

Based on new information received from a customer of the subject firm, the Department, on its own motion, reviewed the findings of the investigation. New findings show that the customer increased import purchases of lumber from Mexico and Canada in 1995 compared to 1994. Sales, production and employment declined during the relevant period.

Conclusion

After careful review of the additional facts obtained on reopening, I conclude that increased imports of articles like or directly competitive with lumber contributed importantly to the declines in sales or production and to the total or partial separation of workers of Weyerhaeuser Company, Western Lumber, Kamiah, Idaho. In accordance with the provisions of the Act, I make the following certification:

All workers of Weyerhaeuser Company, Western Lumber, Kamiah, Idaho who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after March 19, 1995 are eligible to apply for NAFTA-TAA under Section 250 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 17th day of June 1996.

Ruseell T. Kile,

Acting Program Manager, Policy and Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 96-16920 Filed 7-2-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

Pension and Welfare Benefits Administration

Proposed Information Collection Request; Submitted for Public Comment and Recommendations; ERISA Procedure 76-1, Advisory Opinion Procedure

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA 95) (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)). This program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed. Currently, the Pension and Welfare Benefits

Administration is soliciting comments concerning the proposed extension of a currently approved collection of information, ERISA Procedure 76-1 (Advisory Opinion Procedure). A copy of the proposed information collection request can be obtained by contacting the employee listed below in the contact section of this notice.

DATES: Written comments must be submitted on or before September 3, 1996. The Department of Labor is particularly interested in comments which:

Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

Enhance the quality, utility, and clarify the information to be collected; and

Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

ADDRESSES: Gerald B. Lindrew, Department of Labor, Pension and Welfare Benefits Administration, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20210, (202) 219-7933, FAX (202) 219-4745.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

ERISA Procedure 76-1, Advisory Opinion Procedure is used by plan administrators and other individuals when requesting a legal interpretation from the Department regarding specific facts and circumstances (an advisory opinion). The Procedure informs individuals, organizations, and their authorized representatives of the procedures to be followed when requesting an advisory opinion. The procedures promote efficient handling of these requests. The information is used by the Department to determine the substance of the response and to determine whether the Department's response should be in the form of an advisory opinion or information letter. Advisory opinions and information letters issued under this procedure help fiduciaries, employers and other interested parties understand a particular provision of the law and

promote compliance with ERISA. Advisory opinions are also useful to the Department as a means of clarifying Departmental policy on certain issues.

II. Current Actions

This existing collection of information should be continued because individuals or organizations affected directly or indirectly by ERISA need legal interpretations from the Department as to their status under the Act and as to the effect of certain actions and transactions. Requests for advisory opinions are voluntary. The information is used by the Department to determine the substance of the response and to determine whether the Department's response should be in the form of an advisory opinion or information letter.

Type of Review: Extension.

Agency: Pension and Welfare Benefits Administration.

Title: ERISA Procedure 76-1, Advisory Opinion Procedure.

OMB Number: 1210-0066.

Affected Public: Business or other for-profit, Not-for-profit institutions, Individuals.

Total Respondents: 88.

Frequency: On occasion.

Total Responses: 88.

Average Time per Response: 10 hours.

Estimated Total Burden Hours: 90.

Respondents, proposed frequency of response, and annual hour burden: The Department staff estimates that 88 applicants will submit requests for advisory opinions in any given year. The respondents will be plans and parties in interest to plans. This burden is not normally incurred annually by any one plan. Based on past experience, the staff believes that approximately 10% of the materials required to be submitted under this procedure will be prepared by the respondents. Respondents are expected, in 90% of cases, to contract with service providers such as attorneys, accountants, and third-party administrators to prepare the materials, which is considered a burden cost and not an annual hour burden. Therefore, the Department will recommend that 90 hours be approved as the estimated burden, in light of the current requirements that time spent by service providers not be included in the hourly burden estimate.

Total Burden Cost (capital/start-up): \$0.00.

Total Burden Cost (operating/maintenance): \$64,780.00.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval of the information collection request; they will also become a matter of public record.

Dated: June 27, 1996.

Gerald B. Lindrew,

Director, Pension and Welfare Benefits Administration, Office of Policy and Legislative Analysis.

[FR Doc. 96-17045 Filed 7-2-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-29-M

Working Group on Guidance for Selecting and Monitoring Service Providers Advisory Council on Employee Welfare and Pension Benefits Plans; Meeting

Pursuant to the authority contained in section 512 of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA), 29 U.S.C. 1142, a public meeting of the Working Group on Guidance for Selecting and Monitoring Service Providers of the Advisory Council on Employee Welfare and Pension Benefits Plans will be held on July 16, 1996, in Room S3215 A & B, U.S. Department of Labor Building, Third and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210.

The purpose of the meeting, which will run from 9:30 a.m. to noon and from 1 to 3:30 p.m., is for the group to determine whether its focus will be on what type of general guidance would be useful to fiduciaries who must select and monitor service providers for plans or whether its focus should be narrowed to specific service providers such as investment consultants and investment managers.

The group also plans to conduct an informal survey on codes of conduct in the plan community to establish current industry practices.

Members of the public are encouraged to file a written statement pertaining to any topic concerning ERISA by submitting 20 copies on or before July 5, 1996, to Sharon Morrissey, acting executive secretary, ERISA Advisory Council, U.S. Department of Labor, Room N-5677, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210. Individuals or representatives of organizations wishing to address the Working Group on Guidance for Selecting and Monitoring Service Providers should forward their request to the acting executive secretary or telephone (202) 219-8753. Oral presentations will be limited to 10 minutes, but an extended statement may be submitted for the record. Individuals with disabilities, who need special accommodations, should contact Sharon Morrissey by July 10, 1996, at the address indicated in this notice.

Organizations or individuals may also submit statements for the record without testifying. Twenty (20) copies of such statements should be sent to the

Acting Executive Secretary of the Advisory Council at the above address. Papers will be accepted and included in the record of the meeting if received on or before July 5.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 27th day of June 1996.

Olena Berg,

Assistant Secretary, Pension and Welfare Benefits Administration.

[FR Doc. 96-17046 Filed 7-2-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-29-M

NATIONAL BANKRUPTCY REVIEW COMMISSION

Meeting

AGENCY: National Bankruptcy Review Commission

ACTION: Notice of Public Meeting

TIME AND DATES: Thursday, July 18, 1996; 9 A.M. to 4:45 P.M. and Friday, July 19, 1996; 8:30 A.M. to 2:30 P.M.

PLACE: Thurgood Marshall Federal Judiciary Building, Federal Judicial Center/Education Center, One Columbus Circle, NE., Washington, DC 20544. The public should enter through the South Lobby entrance of the Thurgood Marshall Federal Judiciary Building.

STATUS: The meeting will be open to the public.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED: General administrative matters for the Commission, including substantive agenda; Commission working groups will consider the following substantive matters: improving jurisdiction and procedure; consumer bankruptcy; Chapter 11: uses and consequences; small businesses and partnerships: a special case?; government as creditor or debtor; mass torts, future claims, and bankruptcy; service to the estate; ethical and economic choices; the global economy; preparing for transnational insolvencies. An open forum for public participation will be held on July 18, 1996 from 11:15 a.m. to 12 p.m.

CONTACT PERSONS FOR FURTHER INFORMATION: Contact Susan Jensen-Conklin or Carmelita Pratt at the National Bankruptcy Review Commission, Thurgood Marshall Federal Judiciary Building, One Columbus Circle, NE., Suite G-350, Washington, DC 20544; Telephone Number: (202) 273-1813.

Susan Jensen-Conklin,
Deputy Counsel.

[FR Doc. 96-17017 Filed 7-2-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820-36-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-348 and 50-364]

Southern Nuclear Operating Company, Inc.; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating Licenses, Proposed no Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of amendments to Facility Operating License Nos. NPF-2 and NPF-8, issued to Southern Nuclear Operating Company, Inc. (the licensee), for operation of the Joseph M. Farley Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2 located in Houston County, Alabama.

The proposed amendments would revise Technical Specification (TS) Table 4.3-1 to delete the requirement for surveillance of the manual safety injection to the reactor trip circuitry until the next unit shutdown, following which, this testing will be performed prior to Mode 2 entry. This change is applicable only during Unit 1, cycle 14 and Unit 2, cycle 11.

This requested TS change is a followup to a Notice of Enforcement Discretion (NOED) granted to the licensee that is in effect from the time of issuance on June 21, 1996, until approval of this exigent TS. NRC Inspection Manual, Part 9900, "Operations—Notice of Enforcement Discretion," requires that a followup TS amendment be issued within 4 weeks from the issuance of the NOED.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.91(a)(6) for amendments to be granted under exigent circumstances, the NRC staff must determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below: