

Responses to public and agency comment on the DEIS are included in the FEIS. This programmatic FEIS considers impacts to cultural resources, natural resources, and the socioeconomic environment. No significant adverse impacts are anticipated.

DATES: The no-action period for the plan will commence when the Environmental Protection Agency formally announces the availability of the FEIS in the Federal Register, and end 30 days thereafter.

ADDRESSES: Inquiries and comments on the FEIS should be directed to: Superintendent, Pacific Great Basin System Support Office, 600 Harrison Street, Suite 600, San Francisco, CA 94107, Attention: Meredith Kaplan. The telephone number for further information is (415) 744-3968.

Copies of the plan and FEIS are available at the Pacific Great Basin System Support Office at the above address. Copies are also available for inspection at libraries located in cities along the Anza Trail route.

Dated: July 22, 1996.
Patricia L. Neubachen,
Acting Field Director, Pacific West Area.
[FR Doc. 96-19599; Filed 7-31-96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4310-10-P

JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

Hearing of the Judicial Conference Advisory Committee on Rules of Appellate Procedure

AGENCY: Judicial Conference of the United States, Advisory Committee on Rules of Appellate Procedure.

ACTION: Notice of Cancellation of Open Hearing.

SUMMARY: The Appellate Rules Committee public hearing scheduled to be held in Denver, Colorado on August 2, 1996, has been canceled. [Original notice of hearing appeared in the Federal Register of May 24, 1996 (61 FR 26207).]

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

John K. Rabiej, Chief, Rules Committee Support Office, Administrative Office of the United States Courts, Washington, DC 20544, telephone (202) 273-1820.

Dated: July 26, 1996.
John K. Rabiej,
Chief, Rules Committee Support Office.
[FR Doc. 96-19544 Filed 7-31-96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 2201-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant to the Clean Water Act

In accordance with Departmental Policy, 28 CFR § 50.7, notice is hereby given that a Consent Decree in *United States v. Cumberland Farms, Inc., et al.*, Civil No. 91-10051-MLW (D. Mass.), was lodged with the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts on July 25, 1996.

The Consent Decree concerns alleged violations of section 301(a) of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1311(a), resulting from the defendants' discharge of fill material into wetlands without a permit from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Cumberland Farms, Inc. and other parties unlawfully filled freshwater wetlands to create approximately 176 acres of cranberry beds at three separate sites in Hanson and Halifax, Massachusetts. Under the Consent Decree, Cumberland Farms, Inc. will pay a \$50,000 civil penalty, establish a 30 acre wildlife and wetlands corridor, and transfer 225 acres of property to the Massachusetts Department of Fisheries, Wildlife and Environmental Law Enforcement for conservation purposes.

The Department of Justice will receive written comments relating to the proposed Consent Decree for a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this notice. Comments should be addressed to James W. Rubin, Attorney, U.S. Department of Justice, Policy, Legislation and Special Litigation Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division, P.O. Box 4390, Ben Franklin Station, Washington, DC 20044-4390, and should refer to *United States v. Cumberland Farms, Inc., et al.*, Civil No. 91-10051-MLW (D. Mass.).

The Consent Judgment may be examined at the Clerk's Office, United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts, J.W. McCormack Post Office and Court House, 90 Devonshire Street, Boston, MA 02109.

Anna Wolgast,
Acting Chief, Environmental Defense Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.
[FR Doc. 96-19596 Filed 7-31-96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4410-01-M

Drug Enforcement Administration

Manufacturer of Controlled Substances; Notice of Registration

By Notice dated March 15, 1996, and published in the Federal Register on March 27, 1996, (61 FR 13518), High Standard Products, 1100 W. Florence Avenue, #8, Inglewood, California 90301, made application to the Drug

Enforcement Administration (DEA) for registration as a bulk manufacturer of the basic classes of controlled substances listed below:

Drug	Schedule
Methaqualone (2565)	I
Lysergic acid diethylamide (7315)	I
Tetrahydrocannabinols (7370)	I
3,4-Methylenedioxyamphetamine (7400)	I
3,4-Methylenedioxy-N-ethylamphetamine (7404)	I
3,4-Methylenedioxyamphetamine (7405)	I
4-Methoxyamphetamine (7411)	I
Heroin (9200)	I
Normorphine (9313)	I
3-Methylfentanyl (9813)	I
Amphetamine (1100)	II
Methamphetamine (1105)	II
Phencyclidine (7471)	II
Cocaine (9041)	II
Codeine (9050)	II
Diphenoxylate (9170)	II
Benzoylcegonine (9180)	II
Hydrocodone (9193)	II
Methadone (9250)	II
Morphine (9300)	II
Fentanyl (9801)	II

No comments or objections have been received. DEA has considered the factors in Title 21, United States Code, Section 823(a) and determined that the registration of High Standard Products to manufacture the listed controlled substances is consistent with the public interest at this time. Therefore, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 823 and 28 U.S.C. 0.100 and 0.104, the Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, hereby orders that the application submitted by the above firm for registration as a bulk manufacturer of the basic classes of controlled substances listed above is granted.

Dated: July 25, 1996.
Gene R. Haislip,
Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration.
[FR Doc. 96-19611 Filed 7-31-96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4410-09-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**Occupational Safety and Health Administration****NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION****[NRC Docket Nos. 70-7001; 70-7002]****Memorandum of Understanding With Respect to the Gaseous Diffusion Plants**

AGENCIES: Nuclear Regulatory Commission and Occupational Safety and Health Administration, Labor.

ACTION: Publication of Memorandum of Understanding between the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) and the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA).

SUMMARY: NRC and OSHA have entered into a Memorandum of Understanding that describes the authorities of NRC and OSHA in implementing the Energy Policy Act of 1992 provision on occupational safety and health hazards at the gaseous diffusion plants, currently operated by the U.S. Enrichment Corporation (USEC), covering inspection, investigation, enforcement, and other regulation relating to such hazards. A memorandum of agreement is required by the new USEC Privatization Act, and will apply to operations of USEC and any corporation which succeeds USEC. The text of the Memorandum of Understanding is set forth below.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. John W. N. Hickey, telephone 301-415-7192, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, MS T-8A-33, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555; or Mr. Gregory Watchman, telephone 202-219-6091, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 26th day of July 1996.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
John W.N. Hickey,
Chief, Enrichment Branch, Division of Fuel Cycle Safety and Safeguards.

For the Occupational Safety and Health Administration.
Gregory Watchman,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Occupational Safety and Health.

Memorandum of Understanding Between the Nuclear Regulatory Commission and the Occupational Safety and Health Administration With Respect to the Gaseous Diffusion Plants

I. Legislation and Authorities

The Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended by the Energy Policy Act of 1992 (the Act), created the United States Enrichment Corporation (USEC), a government corporation, to manage and operate the two uranium gaseous diffusion enrichment plants (GDPs) in Paducah, Kentucky, and Piketon, Ohio, owned and previously operated by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE). Pursuant to the Act, on July 1, 1993, USEC began leasing from DOE substantial operating portions of the two GDPs. Section 1312 of the Act requires USEC to be subject to and comply with the Occupational Safety and Health Act (OSH Act) in the same manner, and to the same extent, as an employer is subject to the OSH Act, notwithstanding sections 3(5), 4(b)(1), and 19 of the OSH Act.

In addition, the Act requires the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) to promulgate standards applicable to the GDPs, to protect the public health and safety from radiological hazards, and to provide for the common defense and security. NRC must establish an annual certification process for compliance with these standards. NRC published its final standards, 10 CFR Part 76, "Certification of Gaseous Diffusion Plants," on September 23, 1994 (59 FR 48944). NRC will assume regulatory oversight responsibility with respect to USEC's compliance with the Part 76 standards after NRC completes the first compliance certification process.

The USEC Privatization Act, signed into law on April 26, 1996, provides for establishment of a private corporation to succeed USEC. The USEC Privatization Act specifies that the private corporation will be subject to the OSH Act, but the exceptions to sections 3(5), 4(b)(1), and 19 were removed with respect to the private successor. Furthermore, the USEC Privatization Act requires NRC and Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) to enter into a memorandum of agreement, within 90 days of enactment of the Privatization Act, to govern the exercise of their authority over occupational safety and health at the GDPs.

II. Background and Purpose

A. Both NRC and OSHA have responsibilities concerning occupational safety and health at GDPs. Because it is not always practical to sharply identify boundaries between the nuclear and radiological safety regulated by NRC and the industrial safety regulated by OSHA, the two agencies have agreed to coordinate their

regulatory programs to assure worker safety, avoid regulatory gaps in the protection of workers, and avoid duplicative regulation.

B. The purpose of this Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between NRC and OSHA is to delineate the general areas of responsibility of each agency; to describe generally the efforts of the agencies to achieve worker protection; and to provide guidelines for coordination of interface activities between the two agencies at the GDPs. The MOU applies both to USEC and any private successor corporation.

C. DOE remains the owner of the GDP sites and facilities, and continues to conduct and regulate activities at the sites that are outside NRC jurisdiction. This MOU does not apply to DOE facilities that are not leased, and does not affect jurisdictional issues between OSHA and DOE.

III. Hazards Associated With GDPs

Working conditions at the GDPs involve both radiological and non-radiological occupational hazards. Frequently, conditions involve a combination of these hazards. Examples are: (1) radioactive materials and other chemicals, in the same work area, that present potential radiological and chemical hazards, (2) hazardous chemicals that could adversely affect radiological safety or could be released from the processing of radioactive materials, and (3) a fire or explosion hazard that could cause a release of radioactive material and other hazardous chemicals.

In general, NRC will apply its standards to working conditions involving radiological hazards, OSHA will apply its standards to working conditions involving non-radiological hazards, and both agencies will apply their standards to conditions involving a combination of hazards. NRC and OSHA will coordinate their efforts as specified in this memorandum.

IV. NRC Responsibilities

NRC is responsible for certifying two leased GDPs, as mandated by the Act and other applicable statutes. NRC will conduct compliance certification in accordance with 10 CFR Part 76. This will include regulation of radiological hazards and any other hazards that may affect radiological safety of the facilities.

NRC's responsibilities include protecting public health and safety, including workers, and protecting and safeguarding materials and plants in the interest of national security. Agency functions are performed through: standards-setting and rulemaking; technical reviews and studies; conduct of public hearings; issuance of compliance certificates; inspection, investigation and enforcement; and evaluation of operating experience.

V. OSHA Responsibilities

OSHA is responsible for administering the requirements established under the OSH Act and OSHA standards. Under the OSH Act, employers have a general duty to furnish each employee with a place of employment that is free from recognized hazards that can cause death or serious physical harm and to comply with all OSHA standards, rules, and regulations. OSHA standards contain requirements designed to protect employees against workplace hazards. Under the OSH

Act, OSHA is authorized among other things to conduct workplace health and safety inspections, including inspections in response to employee complaints, and to issue citations and conduct enforcement actions.

Section 1312 of the Energy Policy Act contains certain exceptions to the OSH Act as applied to USEC. The USEC Privatization Act deleted these exceptions with respect to application of the OSH Act to a private corporation which succeeds USEC.

VI. Implementation

In recognition of the agencies' authorities and responsibilities enumerated above, the following procedures will be followed:

A. NRC will apply its standards in inspection and enforcement of working conditions involving radiological hazards or combined hazards as described in Paragraph III. OSHA will apply its standards in inspection and enforcement of working conditions involving non-radiological hazards or combined hazards as described in Paragraph III. OSHA will not normally conduct enforcement actions with regard to GDP working conditions that involve solely radiological hazards.

B. It is not intended that either agency will in any way be restricted from regulating safety within their respective jurisdictions. If NRC or OSHA identifies, or is notified by the operator of, a conflict between NRC and OSHA requirements, both agencies will work together to resolve the concern promptly.

C. NRC has established a permanent site office and assigned full-time inspectors at each GDP, and plans to continue this arrangement for the foreseeable future. The results of NRC inspections will be provided to OSHA on request, subject to applicable procedures to protect classified and proprietary information. The information will also be available in NRC local public document rooms, and available to GDP workers on request, except for any portions containing classified, proprietary, private, or other information withheld from the public in accordance with applicable laws and regulations. NRC resident inspectors will also be available to discuss working conditions with workers.

D. Although NRC does not conduct inspections exclusively focused on non-radiological safety, in the course of inspections related to radiological hazards or combined hazards, NRC personnel may identify non-radiological worker safety concerns. NRC will bring the identified matters to the attention of GDP management. Significant worker safety concerns will be documented in writing and made available as specified in Paragraph VI.C. In addition, OSHA will be informed as follows:

1. *Referral to OSHA of Hazards Identified by NRC.* If non-radiological worker safety concerns are identified by NRC, or if USEC demonstrates a pattern of unresponsiveness to non-radiological worker safety concerns identified by others, NRC will inform the appropriate OSHA Regional Office.

2. *Referral to OSHA of Worker Safety and Health Complaints.* NRC will refer worker safety or health complaints, related to non-radiological or combined hazards, to the

appropriate OSHA Regional Office in accordance with existing NRC procedures. These procedures provide for protection of the identity of the complainant to the extent feasible.

E. To the extent practicable, OSHA inspectors will inform the NRC Site Office, on arrival on site, of OSHA inspections in areas where combined radiological and non-radiological hazards are present as described in Paragraph III. Findings from such inspections will be shared and coordinated with NRC.

F. OSHA Regional Offices will inform the NRC Region III Office or Site Office of matters related to radiological hazards or combined hazards, when such matters come to their attention during inspections or through complaints. Workers' complaints falling within NRC jurisdiction will be handled by NRC in accordance with existing procedures.

G. Worker representatives may accompany NRC inspectors on inspections of working conditions as provided in 10 CFR Part 19. Worker representatives may accompany OSHA inspectors as provided in 29 CFR Part 1903.

H. The employee protection provisions in Section 211 of the Energy Reorganization Act of 1974, as amended, 10 CFR Part 76.7, and Section 11 (c) of the OSH Act are applicable to employees of USEC and contractors at its administered facilities.

I. In recognition of the fact that both NRC and OSHA will conduct inspections in areas where combined hazards are present, OSHA will provide NRC personnel with basic chemical and industrial safety training in OSHA safety standards, consistent with ongoing OSHA training programs and resource constraints. Also, NRC will provide OSHA personnel with training in basic radiation safety requirements, consistent with ongoing NRC training programs and resource constraints. Details of such training will be as mutually agreed to by the NRC Technical Training Center and the OSHA National Training Institute.

VII. Enforcement

A. Each agency will conduct an inspection and enforcement program within its responsibilities as warranted.

B. Each agency will take enforcement actions as it deems appropriate within the limits of its authorities. Upon completion of any NRC and/or OSHA inspections/investigations associated with the same set of facts or the same incident for which either agency intends to take enforcement action, NRC and OSHA will consult with each other on the results of their respective inspections and will jointly define the scope of enforcement actions to minimize duplicative enforcement actions and preclude duplicative civil penalties.

VIII. Contacts

NRC and OSHA will designate appropriate contacts for implementation of this memorandum. A list of OSHA contacts will be provided to the Director, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, NRC. A list of NRC contacts will be provided to the Director of Policy, OSHA.

IX. Effective Date, Revision, and Termination

This memorandum shall be effective upon signature by authorized representatives of the respective agencies, and shall continue in effect until revised by mutual agreement, unless terminated by either party upon 120 days notice in writing.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Dated: July 26, 1996.

James M. Taylor,

Executive Director for Operations.

For the Occupational Safety and Health Administration.

Dated: July 26, 1996.

Joseph A. Dear,

Assistant Secretary for Occupational Safety and Health.

[FR Doc. 96-19609 Filed 7-31-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NATIONAL ARCHIVES AND RECORDS ADMINISTRATION

Renewal of Advisory Committee on Presidential Libraries

This notice is published in accordance with the provisions of section 9(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463, 5 U.S.C., App.) and advises of the renewal of the National Archives and Records Administration's (NARA) Advisory Committee on Presidential Libraries. In accordance with Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-135, OMB approved the inclusion of the Advisory Committee on Presidential Libraries in NARA's ceiling of discretionary advisory committees. The Committee Management Secretariat, General Services Administration, also concurred with the renewal of the Advisory Committee on Presidential Libraries in correspondence dated June 21, 1996.

The Archivist of the United States has determined that the renewal of the Advisory Committee is in the public interest due to the expertise and valuable advice the Committee members provide on issues affecting the functioning of existing Presidential libraries and library programs and the development of future Presidential libraries. NARA will use the Committee's recommendations in our implementation of strategies for the efficient operation of the Presidential libraries.

Dated: July 24, 1996.

L. Reynolds Cahoon,

NARA Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 96-19590 Filed 7-31-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7515-01-M