of 1984, the Federal Maritime Commission amended its regulations set forth in 46 CFR part 572 governing the filing, processing and review of agreements subject to the Shipping Act of 1984. 61 FR 11564 (Mar. 21, 1996). 46 CFR 572.701(f) provides in part that Monitoring Reports shall be filed within 30 days of the end of each calendar quarter. *Id.* at 11,576. Upon further consideration, the Commission has determined that ocean common carriers subject to Monitoring Report obligations need additional time to gather, organize and submit all required data. Accordingly, 46 CFR 572.701(f) is amended to provide that Monitoring Reports shall be filed within 75 days of the end of each calendar quarter.

In addition, 46 CFR 572.702(b) provides in part that the Commission's Bureau of Economics and Agreement Analysis shall determine the monitoring obligations for certain kinds of agreements for each upcoming calendar year, based on the market share data reported on the agreements' Monitoring Reports for the previous third quarter (July-September). 61 FR at 11,577. Upon further consideration, the Commission has determined that third-quarter data would not be available to agreement carriers in time to allow for the orderly submission and review of such data before the end of each calendar year.

Accordingly, monitoring classifications will be done on the basis of market share data for the previous second quarter (April–June).

Notice and opportunity for public comment were not necessary prior to issuance of these amendments because they liberalize existing requirements and are less burdensome on the public. For the same reasons, the amendments are effective upon publication in the Federal Register, rather than being delayed for 30 days. 5 U.S.C. 553.

List of Subjects in 46 CFR Part 572

Administrative practice and procedure; Maritime carriers; Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Therefore, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 553 and sections 4, 5, 6, 10, 15 and 17 of the Shipping Act of 1984, 46 U.S.C. app. 1703, 1704, 1705, 1709, 1714 and 1716, Part 572 of Title 46, Code of Federal Regulations, is amended as follows:

# PART 572—AGREEMENTS BY OCEAN COMMON CARRIERS AND OTHER PERSONS SUBJECT TO THE SHIPPING ACT OF 1984

1. The authority citation for Part 572 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 5 U.S.C. 553, 46 U.S.C. app. 1701–1707, 1709–1710, 1712 and 1714–1717.

2. In § 572.701, the first sentence of paragraph (f) is revised as follows:

#### § 572.701 General requirements.

\* \* \* \* \*

(f) *Time for filing.* Monitoring Reports shall be filed within 75 days of the end of each calendar quarter. \* \* \*

3. In § 572.702, the second sentence of paragraph (b) is revised as follows:

### § 572.702 Agreements subject to Monitoring Report requirements.

\* \* \* \* \*

(b) \* \* Thereafter, before the beginning of each calendar year, the Bureau of Economics and Agreement Analysis shall determine whether the agreement should be classified as "Class A" or "Class B" for that year, based on the market share data reported on the agreement's quarterly Monitoring Report for the previous second quarter (April-June).

\* \* \* \* \* \*
By the Commission.
Joseph C. Polking,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-19781 Filed 8-2-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6730-01-M

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 63

[CS Docket No. 96-46; FCC 96-312]

## Video Dialtone Systems; Regulatory Scheme for Future Use

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications

Commission. **ACTION:** Final rule.

SUMMARY: The First Order on Reconsideration requires operators of existing video dialtone systems to make an election concerning what regulatory scheme they will operate under in the future. This order clarifies our rules in accordance with the

Telecommunications Act of 1996. This order fulfills the mandate of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

DATES: Effective August 5, 1996.

Public and agency comments on the information collection are due on or before August 30, 1996. OMB notification of action is requested September 4, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Comments on the information collection contained herein should be submitted to Dorothy Conway, Federal Communications Commission, Room 234, 1919 M Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20554, or via the Internet to dconway@fcc.gov, and to Timothy Fain, OMB Desk Officer, 10236 NEOB, 725–17th Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20503 or via the Internet to fain—t@al.eop.gov.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For additional information concerning the information collection contained herein contact Dorothy Conway at 202–418–0217, or via the Internet at dconway@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This First Order on Reconsideration contains a new information collection under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (the "1995 Act"). The Commission has requested approval of this collection by the Office of Management and Budget ("OMB"), under the emergency processing provisions of the 1995 Act. Approval is requested to be effective September 4, 1996. The Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burdens, invites the general public and OMB to comment on the information collection contained in this First Order on Reconsideration, as required by the 1995 Act. Public and agency comments are due on or before August 30, 1996. Comments should address: (1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (2) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimates; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. OMB notification of action is requested September 4, 1996.

OMB Approval Number: New collection submitted for OMB approval.

Title: Implementation of Section 302 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. Type of Review: New collection.

Respondents: Businesses, and other for profit entities.

Number of Respondents: Approximately 10.

Number of Responses: 14. (10 elections letters+4 showings of good cause=14.).

Estimated Time Per Response: .5–5.5 hours. The Commission estimates an average burden of .5 hours to prepare and file each election letter. The Commission estimates a burden of 5 hours to prepare and file a showing of good cause requesting an extension of time. The Commission estimates entities will undergo an average burden of 2 hours to coordinate information with outside legal assistance in preparing each showing of good cause.

Total Annual Burden: 13 hours. (10 election letters×.5 hours=5 hours.) (4 showings of good cause×2 hours=8 hours.)

Estimated costs per respondent: \$3000. The Commission estimates that respondents will use outside legal assistance paid at \$150 per hour to prepare showings for good cause. (4

showings of good cause×5 hours @ \$150 per hour=\$3000.)

Needs and Uses: The election letters and any potential showings of good cause will be collected and reviewed by the Commission to ensure that all existing video dialtone operators have elected an option for the delivery of video programming services under Section 651. The filings will serve as an official record to verify that video dialtone operators are in compliance with the Commission's rules and the intent of Congress.

First Order on Reconsideration

#### I. Introduction

1. On February 8, 1996, the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (the "1996 Act") was signed into law. Among other things, the 1996 Act repealed the telephone-cable crossownership restriction imposed by the Cable Communications Policy Act of 1984 ("1984 Cable Act"), which generally prohibited common carriers from providing video programming directly to subscribers in their telephone service areas. The 1996 Act also repealed the Commission's "video dialtone" rules and policies, which had been established to permit common carriers to participate in the video marketplace in a manner that was consistent with the statutory telephonecable cross-ownership restriction. In repealing the Commission's video dialtone rules and policies, the 1996 Act provided:

The Commission's regulations and policies with respect to video dialtone requirements issued in CC Docket No. 87–266 shall cease to be effective on the date of enactment of this Act. This paragraph shall not be construed to require the termination of any video-dialtone system that the Commission has approved before the date of enactment of this Act.

- 2. Consistent with the above statutory provisions, in the *Report and Order and Notice of Proposed Rulemaking* in CS Docket No. 96–46, the Commission: (1) Eliminated our rules implementing the telephone-cable cross-ownership restriction; (2) eliminated our video dialtone rules and policies; (3) terminated our proceeding that established our video dialtone rules and policies (CC Docket No. 87–266); and (4) did not require currently approved video dialtone systems to cease operations.
- 3. The general regulatory treatment for video programming services provided by common carriers is now set forth in new Sections 651 through 653 of Title VI of the Communications Act of 1934 (the "Communications Act"). The