

Estimated cost burden to respondents:
1,680 hours/2,087 hours per year ×
\$102,000 per year = \$82,108.

The reporting burden includes the total time, effort, or financial resources expended to generate, maintain, retain, disclose, or provide the information including: (1) reviewing instructions; (2) developing, acquiring, installing, and utilizing technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, verifying, processing, maintaining, disclosing and providing information; (3) adjusting the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; (4) training personnel to respond to a collection of information; (5) searching data sources; (6) completing and reviewing the collection of information; and (7) transmitting, or otherwise disclosing the information.

The estimate of cost for respondents is based upon salaries for professional and clerical support, as well as direct and indirect overhead costs. Direct costs include all costs directly attributable to providing this information, such as administrative costs and the cost for information technology. Indirect or overhead costs are costs incurred by an organization in support of its mission. These costs apply to activities which benefit the whole organization rather than any one particular function or activity.

Lois D. Cashell,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-17405 Filed 7-8-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP96-595-000]

El Paso Natural Gas Company; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

July 2, 1996.

Take notice that on June 25, 1996, El Paso Natural Gas Company (El Paso), P.O. Box 1492, El Paso, Texas 79978, filed in Docket No. CP96-595-000, a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205 and 157.212) for authorization to upgrade and relocate the existing Chandler No. 3 Meter Station (meter station) located in Maricopa, Arizona, under Northern's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-432-000 and Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

El Paso proposes to upgrade and relocate a meter station to make

additional firm deliveries of natural gas to Southwest Gas Corporation (Southwest) for service to Chandler, Arizona, and environs. El Paso asserts that Southwest has requested additional firm service and that the present meter station is unable to accommodate such delivery. It is further asserted that, by letter agreement dated November 22, 1994, El Paso and Southwest have agreed that El Paso would therefore upgrade the existing meter station. El Paso states that, in order to facilitate ease of maintenance and to eliminate a potentially hazardous situation, El Paso has elected to relocate the existing meter station approximately 53 feet north on the Tucson-Phoenix Line and the Tucson-Phoenix Loop Line.

It is indicated that the proposed quantity of natural gas to be transported on a firm basis to the upgraded meter station is estimated to be 511,636 Mcf annually during the third full year of operation. It is further indicated that the estimated maximum peak day gas requirement at the meter station during the third calendar year of service is 13,680 Mcf. El Paso asserts the gas will be used by Southwest to satisfy the residential, residential space heating, commercial, commercial space heating, and the industrial requirements of customers in Chandler, Arizona, and environs. El Paso states that the proposed firm transportation of gas to Southwest at the meter station will have a negligible effect on El Paso's 1995 peak day and total annual transportation quantities. El Paso further states that estimated cost of the proposed facilities is \$101,500, which Southwest has agreed to reimburse El Paso.

Any person or the Commission Staff may, within 45 days of the issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214), a motion to intervene and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205), a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefore, the proposed activities shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-17346 Filed 7-8-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01M

[Docket No. CP96-610-000]

Granite State Gas Transmission, Inc.; Notice of Application

July 2, 1996.

Take notice that on July 1, 1996, Granite State Gas Transmission, Inc. (Granite State), 300 Friberg Parkway, Westborough, Massachusetts 01581, filed in Docket No. CP96-610-000, an application pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act and Part 157 of the Commission's Regulations for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the construction and operation of a liquefied natural gas (LNG) facility in Wells, Maine, to serve Northern Utilities, Inc. (Northern Utilities), pursuant to new Rate Schedule LNG-1, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Granite State submits that the LNG facility proposed in this application is identical to the one proposed in Docket No. CP-95-52-000, that was dismissed without prejudice to resubmitting the proposal changing its use from baseload to peakshaving service. According to Granite State, this resubmitted filing reflects a change in the nature of the service to be provided by such facility from winter baseload to peakshaving.

Granite State further states that the LNG facility is necessary to replace Northern Utilities' volumes currently flowing using capacity leased on the Portland Pipe Line Corporation's oil line that has been converted to natural gas use. According to Granite State, the lease is set to expire on April 30, 1998, and Granite State proposes an in-service date of November 1, 1998 for the proposed LNG facility, the first day of the first heating season after the lease expires.

Granite State states that Northern Utilities has contracted for transportation service on the Portland Natural Gas Transmission System (PNGTS) which also has a proposed in-service date of November 1, 1998. However, Granite State maintains that the LNG facility may be necessary for winter baseload service for Northern Utilities if PNGTS is not in service by that date. After PNGTS is in service, the LNG facility would provide peakshaving service to Northern Utilities.

According to information contained in the application, once the LNG facility is functioning as a peakshaver it would be operated in a fashion that would allow deliverability from the facility to increase by almost 150%. Accordingly, Granite State's resubmitted filing contains a revised precedent agreement

with Northern Utilities which provides for a maximum daily deliverability from the LNG facility of 54,640 Dth per day prior to PNGTS, and 134,000 Dth per day thereafter. Although not explicitly stated by Granite State in its proposal, based upon the volumetric determinants contained in Exhibit P of the application, these maximum withdrawal levels would translate to a 52-day service prior to PNGTS, and 12–13 days of service afterwards.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before July 23, 1996 file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Granite State to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Lois D. Cashell,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–17410 Filed 7–8–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[Docket No. MG96–13–001]

K N Interstate Gas Transmission Company; Notice of Filing

July 2, 1996.

Take notice that on June 27, 1996, K N Interstate Gas Transmission Company (K N Interstate) submitted a "Motion of K N Interstate Gas Transmission Company for Authorization to Withdraw and Substitute Revised Statement on Standard of Conduct." K N Interstate states that it "inadvertently filed an earlier, incorrect version of the Revised Standards with the Commission." K N Interstate states that it is filing the revised standards of conduct in compliance with Order Nos. 497 *et seq.*¹ and Order Nos. 566, *et seq.*²

K N Interstate states that copies of this filing have been mailed to all parties on the official service list compiled by the Secretary in this proceeding.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C., 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 or 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 or 385.214). All such motions to intervene or protest should be filed on or before July 17, 1996. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the

¹ Order No. 497, 53 FR 22139 (June 14, 1988), III FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 30,820 (1988); Order No. 497–A, *order on rehearing*, 54 FR 52781 (December 22, 1989), III FERC Stats. & Regs. 30,868 (1989); Order No. 497–B, *order extending sunset date*, 55 FR 53291 (December 28, 1990), III FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 30,908 (1990); Order No. 497–C, *order extending sunset date*, 57 FR 9 (January 2, 1992), III FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 30,934 (1991), rehearing denied, 57 FR 5815 (February 18, 1992), 58 FERC ¶ 61,139 (1992); *Tenneco Gas v. FERC* (affirmed in part and remanded in part), 969 F.2d 1187 (D.C. Cir. 1992); Order No. 497–D, *order on remand and extending sunset date*, III FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 30,958 (December 4, 1992), 57 FR 58978 (December 14, 1992); Order No. 497–E, *order on rehearing and extending sunset date*, 59 FR 243 (January 4, 1994), 65 FERC ¶ 61,381 (December 23, 1993); Order No. 497–F, *order denying rehearing and granting clarification*, 59 FR 15336 (April 1, 1994), 66 FERC ¶ 61,347 (March 24, 1994); and Order No. 497–G, *order extending sunset date*, 59 FR 32884 (June 27, 1994), III FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 30,996 (June 17, 1994).

² Standards of Conduct and Reporting Requirements for Transportation and Affiliate Transactions, Order No. 566, 59 FR 32885 (June 27, 1994), III FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 30,997 (June 17, 1994); Order No. 566–A, *order on rehearing*, 59 FR 52896 (October 20, 1994), 69 FERC ¶ 61,044 (October 14, 1994), Order No. 566–B, *order on rehearing*, 59 FR 65707 (December 21, 1994); 69 FERC ¶ 61,334 (December 14, 1994).

Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–17347 Filed 7–8–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. ER96–2223–000, et al.]

New England Power Company, et al. Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings

July 1, 1996.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. New England Power Company

[Docket No. ER96–2223–000]

Take notice that on June 25, 1996, New England Power Company, filed a Service Agreement and Certificate of Concurrence with TransCanada Power Corp. under NEP's FERC Electric Tariff, Original Volume No. 5.

Comment date: July 15, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

2. Jersey Central Power & Light Company, Metropolitan Edison Company, Pennsylvania Electric Company

[Docket No. ER96–2224–000]

Take notice that on June 25, 1996, GPU Service Corporation (GPU), on behalf of Jersey Central Power & Light Company, Metropolitan Edison Company and Pennsylvania Electric Company (jointly referred to as the GPU Operating Companies), filed an executed Service Agreement between GPU and AIG Trading Corporation (AIG), dated June 18, 1996. This Service Agreement specifies that AIG has agreed to the rates, terms and conditions of the GPU Operating Companies' Operating Capacity and/or Energy Sales Tariff (Sales Tariff) designated as FERC Electric Tariff, Original Volume No. 1. The Sales Tariff was accepted by the Commission by letter order issued on February 10, 1995 in *Jersey Central Power & Light Co., Metropolitan Edison Co. and Pennsylvania Electric Co.*, Docket No. ER95–276–000 and allows GPU and AIG to enter into separately scheduled transactions under which the GPU Operating Companies will make available for sale, surplus operating capacity and/or energy at negotiated rates that are no higher than the GPU Operating Companies' cost of service.

GPU requests a waiver of the Commission's notice requirements for