

U.S. trade and development policy for Africa, on such trade flows.

The USTR requested that the second annual report on U.S.-Africa trade flows and effects of U.S. trade and development policy contain the following information:

1. An update of U.S.-Africa trade and investment flows for the latest year available including both overall trade and in the following major sectors: agriculture, forest products, textiles and apparel, footwear, energy, chemicals, minerals and metals, machinery, transportation equipment, electronics technology, miscellaneous manufacturers, and services. It is also requested that basic trade flow information be provided for U.S. trade with the following regional trade groups:

- The Southern African Customs Union (SACU)
- The Southern African Development Community (SADC)
- Western African Economic and Monetary Union (WAEMU)
- Common Market for Eastern and Southern Africa (COMESA)

2. An identification of major developments in the World Trade Organization and in U.S. trade/economic activities which significantly affect U.S.-Africa trade and investment flows by sector during the latest year. Similarly, to the extent possible, changing trade and economic activities within African countries that have a significant impact should be highlighted.

3. Progress in regional integration in Africa. As requested by the USTR, the Commission will limit its study to the 48 countries in Sub-Saharan Africa.

**WRITTEN SUBMISSIONS:** The Commission does not plan to hold a public hearing in connection with the second annual report. However, interested persons are invited to submit written statements concerning the matters to be addressed in the report. Commercial or financial information that a party desires the Commission to treat as confidential must be submitted on separate sheets of paper, each clearly marked "Confidential Business Information" at the top. All submissions requesting confidential treatment must conform with the requirements of section 201.6 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 201.6). All written submissions, except for confidential business information, will be made available for inspection by interested persons in the Office of the Secretary to the Commission. To be assured of consideration by the Commission, written statements relating

to the Commission's report should be submitted at the earliest practical date and should be received no later than August 1, 1996. All submissions should be addressed to the Secretary, United States International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436.

Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000.

Issued: July 1, 1996.

By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-17188 Filed 7-5-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

## JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

### Hearing of the Judicial Conference Advisory Committee on Rules of Appellate Procedure

**AGENCY:** Judicial Conference of the United States Advisory Committee on Rules of Appellate Procedure.

**ACTION:** Notice of cancellation of open hearing.

**SUMMARY:** The Appellate Rules Committee public hearing scheduled to be held in Washington, D.C. on July 8, 1996, has been canceled. [Original notice of hearing appeared in the Federal Register of May 24, 1996 (61 FR 26207).]

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** John K. Rabiej, Chief, rules Committee Support Office, Administrative Office of the United States Courts, Washington, D.C. 20544, telephone (202) 273-1820.

Dated: July 1, 1996.

John K. Rabiej,

Chief, Rules Committee Support Office.

[FR Doc. 96-17216 Filed 7-5-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 2210-01-M

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Bureau of Justice Assistance

#### Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

**ACTION:** Notice of Information Collection Under Review; State Criminal Alien Assistance Program (SCAAP).

In accordance with the Code of Federal Regulations (5 CFR Part 1320.13) the Department of Justice is requesting emergency approval by July

5, 1996, from the Office of Management and Budget for this collection of information. Emergency approval is needed to ensure that the Department is able to fully comply with program changes that are in Public Law 104-134, lapse of appropriations for FY 1996, etc.

During the emergency approval period the Department will apply for three year approval under the normal processing procedures contained in 5 CFR 1320.

The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. Comments are encouraged and will be accepted.

Request written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

(1) evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

If you have additional comments, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions, or additional information, please contact Linda McKay (202) 514-6638, Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice, 633 Indiana Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20531.

Overview of this information collection:

(1) Type of Information Collection: Revised collection of information.

(2) Title of the Form/Collection: State Criminal Alien Assistance Program Application Form.

(3) Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department of Justice sponsoring the collection: Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs, United States Department of Justice.

(4) Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract: Primary: State and Local governments. Other: None. This program is administered under the authority of 8 U.S.C. 1252(j) to reimburse States and localities for costs expended in the incarceration of undocumented criminal aliens. The Application Form will be completed by each eligible State and local applicant and will provide information regarding eligible inmate population and incarceration costs for verification and award processing.

(5) An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond: 3500 responses at 60 minutes.

(6) An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection: 3,500 annual burden hours.

If additional information is required contact: Mr. Robert B. Briggs, Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Information Management and Security Staff, Justice Management Division, Suite 850, Washington Center, 1001 G Street, NW, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: July 1, 1996.

Robert B. Briggs,

*Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice.*

[FR Doc. 96-17195 Filed 7-5-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-18-M

## Drug Enforcement Administration

[Docket No. 95-40]

### Rita M. Coleman, M.D. Revocation of Registration

On May 26, 1995, the Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA), issued an Order to Show Cause to Rita M. Coleman, M.D., (Respondent), of Baldwin, Maryland, notifying her of an opportunity to show cause as to why DEA should not revoke her DEA Certificate of Registration, AC9351026, under 21 U.S.C. 824(a)(3) and deny any pending applications for renewal of her registration as a practitioner under 21 U.S.C. 823(f), for the reason that, on or about August 24, 1994, the Maryland Board of Physician Quality Assurance (Medical Board) ordered the revocation of her state license to practice medicine. Further, the Show Cause Order noted that, in response to having her medical license revoked, the Maryland State Department of Health and Mental Hygiene revoked the Respondent's state

controlled substances registration. Therefore, the Respondent was not authorized to handle controlled substances in the State of Maryland.

On June 14, 1995, the Respondent filed a response to the Order to Show Cause, presenting matters in rebuttal to the show cause allegations, but failing to either request or to waive her hearing right. On June 19, 1995, a letter was sent from the Office of the Administrative Law Judges, informing the Respondent that she had until July 17, 1995, to elect a hearing. By letter dated July 3, 1995, the Respondent wrote that she did not wish to waive her rights to an administrative hearing, but she also noted that she was not in a position to attend such a hearing. The Respondent also asked that her June letter be considered a written statement of her position in the matter. In response to the Respondent's July letter, Administrative Law Judge Mary Ellen Bittner issued an order dated August 18, 1995, in which she (1) noted the Respondent's conflicting positions, and (2) determined that the Respondent effectively had provided notice that she would not appear at an administrative hearing. Judge Bittner, citing 21 C.F.R. 1301.54(c) and (d), wrote that "a person who waives a hearing may file a statement of position[,] and that a person who requests a hearing but fails to appear may be deemed to have waived the opportunity for a hearing. Consequently, although [the] Respondent asserts that she does not wish to waive her right to a hearing, I deem her statement that she will not appear, in conjunction with her request that her June 11 letter be considered her statement of position, such a waiver."

Accordingly, Judge Bittner ordered that (1) all proceedings before her in the Respondent's case be terminated, and (2) the matter be submitted to the Deputy Administrator for issuance of a final order. On January 23, 1996, the case was transmitted to the Deputy Administrator for his action.

Therefore, the Deputy Administrator, after reviewing the procedural matters in this case, agrees with Judge Bittner and concludes that the Respondent is deemed to have waived her hearing right. Accordingly, after considering the materials submitted, the Deputy Administrator now enters his final order in this matter without a hearing, pursuant to 21 CFR 1301.54(e) and 1301.57.

The Deputy Administrator finds that, on August 24, 1994, after holding an administrative hearing, the Medical Board revoked the Respondent's license

to practice medicine in the State of Maryland. Subsequently, the Division of Drug Control, Maryland State Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, voided the Respondent's State of Maryland Controlled Dangerous Substance Registration Certificate. Thus, the Respondent is not authorized to practice medicine or to prescribe, administer, or dispense controlled substances in the State of Maryland. Further, in her letter filed June 14, 1995, the Respondent has not challenged the authenticity of the Medical Board's revocation order or the order revoking her registration to handle controlled substances. The Respondent has not submitted any evidence contesting the act that her medical license and controlled substances certificate have been revoked.

The DEA does not have statutory authority under the Controlled Substances Act to issue or maintain a registration if the applicant or registrant is without state authority to handle controlled substances in the state in which she conducts her business. 21 U.S.C. 802(21), 823(f), and 824(a)(3). This prerequisite has been consistently upheld. *See Dominick A. Ricci, M.D.*, 58 FR 51,104 (1993); *James H. Nickens, M.D.*, 57 FR 59,847 (1992); *Roy E. Hardman, M.D.*, 57 FR 49,195 (1992); *Myong S. Yi, M.D.*, 54 FR 30,618 (1989); *Bobby Watts, M.D.*, 53 FR 11,919 (1988). Here, it is clear that the Respondent is neither currently authorized to practice medicine nor to dispense controlled substances in the State of Maryland. Therefore, the Respondent currently is not entitled to a DEA registration.

Accordingly, the Deputy Administrator of the Drug Enforcement Administration, pursuant to the authority vested in him by 21 U.S.C. 823 and 824, and 28 CFR 0.100(b) and 0.104, hereby orders that DEA Certificate of Registration, AC9351026 previously issued to Rita M. Coleman, M.D., be, and it hereby is, revoked. The Deputy Administrator further orders that any pending applications for the renewal of such registration be, and they hereby are, denied. This order is effective August 7, 1996.

Dated: July 1, 1996.

Stephen H. Greene,

*Deputy Administrator.*

[FR Doc. 96-17255 Filed 7-5-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-09-M