

Notices

Federal Register

Vol. 61, No. 137

Tuesday, July 16, 1996

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Bureau of Export Administration

Offsets in Military Reports

ACTION: Proposed collection; comment request.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)).

DATES: Written comments must be submitted on or before September 16, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to Linda Engelmeier, Acting Departmental Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 5327, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument(s) and instructions should be directed to Stephen Baker, Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Room 6877, Washington, D.C., 20230 (telephone: 202-482-0500).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Abstract

The Defense Production Act Amendments of 1992, Section 123 (P.L. 102-558), which amended Section 309 or the Defense Production Act of 1950, requires United States firms to furnish information regarding offset agreements and transactions exceeding \$5,000,000 in value associated with sales of weapon systems or defense-related items to foreign countries. The information collected on offset agreements and

transactions will be used to assess the cumulative effect of offset compensation practices on U.S. trade and competitiveness, as required by statute.

II. Method of Collection

The information is provided annually by a written report.

III. Data

OMB Number: 0694-0084.

Form Number: None.

Type of Review: Regular submission for extension of a currently approved collection.

Affected Public: Individuals, businesses or other for-profit and not-for-profit institutions.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 100.

Estimated Time Per Response: 10 hours per response.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 1,000.

Estimated Total Annual Cost: \$20,000 (Respondents will not need to purchase equipment or materials to respond to this survey).

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they will also become a matter of public record.

Dated: July 10, 1996.

Linda Engelmeier,

Acting Departmental Forms Clearance Officer, Office of Management and Organization.

[FR Doc. 96-18036 Filed 7-15-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DT-P

International Trade Administration

Determination Not to Revoke Antidumping Duty Orders and Findings Nor to Terminate Suspended Investigations

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Determination not to revoke antidumping duty orders and findings nor to terminate suspended investigations.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce is notifying the public of its determination not to revoke the antidumping duty orders and findings nor to terminate the suspended investigations listed below.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 16, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael Panfeld or the analyst listed under Antidumping Proceeding at: Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230, telephone (202) 482-4737.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Department of Commerce (the Department) may revoke an antidumping duty order or finding or terminate a suspended investigation, pursuant to 19 CFR § 353.25(d)(4)(iii), if no interested party has requested an administrative review for four consecutive annual anniversary months and no domestic interested party objects to the revocation or requests an administrative review.

We had not received a request to conduct an administrative review for the most recent four consecutive annual anniversary months. Therefore, pursuant to § 353.25(d)(4)(i) of the Department's regulations, on May 2, 1996, we published in the Federal Register a notice of intent to revoke these antidumping duty orders and findings and to terminate the suspended investigations and served written notice of the intent to each domestic interested party on the Department's service list in each case. Within the specified time frame, we received requests for administrative review or objections from domestic interested parties to our intent to revoke these antidumping duty orders and findings and to terminate the

suspended investigations. Therefore, because administrative reviews were requested or because domestic interested parties objected to our intent to revoke or terminate, we no longer intend to revoke these antidumping duty orders and findings or to terminate the suspended investigations.

Antidumping Proceeding

A-357-802

Argentina

Rectangular Carbon Steel Tubing

Objection Date: May 30, 1996

Objector: Hannibal Industries, Inc.

Contact: Tom Killiam at (202) 482-2704

A-351-503

Brazil

Iron Construction Castings

Objection Date: May 7, 1996

Objector: East Jordan Iron Works, Inc.

Contact: Hermes Pinilla at (202) 482-3477

A-533-502

India

Pipes and Tubes

Review Requested By: Rajinder Pipes

Limited of India on May 22, 1996,

Allied Tube and Conduit Corporation,

Sawhill Tubular Division of Armco

Inc., Wheatland Tube Company, and

Laclede Steel Company on May 24,

1996, Lloyds Metals & Engineers Ltd.

on April 30, 1996

Contact: Davina Hashmi at (202) 482-0180

A-588-066

Japan

Impression Fabric

Objection Date: May 30, 1996

Objector: Bomont Industries

Contact: Lyn Johnson at (202) 482-5287

A-580-507

South Korea

Malleable Cast Iron Pipe Fittings, Other than Grooved

Objection Date: May 8, 1996

Objector: Grinnell Corporation, Ward

Manufacturing, Inc., and Stockham

Valves & Fittings Co., Inc.

Contact: Thomas Schauer at (202) 482-4852

A-583-008

Taiwan

Certain Welded Carbon Steel Pipe & Tubes

Review Requested By: Allied Tube and

Conduit Corporation, Sawhill Tubular

Division of Armco Inc., Wheatland

Tube Company, and Laclede Steel

Company on May 24, 1996

Contact: Michael Heaney at (202) 482-4475

A-583-008

Taiwan

Malleable Cast Iron Pipe Fittings, Other Than Grooved

Objection Date: May 8, 1996

Objector: Grinnell Corporation, Ward Manufacturing Inc., Stockham Valves & Fittings Co., Inc.

Contact: Laurel LaCivita at (202) 482-4740

Dated: July 12, 1996.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Compliance.

[FR Doc. 96-18053 Filed 7-15-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

[A-331-602]

Certain Fresh Cut Flowers From Ecuador; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: International Trade Administration, Import Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

SUMMARY: On August 2, 1995, the Department of Commerce published the preliminary results of its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain fresh cut flowers from Ecuador. The review covers 12 producers and/or exporters of this merchandise to the United States and the period March 1, 1993 through February 28, 1994.

We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the preliminary results. Based on our analysis of the comments received and the correction of certain clerical errors, we have made certain changes for the final results. The review indicates the existence of dumping margins for certain firms during the review period. Therefore, we will instruct U.S. Customs to assess antidumping duties equal to the difference between the United States price (USP) and the foreign market value (FMV).

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 16, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Thomas E. Schauer or Richard Rimlinger, Office of Antidumping Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4852/4477.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On March 18, 1987, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the Federal Register (52 FR 8494) the antidumping duty order on certain fresh cut flowers from Ecuador. On March 4, 1994, the Department published a notice of "Opportunity to Request Administrative Review" with respect to the period March 1, 1993 through

February 28, 1994 (59 FR 14608). The Department received a timely request for review from the petitioner, the Floral Trade Council, on March 31, 1994, in accordance with 19 CFR 353.22(a). On August 2, 1995, we published the preliminary results of the administrative review (60 FR 39358). Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute and to the Department's regulations are references to the provisions as they existed on December 31, 1994.

Two respondents have asked that we correct clerical errors contained in their responses. We have had a long-standing practice of correcting a respondent's clerical errors after the preliminary results only if we can assess from information already on the record that an error has been made, that the error is obvious from the record, and that the correction is accurate. See *Industrial Belts and Components and Parts Thereof, Whether Cured or Uncured, From Italy: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 57 FR 8295, 8297 (March 9, 1992). In light of a recent decision of the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (CAFC), we have reevaluated our policy for correcting clerical errors of respondents. See *NTN Bearing Corp. v. United States*, Slip Op. 94-1186 (Fed. Cir. 1995) (*NTN*).

In *NTN*, the CAFC ruled that the Department had abused its discretion by refusing to correct certain clerical errors, which the respondent brought to the Department's attention after the preliminary results of review. Specifically, the CAFC found that the Department's application of its test for determining whether to correct clerical errors in *NTN* was unreasonable for the following reasons: 1) the requirement that the record disclose the error essentially precludes corrections of clerical errors made by a respondent; 2) draconian penalties are inappropriate for clerical errors because clerical errors are by their nature not errors in judgment but merely inadvertencies; 3) in *NTN*'s case, a straightforward mathematical adjustment was all that was required, so correction of *NTN*'s errors would neither have required beginning anew nor have delayed issuance of the final results of review.

As a result of the *NTN* decision, we are modifying our policy regarding the correction of alleged clerical errors. We will accept corrections of clerical errors under the following conditions: (1) the error in question must be demonstrated to be a clerical error, not a methodological error, an error in judgment, or a substantive error; (2) the Department must be satisfied that the corrective documentation provided in