

Dated: May 30, 1996.
 John J. Da Ponte, Jr.,
Executive Secretary.
 [FR Doc. 96-14743 Filed 6-10-96; 8:45 am]
 BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

[Docket 47-96]

Foreign-Trade Zone 168—Dallas/Fort Worth, TX, Area; Application for Expansion

An application has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) by the Dallas/Fort Worth Maquila Trade Development Corporation, grantee of FTZ 168, requesting authority to expand its zone to include a site in Carrollton, Texas, within the Dallas/Fort Worth Customs port of entry. The application was submitted pursuant to the provisions of the Foreign-Trade Zones Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), and the regulations of the Board (15 CFR Part 400). It was formally filed on May 30, 1996.

FTZ 168 was approved on November 1, 1990 (Board Order 491, 55 FR 46974, 11/8/90) and reorganized in 1992 and 1994. The zone currently consists of three sites in the Fort Worth, Texas, area:

Site 1 (24 acres)—industrial area at Alta Mesa and Will Rogers Boulevards, Fort Worth;

Site 2 (263 acres)—Centreport Industrial Development, south of DFW International Airport, Fort Worth;

Site 3 (195 acres)—Fossil Creek Business Park, I-35W and I-820, Fort Worth.

Applications are currently pending for a site (proposed Site 4—91 acres) located at the Regency Business Park along Post & Paddock Road, Grand Prairie, Texas (Doc. 77-95, 60 FR 61528, 11/30/95), and a site (proposed Site 5—630 acres) within the 1,200-acre Mercantile Center, located at I-35 and Meacham Boulevard, Fort Worth, Texas (Doc. 27-96, 61 FR 17875, 4/23/96).

The applicant is now requesting authority to expand the zone to include a site at the Frankford Trade Center (168 acres) located adjacent to I-35E and Frankford Road, Carrollton, Texas. The site is owned by Hunt Realty Investments, Inc., and zone services will be provided by the FTZ Operating Company of Texas.

No specific manufacturing requests are being made at this time. Such requests would be made to the Board on a case-by case basis.

In accordance with the Board's regulations, a member of the FTZ Staff has been designated examiner to

investigate the application and report to the Board.

Public comment on the application is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and 3 copies) shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is August 12, 1996. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period (to August 26, 1996).

A copy of the application and accompanying exhibits will be available for public inspection at each of the following locations:

U.S. Department of Commerce District Office 2050 N. Stemmons Fwy., Suite 170 Dallas, Texas 75258
 Office of the Executive Secretary Foreign-Trade Zones Board U.S. Department of Commerce, Room 3716 14th & Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230

Dated: May 30, 1996.
 John J. Da Ponte, Jr.,
Executive Secretary.
 [FR Doc. 96-14744 Filed 6-10-96; 8:45 am]
 BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

[Order No. 826]

Removal of Time Limit for Manufacturing Authority, Western Publishing Company, Inc. (Children's Books) Within Foreign-Trade Zone 41, Milwaukee, Wisconsin Area

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

Whereas, an application from the Foreign-Trade Zone of Wisconsin, Ltd., grantee of FTZ 41, filed with the Foreign-Trade Zones (FTZ) Board (the Board) on November 20, 1995, requesting removal of the time limit contained in Board Order 639 (58 FR 30144, 5/26/93), which authorized, on behalf of Western Publishing Company, Inc., the manufacture of children's touch-sound books under zone procedures within FTZ 41, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, area (FTZ Docket 76-95, 60 FR 61528);

Whereas, notice inviting public comment was given in the Federal Register and the application has been processed pursuant to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations; and,

Whereas, the Board adopts the findings and recommendations of the examiner's report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and Board's regulations would be satisfied

and that the proposal would be in the public interest, approves the requested removal of the time limit;

Now, therefore, the Board hereby authorizes the removal of the time limit from FTZ Board Order 639, subject to the FTZ Act and the FTZ Board's regulations, including Section 400.28.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 4th day of June 1996.

Paul L. Joffe,
Acting Assistant Secretary for Commerce for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.

Attest:

John J. Da Ponte, Jr.,
Executive Secretary.
 [FR Doc. 96-14740 Filed 6-10-96; 8:45 am]
 BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

International Trade Administration

[A-122-804; C-122-805]

New Steel Rail, Except Light Rail, From Canada: Initiation and Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, and Intent To Revoke Orders in Part

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of initiation and preliminary results of changed circumstances antidumping duty and countervailing duty administrative reviews, and intent to revoke orders in part.

SUMMARY: In response to a request from Gerdau MRM Steel, Inc. (Gerdau), an interested party in these proceedings in accordance with sections 353.2(k) and 355.2(i) of our regulations, and an exporter of nominal 60 ASCE (ASTM A1-92) steel rail, the Department of Commerce (the Department) is initiating changed circumstances antidumping duty and countervailing duty administrative reviews and issuing an intent to revoke in part the antidumping duty and countervailing duty orders on new steel rail, except light rail, from Canada, the scope of which currently include new steel rail at least 60 pounds per yard or heavier. Gerdau requested that the Department revoke the orders in part as to imports of nominal-60-pounds-per-yard new steel rail from Canada (60 ASCE/ASTM A1-92). Bethlehem Steel Corp. and CF&I Steel, L.P., petitioners in these cases, have submitted letters indicating they have no objection to the initiation of these changed circumstances reviews and no interest in maintaining the antidumping

duty and countervailing duty orders on 60 ASCE/ASTM A1-92 new steel rail from Canada. Based on the fact that this portion of these orders is no longer of interest to domestic parties, we intend to partially revoke these orders. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results. Parties who submit argument in this proceeding are requested to submit with the argument (1) A statement of the issue, and (2) a brief summary of the argument.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 11, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Roy F. Unger, Jr., Office of Antidumping Compliance or Robert Copyak, Office of Countervailing Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202)482-0651 and (202) 482-2209, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 3, 1989, the Department published the antidumping and countervailing duty final determination in the less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation (54 FR 31984), which covered new steel rail 60 pounds per yard and heavier. The Department published an antidumping duty order on new steel rail, except light rail, on September 15, 1989 (54 FR 38263). The Department published a countervailing duty order on new steel rail, except light rail, on September 22, 1989 (54 FR 39032).

On February 1, 1996, Gerdau requested that the Department conduct changed circumstances administrative reviews to determine whether to partially revoke the orders with regard to 60 ASCE/ASTM A1-92 new steel rail. The orders' application to imports of new steel rail other than 60 ASCE/ASTM A1-92 is not affected by this request. On March 29, 1996, petitioner, Bethlehem Steel advised the Department that it has no interest in maintaining the antidumping and countervailing duty orders on 60 ASCE/ASTM A1-92 new steel rail. In addition, Gerdau informed the Department that it has canvassed interested parties known to it to be actively involved in the production of 60 ASCE/ASTM A1-92 steel rail in the United States, and did not find any opposition to the revocation of the orders with regard to 60 ASCE/ASTM A1-92 steel rail.

Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to

the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the current regulations, as amended by the interim regulations published in the Federal Register on May 11, 1995 (60 FR 25130).

Scope of Review

The merchandise covered by these changed circumstances reviews is imports of 60 ASCE/ASTM A1-92 new steel rail. The merchandise covered by the orders is new steel rail, except light rail, whether of carbon, high carbon, alloy or other quality steel, and includes standard rails, all main line sections, heat-treated or head-hardened (premium) rails, transit rails, contact rail (or "third rail") and crane rails. This merchandise is currently classified under subheadings 7302.10.1020, 7302.10.1040, 7302.10.5000, and 8548.00.0000 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS). The HTS numbers are provided for convenience and Customs purposes. The written description of the scope of these reviews remains dispositive.

These changed circumstances administrative reviews cover all manufacturers/exporters of 60 ASCE/ASTM A1-92 steel rail, except light rail, from Canada.

Initiation and Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, and Intent To Revoke Orders in Part

Pursuant to section 751(d)(1) and 782(h)(2) of the Act, the Department may partially revoke an antidumping or countervailing duty order based on a review under section 751(b) of the Act (i.e., a changed circumstances review). Section 751(b)(1) of the Act requires a changed circumstances administrative review to be conducted upon receipt of a request which shows changed circumstances sufficient to warrant a review.

The Department's regulations at 19 CFR 353.25(d)(2) and 355.25(d)(2) permit the Department to conduct changed circumstances administrative reviews under section 353.22(f) and 355.22(h), respectively, based upon an affirmative statement of no interest from the petitioner in the proceeding. Sections 353.25(d)(1)(i) and 355.25(d)(1)(i) further provide that the Department may revoke an order or revoke an order in part if it determines that the order under review is no longer of interest to interested parties. In

addition, in the event that the Department concludes that expedited action is warranted, sections 353.22(f)(4) and 355.22(h)(4) of the regulations permit the Department to combine the notices of initiation and preliminary results.

Therefore, in accordance with sections 751(d)(1) and 782(h)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 353.25(d), 353.22(f), 355.25(d), and 355.22(h), based on affirmative statements of no interest by Bethlehem Steel and CF&I Steel, we are initiating these changed circumstances administrative reviews. Further, based on the representation made by Gerdau that other U.S. producers of this merchandise have no interest in the orders with respect to 60 ASCE/ASTM A1-92 steel rail, we determine that expedited action is warranted, and we preliminarily determine that continued coverage of 60 ASCE/ASTM A1-92 steel rail is no longer of interest to domestic interested parties. Because we have concluded that expedited action is warranted, we are combining these notices of initiation and preliminary results. Therefore, we are hereby notifying the public of our intent to revoke in part the antidumping and countervailing duty orders as to imports of 60 ASCE/ASTM A1-92 new steel rail from Canada.

If final revocation in part occurs, we intend to instruct the U.S. Customs Service (Customs) to liquidate without regard to antidumping or countervailing duties and to refund any estimated antidumping and countervailing duties collected for all entries of 60 ASCE/ASTM A1-92 steel rail made on or after the date of publication in the Federal Register of the final results of these reviews in accordance with 19 CFR 353.25(d)(5) and 355.25(d)(5). We will also instruct Customs to pay interest on such refunds in accordance with section 778 of the Act. The current requirement for a cash deposit of estimated antidumping and countervailing duties on 60 ASCE/ASTM A1-92 steel rail will continue until publication of the final results of these changed circumstances reviews.

Public Comment

Parties to the proceedings may request disclosure within 5 days of the date of publication of this notice and any interested party may request a hearing within 10 days of publication. Any hearing, if requested, will be held no later than 28 days after the date of publication of this notice, or the first workday thereafter. Case briefs and/or written comments from interested parties may be submitted not later than 14 days after the date of publication of

this notice. Rebuttal briefs and rebuttals to written comments, limited to the issues raised in those comments, may be filed not later than 21 days after the date of publication of this notice. All written comments shall be submitted in accordance with 19 CFR 353.31(e) and 355.31(e) and shall be served on all interested parties on the Department's service list in accordance with 19 CFR 353.31(g) and 355.31(g). Persons interested in attending the hearing should contact the Department for the date and time of the hearing. The Department will publish the final results of these changed circumstances reviews, including the results of its analysis of issues raised in any written comments.

This notice is in accordance with sections 751(b)(1) of the Act and sections 353.22(f), 353.25(d), 355.22(h), and 355.25(d) of the Department's regulations.

Dated: June 4, 1996.

Paul L. Joffe,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 96-14738 Filed 6-10-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

[A-549-502]

Certain Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes From Thailand: Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review in Accordance With Decision on Remand

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Amended final results of antidumping duty administrative review in accordance with decision on remand.

SUMMARY: On August 26, 1992, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published in the Federal Register the final results of the second administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain circular welded carbon steel pipes and tubes from Thailand. The review covered the period March 1, 1988 through February 28, 1989.

On February 14, 1995, the Court of International Trade ("CIT") issued an order, in the case of *Saha Thai Steel Pipe Co., Ltd. v. United States*, Slip Op. 95-21 (CIT 1995), remanding to the Department the final results of the second administrative review of Saha Thai Steel Pipe Co., Ltd. ("Saha Thai"). The Department issued its remand results on May 3, 1995, and its final calculations on June 21, 1995. On

August 2, 1995, the CIT affirmed the Department's redetermination (Slip Op. 95-139). Since the CIT's ruling was not appealed, and the CIT decision affirming our redetermination has become final and conclusive within the meaning of section 516A(e) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), we are amending our final results of the second administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain circular welded carbon steel pipes and tubes from Thailand with respect to Saha Thai.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 11, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Alain Letort or Linda Ludwig, Office of Agreements Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone (202) 482-3793 or telefax (202) 482-1388.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Scope of the Review

Imports covered by the review are shipments of certain circular welded carbon steel pipes and tubes with an outside diameter of 0.375 inch or more, but not exceeding 16 inches. These products, which are commonly referred to in the industry as "standard pipe" or "structural tubing," are hereinafter designated as "pipe and tube." Pipe and tube is currently classifiable under item numbers 7306.30.1000, 7306.30.5025, 7306.30.5032, 7306.30.5040, 7306.30.5055, 7306.30.5085, and 7306.30.5090 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule ("HTS"). These item numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes only. The written product description remains dispositive.

This review covers shipments made by Saha Thai Steel Pipe Co., Ltd. ("Saha Thai") from Thailand to the United States during the period March 1, 1988 through February 28, 1989.

Background

On August 26, 1992, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published in the Federal Register the final results of the second administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain circular welded carbon steel pipes and tubes from Thailand (57 FR 38668) ("Final Results"). The review covered shipments of this merchandise from Thailand to the United States during the period March 1, 1988, through February 28, 1989, by Saha Thai.

On February 14, 1995, the Court of International Trade ("CIT") issued an order, in the case of *Saha Thai Steel*

Pipe Co., Ltd. v. United States, Slip Op. 95-21 (CIT 1995), remanding to the Department the final results of the second antidumping duty administrative review of Saha Thai. The CIT ordered the Department "to clearly set forth the criteria used in its Final Results and to provide a reasonable explanation for any departure from established criteria if necessary, the facts used, and the conclusions reached in light of those criteria and facts." The Department having done so as reported in its remand results dated May 31, 1995, and final calculations dated June 21, 1995 (together referred to as the "remand results"), the CIT, on August 2, 1995, affirmed the remand results (Slip Op. 95-139).

Amended Final Results of the Review

As a result of our recalculations, we have determined that a weighted-average dumping margin of 0.46 percent ad valorem exists for certain circular welded carbon steel pipes and tubes sold by Saha Thai during the period beginning on March 1, 1988 and ending on February 28, 1989. The dumping margin is de minimis.

Because the CIT's ruling affirming our redetermination has become final and conclusive, within the meaning of section 516A(e) of the Act, the Department will instruct the U.S. Customs Service immediately to lift the suspension of liquidation and also to assess antidumping duties on entries subject to this review, as appropriate. The Department will issue appraisal instructions directly to the U.S. Customs Service.

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with section 353.34(d) of the Department's regulations. Timely notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.