

## 23. PECO Energy Company

[Docket No. ER96-2121-000]

Take notice that on June 12, 1996, PECO Energy Company (PECO) filed a Service Agreement with TransCanada Power Corporation (TRANSCANADA) under PECO's FERC Electric Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 4. The Service Agreement adds TRANSCANADA as a customer under the Tariff.

PECO requests an effective date of June 5, 1996, for the Service Agreement.

PECO states that copies of this filing have been supplied to TRANSCANADA and to the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission.

*Comment date:* July 5, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

## 24. PECO Energy Company

[Docket No. ER96-2122-000]

Take notice that on June 12, 1996, PECO Energy Company (PECO) filed a Service Agreement with DuPont Power Marketing, Inc. (DUPONT) under PECO's FERC Electric Tariff Original Volume No. 1. The Service Agreement adds DUPONT as a customer under the Tariff.

PECO requests an effective date of June 4, 1996, for the Service Agreement.

PECO states that copies of this filing have been applied to DUPONT and to the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission.

*Comment date:* July 5, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

## Standard Paragraph

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,  
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 96-16399 Filed 6-26-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

[Project Nos. 2525-004, 2595-005, 2522-002, 2546-001, 2560-001, and 2581-002]

**Wisconsin Public Service Corp.; Notice of a Public Meeting in Crivitz, Wisconsin To Discuss Staff's Multiple-Project Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the Peshtigo River Hydroelectric Projects**

June 21, 1996.

The staff of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) has prepared and issued a DEIS evaluating the environmental impacts that would result from relicensing the following six existing hydropower projects owned and operated by the Wisconsin Public Service Corporation on the Peshtigo River in Marinette County, Wisconsin: the Caldron Falls Project, No. 2525; the High Falls Project, No. 2595; the Johnson Falls Project, No. 2522; the Sandstone Rapids Project, No. 2546; the Potato Rapids Project, No. 2560; and the Peshtigo Project, No. 2581.

The subject DEIS describes and evaluates the site-specific and cumulative impacts of relicensing the six projects with the adoption of the following alternative actions: (1) continuing the terms of the original licenses (the non-action alternative); (2) mandating the applicant's proposed peaking operations, minimum flows, and recreational enhancements; (3) requiring the licensee to undertake the resource agencies' recommended year-round run-of-river operation, fish passage facilities, and land management measures; and (4) implementing staff's recommended seasonal run-of-river operation, minimum flows, fisheries enhancement plans, comprehensive land management plan, and additional public access facilities.

## DEIS Meeting

The FERC staff will conduct one public meeting at which it will: (1) summarize the findings, conclusions, and recommendations of the subject DEIS; (2) respond to questions raised by meeting attendees; and (3) obtain public input on the DEIS provided by local residents, representatives of environmental organizations and Indian tribes, and technical personnel from state and federal resource agencies.

The meeting will be held from 7:00 P.M. until 10:30 P.M. on Thursday, August 1, 1996, in the Crivitz Town Hall, located at 800 Henrietta Avenue in Crivitz, Wisconsin, 54114.

## Meeting Procedures

The meeting, which will be recorded by a stenographer, will become part of the formal record of the Commission's

proceeding on the Peshtigo River projects. Individuals presenting statements at the meeting will be asked to sign in before the meeting starts and identify themselves for the record.

Concerned parties are encouraged to provide their opinions during the public meeting. Speaking time allowed for individuals will be determined before the meeting, based on the number of persons wishing to speak and the approximate amount of time available for the session, but all speakers will be permitted at least five minutes to present their views.

## Written Comments

Interested persons also may: (1) submit written comments concerning the document to the stenographer at the DEIS meeting or (2) mail their comments to the Secretary of the Commission by the deadline date indicated in the Environmental Protection Agency's Federal Register notice regarding issuance of the Peshtigo River DEIS. All correspondence should be mailed to the following address: Lois Cashell, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, DC 20426.

All filings sent to the Secretary of the Commission should contain an original and 8 copies. Failure to file an original and 8 copies may result in appropriate staff not receiving the benefit of your comments in a timely manner. See 18 CFR 4.34(h).

The top of the first page of all correspondence should indicate the FERC number and name of each project addressed by your comments.

Intervenors and interceders (as defined in 18 CFR 385.2010) who file documents with the Commission are reminded of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure requiring them to serve a copy of all documents filed with the Commission on each person whose name is listed on the official Service list for this proceeding. See 18 CFR 4.34(b).

For further information, please contact Jim Haimes in Washington, DC at (202) 219-2780.

Lois D. Cashell,

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 96-16353 Filed 6-26-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Project Nos. 2669, et al.]

**Hydroelectric Applications [New England Power Company, et al.]; Notice of Applications**

Take notice that the following hydroelectric applications have been

filed with the Commission and are available for public inspection:

*1 a. Type of Application:* Amendment of License.

*b. Project No.:* 2669.

*c. Date filed:* October 6, 1994.

*d. Applicant:* New England Power Company.

*e. Name of Project:* Bear Swamp Project.

*f. Location:* on the Deerfield River in Franklin and Berkshire Counties, Massachusetts.

*g. Filed Pursuant to:* Federal Power Act 16 U.S.C. §§ 791(a)–825(r).

*h. Applicant Contact:* Mr. Mark E. Slade, New England Power Company, 25 Research Drive, Westborough, MA 01582, (508) 389–2859.

*i. FERC Contact:* Robert Bell (202) 219–2806.

*j. Comment Date:* July 26, 1996.

*k. Status of Environmental Analysis:* This Amendment is proposed to include in the license for the Bear Swamp

Project No. 2669 certain conditions agreed to in the Offer of Settlement filed on October 6, 1994 in the license proceeding for the Deerfield River Project No. 2323 and discussed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Deerfield River Basin issued March 8, 1996.

1. In an offer of settlement filed on October 6, 1994 by New England Power Company in the license proceeding for the Deerfield River Project No. 2323, located on the Deerfield River in Franklin and Berkshire Counties, Massachusetts, New England Power Company proposed changes to the Bear Swamp Pumped Storage Project No. 2669. These proposed changes, which constitute a proposal to amend the terms of the Bear Swamp license, and which have been examined in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Deerfield River Project, Bear Swamp Pumped Storage Project, and Gardners

Falls Project Nos. 2323, 2669, and 2334 respectively, issued March 8, 1996, are as follows:

(1) Require the licensee to release from the Fife Brook dam into the Deerfield River a minimum flow of 125 cubic feet per second (cfs) as measured below the dam, for the protection and enhancement of fishery resources of the Deerfield River. The licensee shall release water from reservoir storage, if necessary, to ensure the minimum flow of 125 cfs is met.

(2) Require the licensee to implement the Comprehensive Recreation Plan filed with the Commission on September 30, 1993, as it applies to the Bear Swamp Pump Storage Project.

(3) Require the Licensee to annually release flows for whitewater boating from the Fife Brook dam on 50 weekend days and 56 weekdays from April 1 to October 31, according to the following monthly schedule:

Month	Allocation
April .....	3 weeks of Wednesday through Sunday releases.
May .....	2 weeks of Wednesday through Sunday releases, plus 2 weeks of Saturday and Sunday releases.
June .....	2 weeks of Wednesday through Sunday releases, plus 2 weeks of Saturday and Sunday releases.
July .....	3 weeks of Wednesday through Sunday releases, plus 1 week of Saturday and Sunday releases.
August .....	4 weeks of Thursday through Sunday releases.
September .....	3 weeks of Wednesday through Sunday releases.
October .....	3 weeks of Wednesday through Sunday releases.
Holidays .....	May be substituted for weekend days upon agreement before April 1 of each year.

The whitewater release of 700 cfs minimum flow should be provided for at least 3 continuous hours starting any time between the hours of 9:30 a.m. and 12:00 noon.

(4) Require the Licensee to grant to qualified government or nongovernment land management organizations, conservation easements to protect scenic, forestry, and natural resources on the 1,056 acres of land that is currently included in the Bear Swamp Pump Storage Project boundary and on 201 acres of land downriver of the Fife Brook dam that the Licensee shall add to the Bear Swamp Pump Storage Project boundary.

(5) Require the Licensee to implement a "Programmatic Agreement" among the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, and the Massachusetts State Historic Preservation Officer, for managing historic properties that may be affected by an amendment of license.

*M. This notice also consists of the following standard paragraphs: B, C, and D2.*

*2 a. Type of Application:* New Major License.

*b. Project No.:* 11477–000.

*c. Date filed:* May 5, 1994.

*d. Applicant:* Northern California Power Agency.

*e. Name of Project:* Utica.

*f. Location:* On the North Fork Stanislaus River, Silver Creek, Mill Creek, and Angels Creek in Alpine, Calaveras, and Toulumne Counties, California. The project is partially within the Stanislaus National Forest.

*g. Filed Pursuant to:* Federal Power Act 16 USC §§ 791(a)–825(r).

*h. Competing Application:* Project No. 2019–017, filed May 3, 1994.

*i. Applicant Contact:* Hari Modi, Manager, Hydroelectric Project, Development, Regulatory Compliance and Licensing, Northern California Power Agency, 180 Cirby Way, Roseville, CA 95678, (916) 781–3636.

*j. FERC Contact:* Héctor M. Pérez at (202) 219–2843.

*k. Deadline for interventions and protests:* August 23, 1996.

*1. Status of Environmental Analysis:* This application is not ready for

environmental analysis at this time—see attached paragraph E.

*m. Description of Project:* The existing project consists of: (1) three storage reservoirs (Lake Alpine, Union Reservoir, and Utica Reservoir) with a combined storage capacity of 9,581 acre-feet; (2) the Mill Creek Tap; (3) the 0.7-mile-long Upper Utica Conduit; (4) Hunters Reservoir with a usable storage capacity of 253 acre-feet; (5) the 13.4-mile-long Lower Utica Conduit; (6) Murphys Forebay; (7) a 4,048-foot-long penstock; (8) Murphys Powerhouse with an installed capacity of 3.6 MW; (9) Murphys Afterbay; and (10) other appurtenances.

The applicant proposes to direct a substantial portion of the water now delivered into the Upper Utica Conduit via the Mill Creek Tap into the Collierville Powerhouse, through the Collierville Tunnel. Both the tunnel and the Collierville Powerhouse are licensed under Project No. 2409 to the Calaveras County Water District.

*3 a. Type of Application:* Petition for Declaratory Order.

*b. Docket No:* D196–8–000.

*c. Date Filed:* 06/03/96.

*d. Applicant:* Pacificorp.

*e. Name of Project:* Bigfork Hydroelectric Project.

*f. Location:* On the Swan River, near Kalispell, in Flathead County, Montana.

*g. Filed Pursuant to:* Section 23(b) of the Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. §§ 817(b).

*h. Applicant Contact:* S.A. deSousa, Director Hydro Resources, 920 S.W. Sixth Avenue, Portland, OR 97204-1256, (503) 464-5000.

*i. FERC Contact:* Diane M. Murray, (202) 219-2682.

*j. Comment Date:* August 2, 1996.

*k. Description of Project:* The project consists of: (1) a 300-foot-long, 12-foot-high concrete diversion dam; (2) a reservoir with a storage capacity of 109 acre-feet; (3) an intake structure which diverts water into a one-mile-long conduit; (4) two 72-inch diameter steel penstocks each 130 feet long and one 54-inch diameter penstock 160 feet long; (5) a powerhouse containing two 1,700 kW generators and one generator rated at 750 kW; and (6) appurtenant facilities.

When a Petition for Declaratory Order is filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, the Federal Power Act requires the Commission to investigate and determine if the interests of interstate or foreign commerce would be affected by the project. The Commission also determines whether or not the project: (1) would be located on a navigable waterway; (2) would occupy or affect public lands or reservations of the United States; (3) would utilize surplus water or water power from a government dam; or (4) if applicable, has involved or would involve any construction subsequent to 1935 that may have increased or would increase the project's head or generating capacity, or have otherwise significantly modified the project's pre-1935 design or operation.

*l. Purpose of Project:* To produce power.

*m. This notice also consists of the following standard paragraphs:* B, C1, and D2.

*4 a. Type of Application:* Petition for Declaratory Order.

*b. Docket No:* D196-9-000.

*c. Date Filed:* 06/03/96.

*d. Applicant:* Pacificorp.

*e. Name of Project:* Grace-Cove Hydroelectric Project.

*f. Location:* On the Bear River in Caribou County, Idaho.

*g. Filed Pursuant to:* Section 23(b) of the Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. §§ 817(b).

*h. Applicant Contact:* S.A. deSousa, Director Hydro Resources, 920 S.W. Sixth Avenue, Portland, OR 97204-1256, (503) 464-5000.

*i. FERC Contact:* Diane M. Murray, (202) 219-2682.

*j. Comment Date:* August 2, 1996.

*k. Description of Project:* The project consists of two developments: *Grace Development* (1) a dam 180.5 feet long and 51 feet high; (2) a reservoir of 250 acre-feet storage; (3) two, 4.8 mile-long conduits; (4) a powerhouse containing three 11,000 kW generators; and (5) appurtenant facilities. *Cove Development* (1) a 140-foot-long, 24-foot-high dam; (2) a conduit; (3) a 528-foot-long penstock; (3) a powerhouse containing a 7,500 kW generator; and (4) appurtenant facilities.

When a Petition for Declaratory Order is filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, the Federal Power Act requires the Commission to investigate and determine if the interests of interstate or foreign commerce would be affected by the project. The Commission also determines whether or not the project: (1) would be located on a navigable waterway; (2) would occupy or affect public lands or reservations of the United States; (3) would utilize surplus water or water power from a government dam; or (4) if applicable, has involved or would involve any construction subsequent to 1935 that may have increased or would increase the project's head or generating capacity, or have otherwise significantly modified the project's pre-1935 design or operation.

*l. Purpose of Project:* To produce power.

*m. This notice also consists of the following standard paragraphs:* B, C1, and D2.

*5 a. Type of Application:* New Major License.

*b. Project No.:* 1864-005.

*c. Date Filed:* March 5, 1985.

*d. Applicant:* Upper Peninsula Power Company.

*e. Name of Project:* Bond Falls Project.

*f. Location:* On the west branches Ontonagon River in Ontonagon and Gogebic Counties, Michigan, and a small portion of northern Vilas County, Wisconsin.

*g. Filed Pursuant to:* Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. 791(a)-825 (r).

*h. Applicant Contact:* Max O. Curtis, Upper Peninsula Power Company, 600 Lakeshore Drive, P.O. Box 130, Houghton, MI 49931-0130.

*i. FERC Contact:* Frankie Green (202) 501-7704.

*j. Deadline Date:* See Standard Paragraph D10.

*k. Status of Environmental Analysis:* This application has been accepted for filing and is ready for environmental analysis at this time.

*l. Description of Project:* The Bond Falls Project consists of four developments on the Middle, Cisco (South), and West Branches Ontonagon River. The Ontonagon River system flows north through the western end of Michigan's Upper Peninsula and into western Lake Superior. The project developments are located in Ontonagon and Gogebic Counties, Michigan, and a small portion of northern Vilas County, Wisconsin.

Each project development consists of a storage reservoir or lake, a main dam or dams, and appurtenant facilities. The four project water bodies are Bond Falls flowage, lake Gogebic (Bergland development), Cisco Chain of Lakes, and Victoria reservoir. The Bond Falls, Bergland, and Cisco developments provide seasonal reservoir storage and diversion of river flow to the Victoria development, where the flow is used to generate power.

#### *Bond Falls Development*

The Bond Falls development is located on the Middle Branch Ontonagon River about 40 river miles up-stream of the mouth of the Ontonagon River. The applicant operates the development seasonally to store water and to divert river flow from the Middle Branch to the South Branch, which eventually flows into the West Branch, where the discharge is used for hydroelectric generation at the Victoria development. Without the diversion, all flow from the Middle Branch would join the West Branch down-stream of the Victoria development and would be unavailable for power production.

The principal features of the Bond Falls development are the reservoir (Bond Falls flowage), the main dam, the control dam, and the diversion canal. The reservoir has a maximum surface area of 2,160 acres, a maximum operating elevation of 1,475.9 feet above mean sea level, and an effective storage capacity of 39,000 acre-feet at a draw-down of 20 feet.

The main dam consists of an earth-fill embankment about 45 feet high and 900 feet long with a sheet pile corewall and a concrete overflow spillway (crest elevation of 1,462.9 feet) with discharge controlled by a steel radial crest gate (13 feet high by 26 feet wide). Spillway discharge is conveyed by a concrete and rock bottom channel to the river, several hundred feet down-stream of the dam. The bypass system releases flows through the main dam to the Middle Branch. The bypass system consists of a

concrete intake (7.5 feet high by 5.0 feet wide) equipped with a trash rack (0.5-inch bars on 4.5-inch centers), concrete intake conduit (2.75 feet high by 2.5 feet wide), gate well and house, two 24-inch-diameter discharge pipes, and receiving basins. A rectangular weir monitors down-stream releases.

The control dam consists of an earth-fill embankment about 35 feet high and 850 feet long with a steel sheet pile corewall. The crest is 20 feet wide at an elevation of 1,481.9 feet. The control works consist of a concrete intake (13.8 feet high by 10 feet wide) equipped with a trash rack, a concrete intake pipe (5.5 feet in diameter), a gate well, 5-foot-diameter discharge pipe, and concrete receiving basin. Discharge is regulated electrically or manually by a 5-foot-square steel slide gate and is measured by a USGS gage located down-stream in the diversion canal.

The reservoir rim contains three other earth-fill dikes. The largest (the auxiliary dike) is located a few hundred feet southwest of the main dam, is similar in design to the main and control dams, and acts as a fuse-plug spillway during extreme floods. The auxiliary dike is 15 feet high by 250 feet long, with a crest elevation of 1479.4 feet and a crest width of 35 feet. The two smaller dikes are 5 feet high, with crest elevations of 1481.9 feet. One is located just south of the auxiliary dike, and the other is located southeast of the control dam between the reservoir and a seepage pond above nearby Sand Lake.

The diversion canal, which is 20 feet wide and 7,500 feet long, discharges to Roselawn Creek, a tributary of the South Branch. There are two concrete drop structures at separate locations along the canal with drops of 41 and 57 feet. Riprap protection is provided up-stream and down-stream of the drop structures. The remaining canal banks and the bottom are earth-lined.

#### *Bergland Development*

The Bergland development is on the West Branch Ontonagon River at river mile 55. The down-stream Victoria development uses releases from Lake Gogebic for power generation. Bergland dam controls the top 4 feet of Lake Gogebic, which has a maximum reservoir area of 14,080 acres, a maximum operating elevation of 1296.2 feet, and an effective storage capacity of 28,200 acre-feet at a draw-down of 2 feet. The dam is 4 feet high by 179 feet long.

There are 24 bays, 7 feet wide each, consisting of a series of wooden planks stacked between steel I-beams.

#### *Cisco Development*

The Cisco development consists of the Cisco Chain of Lakes, on the Cisco Branch Ontonagon River at river mile 75. The down-stream Victoria development uses releases from the Cisco dam for power generation. The dam is a timber-decked concrete level control structure 11 feet high by 21 feet long. Flow through the dam is controlled manually by placing or removing wooden planks in either of the two 6-foot, 8.5-inch-wide concrete bays. The Chain of Lakes has a maximum area of 4,025 acres, a maximum operating elevation of 1683.51 feet, and an effective storage capacity of 4,025 acre-feet at a 1-foot draw-down.

#### *Victoria Development*

The Victoria development is on the West Branch Ontonagon River at river mile 18 and consists of the Victoria dam and reservoir; a 6,300-foot above-ground pipeline, surge tank, and penstock; a powerhouse and tailrace; and two 69-kV transmission lines. The dam impounds streamflow of the West Branch, which receives tributary inflow from the up-stream Cisco and South Branches, and delivers flow to the powerhouse through the pipeline and penstock. The spillway regulates releases to the 1.5-mile-long bypassed reach of the West Branch.

The original Victoria dam consisted of a 113-foot-high concrete multiple arch-buttress dam. This structure was replaced in 1991 with a roller-compacted concrete (RCC) gravity dam constructed 15 feet down-stream. The original dam remains in place with the upper portion removed.

Based on revised license application drawings filed by the applicant, the new RCC dam is 301 feet long and ties to the original gated spillway to the south and the original intake structure to the north. The new dam contains an ungated spillway section, a low level outlet pipe and control gate, and a small drain pipe that discharges to a stilling basin in front of the dam. Total width of the new dam, gated spillway, intake, and embankments is 675.5 feet. Reservoir elevation, pipeline intake and spillway configurations, and project operations are virtually unchanged from those of the original dam. Maximum reservoir surface area is 250 acres, maximum operating elevation is 910 feet, and effective storage capacity is 3,300 acre-feet at a draw-down of 14 feet.

The Victoria gated spillway consists of four ogee-type concrete bays, each 22 feet wide (crest elevation 898 feet), equipped with a steel radial gate (22 feet wide by 13 feet high) that is raised and

lowered by an electrically operated traveling hoist mounted on 6 steel beams. A 4-foot-wide steel-grating walkway provides access across the top of the spillway at an elevation of 918 feet. Spillway discharge flows through a concrete-lined channel before falling 75 feet off the spillway escarpment into the natural stream channel below.

The reinforced concrete intake structure to the pipeline consists of sloping rectangular intakes (10 feet wide by 21.5 feet high) equipped with steel trash racks (0.5-inch bars on 3.75-inch centers). The structure includes an intake gate slot, vent well, and steel-lined concrete transition. The intake superstructure houses a 14-foot-wide by 14.25-foot-high riveted steel intake gate and 40-ton electronically operated fixed gate hoist, air compressors, instrumentation, communication equipment, and miscellaneous other equipment.

The 10-foot-diameter woodstave above-ground pipeline terminates near the powerhouse at a 32-foot-diameter steel surge tank with a height of 120 feet and a capacity of 491,300 gallons. A 10-foot-diameter steel penstock slopes steeply from the surge tank and splits into two 7-foot-diameter pipes before entering the powerhouse. The powerhouse is 30 feet wide, 82 feet long, and 50 feet high above the generating floor. It contains two 6-MW Francis-type vertical shaft turbine-generator units. Each unit is rated at 9,300 hp at 210 feet head and 300 rpm.

The license application also listed two 69-kV transmission lines as part of the project facilities; however, the applicant filed an application for amendment of the license on April 26, 1991 requesting that these lines be excluded from the project because they do not function as "primary lines," as defined in Section 3(11) of the Federal Power Act. The Commission issued an order amending the license on December 9, 1991, which approved this request.

*m. Purpose of Project:* Project power would be utilized by the applicant for sale to its customers.

*n. This notice also consists of the following standard paragraph(s):* A4 and D10.

*o. Available Location of Application:* A copy of the application, as amended and supplemented, is available for inspection and reproduction at the Commission's Public Reference and Files Maintenance Branch, located at 888 First Street, N.E., Room 2A, Washington, D.C., 20426, or by calling (202) 208-1371. A copy is also available for inspection and reproduction at Upper Peninsula Power Company, 600

Lakeshore Drive, Houghton, MI, 49931-0130, or by calling Max Curtis at (906) 487-5063.

*6 a. Type of filing:* Notice of Intent to File An Application for a New License.

*b. Project No.:* 2000-010.

*c. Date filed:* June 3, 1996.

*d. Submitted By:* Power Authority of the State of New York, current licensee.

*e. Name of Project:* St. Lawrence-Franklin Delano Roosevelt.

*f. Location:* On the St. Lawrence River, in the Village of Waddington, Towns of Massena, Louisville, Waddington, and Lisbon, St. Lawrence County, New York.

*g. Filed Pursuant to:* Section 15 of the Federal Power Act, 18 CFR 16.6 of the Commission's regulations.

*h. Effective date of original license:* November 1, 1953.

*i. Expiration date of original license:* October 31, 2003.

*j. The project consists of:* (1) a concrete gravity-type dam known as Long Sault Dam; (2) the portion of the concrete dam known as Iroquois Dam located in the United States; (3) the half of the Moses-Saunders Dam and Powerhouse having 16 units each capable of producing 57,000-kW located in the United States; (4) about 10.9-miles of dikes; (5) a reservoir having maximum nominal pool elevation 242 feet (IGLD 1955); and (g) appurtenant works and facilities.

The project has a total installed capacity of 912,000-kW.

*k. Pursuant to 18 CFR 16.7, information on the project is available at:* New York Power Authority, P.O. Box 700, Massena, New York 13662, Attn: Ms. Pat Sharlow, (315) 764-0226, Ext. 431.

*l. FERC contact:* Charles T. Raabe (202) 219-2811.

*m. Pursuant to 18 CFR 16.8, 16.9, and 16.10 each application for a new license and any competing license applications must be filed with the Commission at least 24 months prior to the expiration of the existing license. All applications for license for this project must be filed by October 31, 2001.*

*7 a. Type of Application:* Minor License.

*b. Project No.:* 11547-000.

*c. Date Filed:* June 5, 1995.

*d. Applicant:* Summit Hydropower.

*e. Name of Project:* Hale.

*f. Location:* On the Quinebaug River in the Town of Putnam, Windham County, Connecticut.

*g. Filed Pursuant to:* Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. §§ 791(a)-825(r).

*h. Applicant Contact:* Mr. Duncan S. Broatch, 92 Rocky Hill Road, Woodstock, CT 06281, (860) 974-1620.

*i. FERC Contact:* Charles T. Raabe (202) 219-2811.

*j. Deadline Date:* September 16, 1996.

*k. Status of Environmental Analysis:* This application is ready for environmental analysis at this time—see attached paragraph D10.

*l. Description of Project:* The proposed project would consist of: (1) the 130-foot-long, 24-foot-high Putnam Dam; (2) the reservoir having a 13-acre surface-area and a gross storage capacity of 65 acre-feet at normal surface elevation 253.42 feet m.s.l.; (3) the intake structure having four 3-foot-wide, 5-foot-high wooden head gates; (4) the tunnel forebay having new trashracks; (5) the water conveyance tunnel; (6) the penstock forebay; (7) a relined 7.5-foot-diameter, 100-foot-long steel penstock; (8) the powerhouse containing a new 440-kW generating unit, (9) the 800-foot-long tailrace; (10) transformers; (11) a new 50-foot-long, 480-volt overhead transmission line; and (12) appurtenant facilities.

The project dam is owned by the Town of Putnam, CT. Applicant estimates that the project's average annual energy production would be 2,363,000-kWh. Project energy would be sold to Connecticut Light and Power Company.

*m. This notice also consists of the following standard paragraphs:* A4 and D10.

*n. Available Locations of Application:* A copy of the application, as amended and supplemented, is available for inspection and reproduction at the Commission's Public Reference and Files Maintenance Branch, located at 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, (202) 208-1371. A copy is also available for inspection and reproduction at 92 Rocky Hill Road, Woodstock, CT 06281, (860) 974-1620 and at the Killingly Public Library, 25 Wescott Road, Danielson, CT 06239.

#### Standard Paragraphs

**A4. Development Application—**Public notice of the filing of the initial development application, which has already been given, established the due date for filing competing applications or notices of intent. Under the Commission's regulations, any competing development application must be filed in response to and in compliance with public notice of the initial development application. No competing applications or notices of intent may be filed in response to this notice.

**B. Comments, Protests, or Motions to Intervene—**Anyone may submit comments, a protest, or a motion to intervene in accordance with the

requirements of Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.210, .211, .214. In determining the appropriate action to take, the Commission will consider all protests or other comments filed, but only those who file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules may become a party to the proceeding. Any comments, protests, or motions to intervene must be received on or before the specified comment date for the particular application.

**C. Filing and Service of Responsive Documents—**Any filings must bear in all capital letters the title "COMMENTS", "NOTICE OF INTENT TO FILE COMPETING APPLICATION", "COMPETING APPLICATION", "PROTEST", "MOTION TO INTERVENE", as applicable, and the Project Number of the particular application to which the filing refers. Any of the above-named documents must be filed by providing the original and the number of copies provided by the Commission's regulations to: The Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426. An additional copy must be sent to Director, Division of Project Review, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, at the above-mentioned address. A copy of any notice of intent, competing application or motion to intervene must also be served upon each representative of the Applicant specified in the particular application.

**C1. Filing and Service of Responsive Documents—**Any filings must bear in all capital letters the title "COMMENTS", "RECOMMENDATIONS FOR TERMS AND CONDITIONS", "PROTEST", OR "MOTION TO INTERVENE", as applicable, and the Project Number of the particular application to which the filing refers. Any of the above-named documents must be filed by providing the original and the number of copies provided by the Commission's regulations to: The Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426. A copy of any motion to intervene must also be served upon each representative of the Applicant specified in the particular application.

**D2. Agency Comments—**Federal, state, and local agencies are invited to file comments on the described application. A copy of the application may be obtained by agencies directly from the Applicant. If an agency does not file comments within the time specified for filing comments, it will be presumed to have no comments. One copy of an agency's comments must also

be sent to the Applicant's representatives.

D10. Filing and Service of Responsive Documents—The application is ready for environmental analysis at this time, and the Commission is requesting comments, reply comments, recommendations, terms and conditions, and prescriptions.

The Commission directs, pursuant to section 4.34(b) of the regulations (see Order No. 533 issued May 8, 1991, 56 FR 23108, May 20, 1991) that all comments, recommendations, terms and conditions and prescriptions concerning the application be filed with the Commission within 60 days from the issuance date of this notice (August 19, 1996 for Project Nos. 1864-005 and 11547-000). All reply comments must be filed with the Commission within 105 days from the date of this notice (October 1, 1996 for Project Nos. 1864-005 and 11547-000).

Anyone may obtain an extension of time for these deadlines from the Commission only upon a showing of good cause or extraordinary circumstances in accordance with 18 CFR 385.2008.

All filings must (1) bear in all capital letters the title "COMMENTS", "REPLY COMMENTS", "RECOMMENDATIONS," "TERMS AND CONDITIONS," or "PRESCRIPTIONS;" (2) set forth in the heading the name of the applicant and the project number of the application to which the filing responds; (3) furnish the name, address, and telephone number of the person submitting the filing; and (4) otherwise comply with the requirements of 18 CFR 385.2001 through 385.2005. All comments, recommendations, terms and conditions or prescriptions must set forth their evidentiary basis and otherwise comply with the requirements of 18 CFR 4.34(b). Agencies may obtain copies of the application directly from the applicant. Any of these documents must be filed by providing the original and the number of copies required by the Commission's regulations to: The Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426. An additional copy must be sent to Director, Division of Project Review, Office of Hydropower Licensing, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, at the above address. Each filing must be accompanied by proof of service on all persons listed on the service list prepared by the Commission in this proceeding, in accordance with 18 CFR 4.34(b), and 385.2010.

E. Filing and Service of Responsive Documents—The application is not ready for environmental analysis at this

time; therefore, the Commission is not now requesting comments, recommendations, terms and conditions, or prescriptions.

When the application is ready for environmental analysis, the Commission will notify all persons on the service list and affected resource agencies and Indian tribes. If any person wishes to be placed on the service list, a motion to intervene must be filed by the specified deadline date herein for such motions. All resource agencies and Indian tribes that have official responsibilities that may be affected by the issues addressed in this proceeding, and persons on the service list will be able to file comments, terms and conditions, and prescriptions within 60 days of the date the Commission issues a notification letter that the application is ready for an environmental analysis. All reply comments must be filed with the Commission within 105 days from the date of that letter.

All filings must (1) bear in all capital letters the title "PROTEST" or "MOTION TO INTERVENE;" (2) set forth in the heading the name of the applicant and the project number of the application to which the filing responds; (3) furnish the name, address, and telephone number of the person protesting or intervening; and (4) otherwise comply with the requirements of 18 CFR 385.2001 through 385.2005. Any of these documents must be filed by providing the original and the number of copies required by the Commission's regulations to: The Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426. An additional copy must be sent to Director, Division of Project Review, Office of Hydropower Licensing, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, at the above address. A copy of any protest or motion to intervene must be served upon each representative of the applicant specified in the particular application.

Dated: June 19, 1996.

Lois D. Cashell,  
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-16401 Filed 6-26-96; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### Procedures for Submitting Comments to the WRC-97 Advisory Committee

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

**SUMMARY:** On June 7, 1996 the Commission released a public notice which provides procedures for submitting comments to the WRC-97 Advisory Committee.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Crystal Foster, FCC International Bureau at (202) 418-0749, or consult the WRC-97 Homepage on the Internet (<http://www.fcc.gov/ib/wrc97/>).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** 1. On March 14, 1996, the Commission released Public Notice (No. 61997) (Streamlining Notice), that announced its new streamlined World Radiocommunication Conference (WRC) preparatory process. Under this new process, formal Notice of Inquiry (NOI) proceedings are eliminated in favor of developing WRC proposals in the Commission's WRC-97 Advisory Committee. This removes the redundancy that was inherent in our previous "NOI-WRC Advisory Committee" process and enables the United States to respond more effectively to the rapidly evolving international environment and to the ITU's new two-year WRC schedule.

2. The Streamlining Notice included general guidelines for submission of public comments to the Advisory Committee. The Notice stated that procedures would be developed to ensure that members of the public continue to have full opportunity to participate in the development of WRC proposals under the new streamlined process, including those parties who do not attend meetings of the Advisory Committee and IWGs.

3. Since the release of the Streamlining Notice, we have gained experience with our new process. We now provide these procedures for submitting comments to the Advisory Committee.

- *Comments on Ongoing Advisory Committee Matters:* Parties who wish to comment on the ongoing deliberations of the Advisory Committee and its IWGs may do so at any time.

- *Comments on Preliminary Proposals:* As announced in the Streamlining Notice, preliminary WRC proposals developed by the Advisory Committee will be released by the Commission in periodic Public Notices. These Public Notices will allow an opportunity for public comment and will provide the appropriate procedures, such as filing deadlines, to be followed.

4. In either case, parties wishing their comments to be considered directly by the appropriate Advisory Committee group and to become part of the Advisory Committee's public record should submit their comments in