with 19 CFR 353.34(d). Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR 353.22.

Dated: July 29, 1996.

Robert S. LaRussa,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 96–19727 Filed 8–1–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

[A–583–816]

Certain Stainless Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings From Taiwan; Termination of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of termination of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: On July 14, 1995, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain stainless steel butt-weld pipe fittings (pipe fittings) from Taiwan covering the period June 1, 1994 through May 31, 1995. We are now terminating that review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 2, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robert James or John Kugelman, Enforcement Group III, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone (202) 482–5222.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On June 7, 1995, Ta Chen Stainless Pipe, Ltd. (Ta Chen), a manufacturer of merchandise subject to this order, requested that the Department conduct an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on pipe fittings from Taiwan. The period of review is June 1, 1994 through May 31, 1995.

On July 14, 1995, the Department published in the Federal Register (60 FR 36260) a notice of initiation of an administrative review of the order with respect to Ta Chen and the period June 1, 1994 through May 31, 1995.

Ta Chen, on November 20, 1995, requested that it be allowed to withdraw its request for a review and that the review be terminated.

The Department's regulations, at 19 CFR 353.22(a)(5) (1994), state that ''the Secretary may permit a party that requests a review under paragraph (a) of this section to withdraw the request not later than 90 days after the date of publication of notice of initiation of the requested review. The Secretary may extend this time limit if the Secretary decides that it is reasonable to do so.'' In light of the fact that no significant work has been done in this review, and in light of the burden upon the parties and the Department in completing this review, we have determined that it is reasonable to allow Ta Chen to withdraw its request for review. See Steel Wire Rope From Japan; Partial Termination of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews, 56 FR 41118 (August 19, 1991). Accordingly, the Department is terminating this review.

This notice serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APOs) of their responsibility concerning disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with § 353.34(d) of the Department's regulations. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We will issue appraisement instructions directly to the U.S. Customs Service.

This notice is in accordance with § 353.22(a)(5) of the Department's regulations (19 CFR 353.22(a)(5)).

Dated: July 26, 1996.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Deputy Assistant Secretary, Enforcement Group III.

[FR Doc. 96–19724 Filed 8–1–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–32–P

Johns Hopkins University, et al.; Notice of Consolidated Decision on Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instruments

This is a decision consolidated pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. in Room 4211, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instruments described below, for such purposes as each is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States.

Docket Number: 95–097R. Applicant: Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD 21218. Instrument: Stopped-Flow Spectrophotometer, Model SX.17MV. Manufacturer: Applied Photophysics Ltd., United Kingdom. Intended Use: See notice at 60 FR 57222, November 14, 1995. Reasons: The foreign instrument provides: (1) Sensitive fluorescence analysis, (2) sequential mixing capability and (3) minimum sample volume of 50 µl per shot after a volume of 100 µl to prime the first shot. Advice received from: The National Institutes of Health, June 5, 1996.

Docket Number: 96–016. Applicant: University of Iowa Hospitals and Clinics, Iowa City, IA 52242. Instrument: [^{11}C] Methylation Synthesis Module. Manufacturer: Nuclear Interface GmbH, Germany. Intended Use: See notice at 61 FR 25622, May 22, 1996. Reasons: The foreign instrument provides: (1) An integrated preparative chromatography unit, (2) automated solid phase purification and (3) radioactivity detection and monitoring of reactor products and chromatographic effluent. Advice received from: The National Institutes of Health, March 28, 1996.

Docket Number: 96–024. Applicant: The University of Georgia, Athens, GA 30602–2352. Instrument: Mass Spectrometer, Model VG AutoSpec. Manufacturer: Fisons Instruments, United Kingdom. Intended Use: See notice at 61 FR 25622, May 22, 1996. Reasons: The foreign instrument provides: (1) Matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization and (2) precursor ion resolution to 10 000. Advice received from: The National Institutes of Health, March 29, 1996.

The National Institutes of Health advises in its memoranda that (1) the capabilities of each of the foreign instruments described above are pertinent to each applicant's intended purpose and (2) it knows of no domestic instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value for the intended use of each instrument.

We know of no other instrument or apparatus being manufactured in the United States which is of equivalent

scientific value to any of the foreign instruments.

Frank W. Creel,

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff.

[FR Doc. 96–19730 Filed 8–01–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

Mississippi State University, et al.; Notice of Consolidated Decision on Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instruments

This is a decision consolidated pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. in Room 4211, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instruments described below, for such purposes as each is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States.

Docket Number: 95–088R. Applicant: Mississippi State University, Mississippi State, MS 37962. Instrument: Stopped-Flow Spectrometer, Model SX.17MV. Manufacturer: Applied Photophysics Ltd., United Kingdom. Intended Use: See notice at 60 FR 54337, October 23, 1995. Reasons: The foreign instrument provides a fiber optic light guide interface permitting sample illumination within the confines of an inert atmosphere glove box. Advice received from: The National Institutes of Health, April 15, 1996.

Docket Number: 95–114R. Applicant: Research Triangle Institute, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709. Instrument: (2) Mass Spectrometers, Model PlasmaQuad 2. Manufacturer: Fisons Instruments, Inc., United Kingdom. Intended Use: See notice at 60 FR 64157, December 14, 1995. Reasons: The foreign instrument provides a detection limit of less than 1 ppt for lead and detection limits less than 10 ppt for arsenic and selenium. Advice received from: The National Institutes of Health, June 10, 1996.

Docket Number: 96–032. Applicant: University of California, Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, CA 93106–9510. Instrument: Stopped-Flow Spectrophotometer, Model SX.18MV. Manufacturer: Applied Photophysics Ltd., United Kingdom. Intended Use: See notice at 61 FR 28176, June 4, 1996. Reasons: The foreign instrument provides sequential mixing and complete anaerobic operation. Advice received from: The National Institutes of Health, March 29, 1996.

The National Institutes of Health advises in its memoranda that (1) the capabilities of each of the foreign instruments described above are pertinent to each applicant's intended purpose and (2) it knows of no domestic instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value for the intended use of each instrument.

We know of no other instrument or apparatus being manufactured in the United States which is of equivalent scientific value to any of the foreign instruments.

Frank W. Creel,

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff.

[FR Doc. 96–19731 Filed 8–01–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

Princeton University, et al.; Notice of Consolidated Decision on Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instruments

This is a decision consolidated pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. in Room 4211, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instruments described below, for such purposes as each is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States.

Docket Number: 96–015. Applicant: Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544–0033. Instrument: Spectrophotometer/Fluorimeter System. Manufacturer: Hi-Tech Scientific, United Kingdom. Intended Use: See notice at 61 FR 25622, May 22, 1996. Reasons: The foreign instrument provides (1) a diode array detector for simultaneous monitoring of all frequencies and (2) the ability to function at the low temperatures demanded by experimental conditions.

Docket Number: 96–018. Applicant: Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843–2128. Instrument: Multi-Mixing Stopped-Flow Spectrometer, Model SX.18MV. Manufacturer: Applied Photophysics Ltd., United Kingdom. Intended Use: See notice at 61 FR 25622, May 22, 1996. Reasons: The foreign instrument provides (1) a microvolume automated spectrofluorimeter module with full anaerobic capability and (2) multi-mixing capabilities through the use of multiple injection syringes.

Docket Number: 96–020. Applicant: National Institutes of Health, Phoenix, AZ 85014. Instrument: Mass Spectrometer, Model Delta S. Manufacturer: Finnigan MAT, Germany. Intended Use: See notice at 61 FR 25622, May 22, 1996. Reasons: The foreign instrument provides (1) a dual viscous gas flow inlet system with variable volume bellows for both the sample and reference gases and (2) a Friederichsen H_2O–CO_2 equilibrator for automated analysis of $^{18}O/^{16}O$ of H_2O.

Docket Number: 96–022. Applicant: Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Chevy Chase, MD 20815–6789. Instrument: 4 Syringe Stopped-Flow Module, Model SFM–4/S. Manufacturer: BioLogic, France. Intended Use: See notice at 61 FR 25622, May 22, 1996. Reasons: The foreign instrument provides four independently controlled syringes for variable ratio, multi-mixing experiments and low convection mixer design to reduce viscosity artifacts.

Docket Number: 96–028. Applicant: Florida International University, Miami, FL 33199. Instrument: (2) Mass Spectrometers, Model Delta C. Manufacturer: Finnigan MAT, Germany. Intended Use: See notice at 61 FR 28176, June 4, 1996. Reasons: The foreign instrument provides an internal precision of 0.006 per mil for 10 bar µl samples of CO^2 and automated analyses of ^{15}N and ^{13}C from the same sample.

The capabilities of each of the foreign instruments described above are pertinent to each applicant's intended purposes. We know of no instrument or apparatus being manufactured in the United States which is of equivalent scientific value to any of the foreign instruments.

Frank W. Creel,

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff.

[FR Doc. 96–19729 Filed 8–1–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

Renewal of the U.S. Automotive Parts Advisory Committee

AGENCY: International Trade Administration, Commerce.

ACTION: Renewal of the U.S. Automotive Parts Advisory Committee.

SUMMARY: Having determined that the committee's work continues to be in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department by law, the U.S. Automotive Parts Advisory Committee (APAC) was renewed. The renewal of the committee is in accordance with the Federal