

is hereby given of a meeting of the Peer Review Oversight Group (PROG) to be held July 18 and 19, 1996 in Conference Room 6, C-Wing, 6th Floor, Building 31, 9000 Rockville Pike, Bethesda, Maryland 20892. The meeting will be held from 3:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m. on July 18 and from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. on July 19. The meeting is open to the public, with attendance limited to space available.

The agenda for this initial meeting of the Committee will include an update on NIH extramural reinvention activities and a discussion of the NIH peer review system.

Peggy McCardle, Ph.D., Executive Secretary, PROG, and Special Assistant to the Deputy Director for Extramural Research, OD, NIH, Building 1, Room 150, Bethesda, Maryland 20892, (301) 402-2246, will furnish the meeting agenda and roster of committee members upon request. Individuals who plan to attend the meeting and need special assistance, such as sign language interpretation or other special accommodations, should contact Dr. McCardle by July 11, 1996.

Dated: June 21, 1996.

Susan K. Feldman,

Committee Management Officer, NIH.

[FR Doc. 96-16324 Filed 6-25-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4140-01-M

Indian Health Service

Reimbursement Rates for Calendar Year 1996

Notice is given that the Director of Indian Health Service, under the authority of sections 321(a) and 322(b) of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 248(a) and 249(b)) and section 601 of the Indian Health Care Improvement Act (25 U.S.C. 1601), has approved the following reimbursement rates for inpatient and outpatient medical care in facilities operated by the Indian Health Service for Calendar Year 1996: Medicare, and Medicaid Beneficiaries and Beneficiaries of other Federal Agencies. Alternatively, with respect to Medicaid rates, Indian Health Service Facilities may elect to receive payments as set forth under an approved State Medicaid plan.

Inpatient Hospital Per Diem Rate (Medicaid Only)

\$736 (Lower 48)
\$930 (Alaska)

Part B Inpatient Ancillary Per Diem (Medicare Only)

\$405 (Lower 48)
\$512 (Alaska)

Outpatient Per Visit Rate (Medicare and Medicaid)

\$147 (Lower 48)
\$233 (Alaska)

Outpatient Surgery (Medicare Only)

Established rates for freestanding Ambulatory Surgery Centers

Consistent with previous annual rate revisions, these rates will be effective for services provided on/or after January 1, 1996.

Dated: March 25, 1996.

Michael H. Trujillo,

Assistant Surgeon General, Director.

[FR Doc. 96-16279 Filed 6-25-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160-16-M

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR-4097-D-01]

Office of the Assistant Secretary for Housing—Federal Housing Commissioner; Revocation and Redefinition of Authority; Amendment to Field Reorganization

AGENCY: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Housing—Federal Housing Commissioner, HUD.

ACTION: Amendment to field reorganization redefinition of authority.

SUMMARY: This notice amends the redefinition portion of the field reorganization Revocation and Redefinition of Authority for the Office of Housing, published in the Federal Register on December 6, 1994, at 59 FR 62739.

This amendment revokes authority over both single family and multifamily housing matters previously redelegated to the Director, Single Family Housing Division, and to the Director, Multifamily Housing Division, respectively, in the Anchorage, AK; Charleston, WV; Grand Rapids, MI; Manchester, NH; and Milwaukee WI field offices; it then redelegates the authority to the Director, Housing Division, in each of the affected offices.

Prior to this amendment, the field reorganization document redelegated authority to both Directors of Single Family Housing and Directors of Multifamily Housing, in A and B field offices. With this amendment, in certain A and B field offices, authority is still delegated to both the Director, Single Family Housing Division, and Director, Multifamily Housing Division. However, in other A and B field offices, authority is now redelegated to the Director, Housing Division, who

handles both single family and multifamily housing matters.

This amendment also revokes authority over single family housing matters previously redelegated to the Director of the Single Family Housing Division in the Dallas, Texas field office; it then redelegates this authority to the Office of Housing Director in the Fort Worth, Texas field office. As a result of this portion of the amendment, field office authority regarding single family housing matters is transferred from the Director of the Single Family Housing Division in the Dallas, Texas field office to the Director of Housing in the Fort Worth, Texas field office. Employees of the Dallas office will continue to perform single family housing functions but field office decisionmaking authority will rest in the Fort Worth office.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 17, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Robert G. Hunt, Director, Management Services Division, or Charles E. Patterson, Chief, Program Analysis Branch, Management Services Division, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street, SW., Room 9116, Washington, DC 20410, (202) 708-0826. A telecommunications device for the hearing-impaired ("TDD") is available at (202) 708-1455. These are not toll-free numbers.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In November of 1993, the Secretary announced the reorganization of HUD's field structure to improve performance and provide HUD's customers—members of the public and program beneficiaries—more efficient service and less bureaucracy by empowering HUD's employees to more effectively serve these customers. As part of that ongoing process, on December 6, 1994 at 59 FR 62739, the Department published a Notice of Revocation and Redefinition of Authority pertaining to authority in the field over Office of Housing programs. This document makes certain organizational changes to the December 6, 1994 redelegation, regarding to whom authority is delegated.

As the Department strives to provide increasingly efficient service, the ability to do more with less is of crucial importance. Through this Amendment to the field reorganization Revocation and Redefinition of Authority, the Department seeks to shift certain powers and authorities in order to best utilize its finite resources to the benefit of the customer.

Accordingly, the Assistant Secretary for Housing—Federal Housing Commissioner amends the Revocation and Redefinition of Authority at 59 FR

62739 by revoking and redelegation as follows:

Section B. Authority Redelegated

1. Section B., I., b. of 59 FR 62739, which identifies to whom in A and B offices authority is redelegated, is revoked and replaced with the following sections: B., I., b.(i) and b.(ii):

b.(1) For the following Category A and B field offices, the Assistant Secretary for Housing-Federal Housing Commissioner redelegates to the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Single Family Housing, who retains his or her authority and further redelegates to the Directors of Single Family Housing Divisions, the powers and authorities needed to carry out those program functions listed in Part III of this redelegation for the Office of Housing-FHA single family housing programs. These programs are listed below within Part II of this section. In addition, the Assistant Secretary for Housing-Federal Housing Commissioner redelegates to the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Multifamily Housing Programs, who retains his or her authority and further redelegates to the Directors of Multifamily Housing Divisions in the following offices, the powers and authorities needed to carry out those program functions listed in Part III of this redelegation for the Office of Housing-FHA multifamily housing programs. The legal citations for these programs are listed below in Part II of this Section B.

Unless otherwise noted, each Director, Multifamily Housing Division, in a Category A or B Office exercises authority with regard to all multifamily housing functions within the geographic boundaries of his or her particular field office. The exceptions note that Oklahoma City, OK, and Houston, TX, are redelegated authority to act on properties outside their jurisdictions. All other exceptions note that a Multifamily Housing Division Director does not have authority over some asset disposition or asset management functions; instead, authority for those functions is redelegated to the offices noted in parentheses within the lists of Category A and Category B offices.

(1.) Category A Field Offices:

Hartford, CT

Buffalo, NY (except Multifamily Asset Disposition functions which are redelegated to New York, NY, as noted above)

Newark, NJ (except Multifamily Asset Disposition functions which are redelegated to New York, NY, as noted above)

Baltimore, MD (except Multifamily Asset Disposition functions which are

redelegated to Philadelphia, PA, as noted above)

Pittsburgh, PA (except Multifamily Asset Disposition functions which are redelegated to Philadelphia, PA, as noted above)

Richmond, VA (except Multifamily Asset Disposition functions which are redelegated to Philadelphia, PA, as noted above)

Washington, DC (except Multifamily Asset Disposition functions which are redelegated to Philadelphia, PA, as noted above)

Birmingham, AL

Columbia, SC

Greensboro, NC

Jackson, MS

Knoxville, TN

Louisville, KY

Caribbean, San Juan, PR

Columbus, OH

Indianapolis, IN

Minneapolis/St. Paul, MN

Little Rock, AR (except Multifamily Asset Disposition functions which are redelegated to Fort Worth, TX as noted above)

New Orleans, LA (except Multifamily Asset Disposition functions which are redelegated to the Multifamily Division Director, Houston, TX)

Oklahoma City, OK (includes Tulsa, OK, Multifamily Asset Management and Disposition functions)

San Antonio, TX (except Multifamily Asset Disposition functions which are redelegated to Fort Worth, TX, as noted above)

Omaha, NE

Honolulu, HI

Portland, OR

(2.) Category B Field Offices:

Providence, RI

Nashville, TN

Cleveland, OH

Cincinnati, OH

Houston, TX (includes Multifamily Asset Disposition functions for New Orleans, LA, above and Shreveport, LA, below)

Des Moines, IA

Phoenix, AZ

Sacramento, CA

b.(ii) For the following Category A and B field offices, the Assistant Secretary for Housing-Federal Housing Commissioner redelegates to the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Single Family Housing, who retains his or her authority and further redelegates to the Directors of Housing Divisions, the powers and authorities needed to carry out those program functions listed in Part III of this redelegation for the Office of Housing-FHA single family housing programs. These programs are listed below within Part II of this section. In

addition, the Assistant Secretary for Housing-Federal Housing Commissioner redelegates to the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Multifamily Housing Programs, who retains his or her authority and further redelegates to the Directors of Housing Divisions in the following offices, the powers and authorities needed to carry out those program functions listed in Part III of this redelegation for the Office of Housing-FHA multifamily housing programs. The legal citations for these programs are listed below in Part II of this Section B.

Unless otherwise noted, each Director, Housing Division, in a Category A or B Office exercises authority with regard to all multifamily housing functions within the geographic boundaries of his or her particular field office.

(1.) Category A Field Offices:

Milwaukee, WI

Anchorage, AK

(2.) Category B field Offices:

Manchester, NH

Charleston, WV (except those Multifamily Asset Disposition functions which are redelegated to Philadelphia, PA, as noted above)

Grand Rapids, MI

Therefore, authority previously redelegated to both the Director, Single Family Housing Division, and to the Director, Multifamily Housing Division, respectively in the Anchorage, AK; Charleston, WV; Grand Rapids, MI; Manchester, NH; and Milwaukee, WI field offices, is now redelegated to the Director, Housing Division, in each of the affected offices.

2. At Section B., I., c.(1.) of 59 FR 62739, within the list of category C+ field offices, the notation within parentheses with regard to the Dallas, Texas Field office is amended to read as follows:

“Dallas, TX (except that authority for neither multifamily housing functions nor single family housing functions is redelegated to HUD officials in this office; single family and multifamily housing officials report to the Fort Worth, TX Housing Director)”

Therefore, authority over the single family housing program functions provided within the redelegation at 59 FR 62739 is revoked from the Director of the Single Family Housing Division in Dallas, Texas.

3. At Section B., I., a. (1.) of 59 FR 62739, within the list of Category AA (Double A) Field Offices, the notation within parentheses with regard to the Fort Worth, Texas field office is amended to read as follows:

Fort Worth, TX (includes all single family housing program functions for

C+ office Dallas, TX; in addition, includes all Multifamily Asset Management and Asset Disposition functions for C+ offices Albuquerque, NM and Dallas, TX; and includes all Multifamily Asset Disposition functions for A offices Little Rock, AR and San Antonio, TX, and all Multifamily Asset Management functions for C+ office Shreveport, LA)."'

Therefore, authority over the single family housing program functions listed within the redelegation at 59 FR 62739, for the geographic area of the Dallas field office, is redelegated to the Fort Worth, Texas Office of Housing Director.

Authority: Section 7(d), Department of Housing and Urban Development Act, 42 U.S.C. 3535(d).

Nicolas P. Retsinas,
Assistant Secretary for Housing—Federal
Housing Commissioner.
[FR Doc. 96-16233 Filed 6-25-96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4210-27-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Performance Review Board Appointments

AGENCY: Department of the Interior.

ACTION: Notice of Performance Review Board Appointments.

SUMMARY: This notice provides the names of individuals who have been appointed to serve as members of the Department of the Interior Performance Review Board. The publication of these appointments is required by Section 405(a) of the Civil Service Reform Act of 1978 (P.L. 95-454, 5 U.S.C. 4314(c)(4)).

DATES: The appointments are effective June 26, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dolores Chacon, Acting Director of Personnel, Office of the Secretary, Department of the Interior, (1849 C Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20240, Telephone Number: (202) 208-6403.

Department of the Interior SES
Performance Review Board—1995

Carolita Kallaur, Chair, Minerals
Management Service (Career
Appointee)

May Josie Blanchard, Office of Surface
Mining Reclamation and Enforcement
(Career Appointee)

Robert Stanton, National Park Service
(Career Appointee)

Robert E. Doyle, Jr., Bureau of Land
Management (Career Appointee)

Judy R. Harrison, Office of the Inspector
General (Career Appointee)

Hilda Manuel, Bureau of Indian Affairs
(Career Appointee)

Dated: June 17, 1996.

Dolores Chacon,

Acting Director of Personnel.

[FR Doc. 96-16193 Filed 6-25-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-10-M

Bureau of Land Management

[UT-920-06-1320-00]

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management,
Utah.

ACTION: Notice of Public Hearing and
Call for Public Comment on Fair Market
Value and Maximum Economic
Recovery; Coal Lease Application UTU-
73975.

SUMMARY: The Bureau of Land
Management (BLM) announces a public
hearing on the Environmental
Assessment (EA) for a proposed coal
lease sale and requests public comment
on the fair market value of certain coal
resources it proposes to offer for
competitive lease sale. The lands
included in coal lease application UTU-
73975 are located in Carbon County,
Utah, approximately 10 miles north of
Price, Utah on public land and are
described as follows:

T. 12 S., R. 9 E., SLM,

Sec. 25, lots 1-4, W $\frac{1}{2}$ E $\frac{1}{2}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$;

Sec. 26, E $\frac{1}{2}$ E $\frac{1}{2}$.

T. 12 S., R. 10 E., SLM

Sec. 28, E $\frac{1}{2}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ W $\frac{1}{2}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$,

W $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;

Sec. 29, N $\frac{1}{2}$ N $\frac{1}{2}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$,
E $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;

Sec. 30, lots 1-4, NE $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ W $\frac{1}{2}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$,
SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$.

Containing 2,299.4 acres more or less.

Three economically minable coal
beds, the C Seam, Kenilworth, and D
Seams are found in this tract. Seams are
all greater than 6 feet in thickness. This
tract contains an estimated 20 to 30
million tons of recoverable high-volatile
B bituminous coal. The range of coal
quality in the seams on an as received
basis is as follows: 12,685-12,805 BTU/
lb., 3.23-4.23 percent moisture, .34-.44
percent sulfur, 5.36-6.9 percent ash,
46.57-49.08 percent fixed carbon, and
41.89-42.27 percent volatile matter. The
public is invited to the hearing to make
public or written comments on the EA
concerning the proposal to lease the
Willow Creek Tract, and also to submit
comments on the fair market value
(FMV) and the maximum economic
recovery (MER) of the tract.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In
accordance with Federal coal
management regulations 43 CFR 4322
and 4325, a public hearing shall be held

on the proposed sale to allow public
comment on and discussion of the
potential effects of mining and proposed
lease. Not less than 30 days prior to the
publication of the notice of sale, the
Secretary shall solicit public comments
on fair market value appraisal and
maximum economic recovery and on
factors that may affect these two
determinations. Proprietary data marked
as confidential may be submitted to the
Bureau of Land Management in
response to this solicitation of public
comments. Data so marked shall be
treated in accordance with the laws and
regulations governing the
confidentiality of such information. A
copy of the comments submitted by the
public on fair market value and
maximum economic recovery, except
those portions identified as proprietary
by the author and meeting exemptions
stated in the Freedom of Information
Act, will be available for public
inspection at the Bureau of Land
Management, Utah State Office during
regular business hours (8:00 a.m. to 4:00
p.m.) Monday through Friday.
Comments on fair market value and
maximum economic recovery should be
sent to the Bureau of Land Management
and should address, but not necessarily
be limited to, the following information:

1. The quality and quantity of the coal
resource.

2. The mining method or methods
which would achieve maximum
economic recovery of the coal,
including specifications of seams to be
mined and the most desirable timing
and rate of production.

3. The quantity of coal.

4. If this tract is likely to be mined as
part of an existing mine and therefore be
evaluated on a realistic incremental
basis, in relation to the existing mine to
which it has the greatest value.

5. If this tract should be evaluated as
part of a potential larger mining unit
and evaluated as a portion of a new
potential mine (i.e., a tract which does
not in itself form a logical mining unit).

6. The configuration of any larger
mining unit of which the tract may be
a part.

7. Restrictions to mining which may
affect coal recovery.

8. The price that the mined coal
would bring when sold.

9. Costs, including mining and
reclamation, of producing the coal and
the time of production.

10. The percentage rate at which
anticipated income streams should be
discounted, either in the absence of
inflation or with inflation, in which case
the anticipated rate of inflation should
be given.