

considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,  
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-13884 Filed 6-3-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

**[Docket No. CP96-97-000]**

**Eastern Shore Natural Gas Company;  
Notice of Availability of the  
Environmental Assessment for the  
Proposed Hockessin Expansion  
Project**

May 29, 1996.

The staff of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC or Commission) has prepared an environmental assessment (EA) on the natural gas pipeline facilities proposed by Eastern Shore Natural Gas Company (Eastern Shore) in the above-referenced docket.

The EA was prepared to satisfy the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act. The staff concludes that approval of the proposed project, with appropriate mitigating measures, would not constitute a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment.

The EA assesses the potential environmental effects of the construction and operation of the following facilities proposed by Eastern Shore:

- Construction of a 2,170-horsepower (hp) compressor station, with a 1,085-hp back-up unit, in New Castle County, Delaware;
- Construction and operation of 0.89 mile of 16-inch-diameter pipeline in New Castle County, Delaware to tie the suction side of the proposed compressor station into the Hockessin Line; and
- Upgrading the maximum allowable operating pressure from 500 pounds per square inch gauge (psig) to 590 psig on the 28.7-mile Salisbury Lateral from the outlet of Eastern Shore's existing Bridgeville Compressor Station in Sussex County, Delaware to the Citizens Meter and Regulator Station in Salisbury, Wicomico County, Maryland.

The purpose of the proposed facilities is to enable Eastern Shore to provide 4,796 thousand cubic feet per day (Mcf/d) of additional firm capacity on its system.

Eastern Shore also proposes to abandon 100 Mcfd of firm sales service

to Playtex Apparel, Inc., a direct sales customer.

The EA has been placed in the public files of the FERC and is available for public inspection at: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Public Reference and Files Maintenance Branch, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, DC 20426, (202) 208-1371.

Copies of the EA have been mailed to Federal, state and local agencies, public interest groups, interested individuals, newspapers, and parties to this proceeding.

A limited number of copies of the EA are available from: Mr. Herman Der, Environmental Project Manager, Environmental Review and Compliance Branch I, Office of Pipeline Regulation, PR-11.1, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, DC 20426, (202) 208-0896.

Any person wishing to comment on the EA may do so. Written comments must reference Docket No. CP96-97-000, and be addressed to: Office of the Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, DC 20426, (202) 208-0896.

Comments should be filed as soon as possible, but must be received no later than July 5, 1996, to ensure consideration prior to a Commission decision on this proposal. A copy of any comments should also be sent to Mr. Herman Der, Environmental Project Manager, PR-11.1, at the above address.

Comments will be considered by the Commission but will not serve to make the commentor a party to the proceeding. Any person seeking to become a party to the proceeding must file a motion to intervene pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedures (18 CFR 385.214).

The date for filing timely motions to intervene in this proceeding has passed. Therefore, parties now seeking to file late interventions must show good cause, as required by section 385.214(b)(3), why this time limitation should be waived. Environmental issues have been viewed as good cause for late intervention. You do not heed intervenor status to have your comments considered.

Additional information about this project is available from Mr. Herman Der, Environmental Project Manager. Lois D. Cashell,  
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-13850 Filed 6-3-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

**[Docket No. CP96-495-000, et al.]**

**GPM Gas Corporation v. Continental Natural Gas, Inc., et al.; Natural Gas Certificate Filings**

May 28, 1996.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. GPM Gas Corporation v. Continental Natural Gas, Inc.

[Docket No. CP96-495-000]

Take notice that on May 2, 1996, GPM Gas Corporation (GPM), First Interstate Tower, 1300 Post Oak Blvd., Room 880, Houston, Texas 77056, filed in Docket No. CP96-495-000 a motion to intervene, complaint, and protest to the new pipeline tap and interconnection proposed by Northern Natural Gas Company (Northern) in its request filed in Docket No. CP96-246-000 and noticed on March 18, 1996, to be constructed and operated under its blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-401-000, involving deliveries of natural gas to Continental Natural Gas, Inc. (CNG), for plant feedstock purposes. GPM requests that its filing be processed as a separate application from Northern's filing, although GPM protests the proposal in Docket Nos. CP82-401-000 and CP96-246-000, and moves to intervene in those dockets. GPM's complaint is on file with the Commission and open for public inspection.

GPM states that its complaint is filed against CNG since it appears that, based on the configuration and the present and proposed usage of CNG's facilities, CNG must first obtain authorization under the Natural Gas Act before it may handle the subject gas to be received from Northern. GPM alleges that CNG is currently, effectively functioning as an interstate pipeline without Federal Energy Regulatory Commission oversight through the use of its own pipelines to effect processing, at different plant locations, of interstate gas received from transmission lines.

*Comment date:* June 27, 1996, in accordance with the first paragraph of Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice. Answers to the Complaint shall also be due on or before June 27, 1996.

2. K N Interstate Gas Transmission Co.

[Docket No. CP96-531-000]

Take notice that on May 22, 1996, K N Interstate Gas Transmission Co. (K N Interstate), P.O. Box 281304, Lakewood, Colorado, 80228, filed in the above docket, a request pursuant to Sections 157.205(b) of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act for authorization to install and operate

two new delivery taps and appurtenant facilities located in Keith and Scottsbluff Counties, Nebraska. These taps will be added as delivery points under an existing transportation agreement between K N Interstate and K N Energy, Inc. (K N) and will be used by K N to facilitate the delivery of natural gas to direct retail customers, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Specifically, K N Interstate states that by Order issued March 16, 1989, in Docket Nos. CP83-140-000 and CP83-140-001, the Commission granted K N blanket certificate authority, pursuant to Part 157, Subpart F of the Commission's Regulations, and by Order issued August 1, 1989, in Docket No. CP89-1043-000, the Commission granted K N blanket certificate authority to transport natural gas pursuant to Part 284, Subpart G of the Commission's Regulations. By Order issued May 5, 1993, in Docket No. CP93-41-000, K N was authorized to abandon all of its jurisdictional facilities and activities by transfer to K N Interstate, and K N Interstate was authorized to replace K N as the holder of the certificate authorities previously issued by the Commission in the name of K N, including the above-named dockets.

K N Interstate indicates that K N, as a local distribution company, has requested the addition of two new delivery points under its existing transportation service agreement with K N Interstate. K N Interstate states that these proposed delivery points would be located on its main transmission system in Nebraska and would facilitate the delivery of natural gas to K N for sale to new direct retail customers.

K N Interstate states that the activities proposed herein comply with the requirements of Part 157, Subpart F of the Commission's Regulations. K N states that (1) the volumes of gas which will be delivered at these proposed delivery points will be within the current maximum transportation quantities set forth in K N Interstate's transportation service agreement with K N; (2) the addition of the proposed delivery points is not prohibited by K N Interstate's existing FERC Gas Tariff; and (3) the addition of the proposed delivery points will not have any adverse impact, on a daily or annual basis, upon its existing customers.

*Comment date:* July 12, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

### 3. El Paso Natural Gas Company

[Docket No. CP96-535-000]

Take notice that on May 22, 1996, El Paso Natural Gas Company (El Paso), Post Office Box 1492, El Paso, Texas, filed in Docket No. CP96-535-000 a request pursuant Sections 157.205(b) and 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205(b) and 157.212) to construct and operate a delivery point in Hutchinson County, Texas. El Paso states that the grant of the request would permit the transportation and delivery of natural gas by El Paso to Phillips Petroleum Company (Phillips), all as more fully set forth in the request which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

El Paso states that Phillips utilizes natural gas for feedstock and fuel at Phillips' Borger Complex in Hutchinson County, Texas. El Paso states that Phillips has requested gas transportation service from El Paso to augment the gas service provided by its affiliate, GPM Gas Corporation. It is further stated that by letter agreement dated March 14, 1996, Phillips and El Paso agreed that El Paso would install a new delivery point on El Paso's 18" O.D. E.P.N.G. Schafer Plant to Dumas Plant Loop Line and 20" O.D. E.P.N.G. Schafer Plant in Dumas Plant Loop Line in Hutchinson County, Texas. Accordingly, El Paso states that it seeks authorization to construct and operate the Phillips Hutchinson County Delivery Point.

El Paso states that it proposes to transport on a firm and interruptible basis to the Phillips Hutchinson County Delivery Point an estimated 16,425 MMcf annually, or an average of 45 MMcf per day of natural gas. The Phillips Hutchinson County Delivery Point would be used to deliver gas for use as feedstock and fuel at Phillips' Borger Complex, as further stated. El Paso states that the total estimated cost of the proposed delivery point, including respective overhead and contingency fees, is \$38,600. Pursuant to the March 14, 1996 letter agreement, El Paso states that Phillips has agreed to reimburse El Paso for the costs related to the construction of the Hutchinson County Delivery Point.

El Paso states that construction and operation of the Phillips Hutchinson County Delivery Point is not prohibited by El Paso's existing tariff. El Paso further states that it has sufficient capacity to accomplish the transportation and delivery of the requested gas volumes without detriment or disadvantage to El Paso's other customers.

*Comment date:* July 12, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

### 4. Williams Natural Gas Company

[Docket No. CP96-537-000]

Take notice that on May 22, 1996, Williams (Williams), Post Office Box 3288, Tulsa, Oklahoma 74101, filed a request with the Commission in Docket No. CP96-537-000 pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (NGA) for authorization to abandon by sale approximately 1.8 miles of lateral pipeline and to replace the Vinita town border setting, all in Craig County, Oklahoma, authorized in blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-479-000, all as more fully set forth in the request on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Williams proposes to abandon by sale to Western Resources, Inc. (WRI) approximately 1.8 miles of 8-inch lateral pipeline downstream of Williams's Vinita town border in Craig County, Oklahoma. WRI would incorporate the 8-inch pipeline segment into its distribution system and offer gas service to potential customers in the area.

In addition, Williams proposes to replace the Vinita town border setting at the existing location and to reclaim the 6-inch Vinita town border meter setting, a regulator setting, and dust scrubber. The projected volume of delivery with the replacement town border facilities is not expected to exceed the current delivery volume.

The estimated cost of construction to replace the Vinita town border setting is estimated to be \$109,115 and the sales price of the facilities to WRI would be \$10.

*Comment date:* July 12, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

### Standard Paragraphs

F. Any person desiring to be heard or make any protest with reference to said filing should on or before the comment date file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to

participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this filing if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for the applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

G. Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after the issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefore, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-13851 Filed 6-3-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[AD-FRL-5512-9]

### National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants; Revision of Initial List of Categories of Sources and Schedule for Standards Under Sections 112(c) and (e) of the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of revisions to initial list of categories of major and area sources, and revisions to promulgation schedule for standards.

**SUMMARY:** This notice publishes revisions made or which have been proposed to the initial list of categories of sources of hazardous air pollutants (HAP), published in the Federal Register on July 16, 1992 (57 FR 31576), and to the schedule for the promulgation of emission standards, which was published on December 3, 1993 (58 FR 63941) and subsequently corrected on March 4, 1994 (59 FR 10461).

Today's notice meets the requirement in Section 112(c)(1) to publish from time to time a list of all categories of sources, reflecting revisions since the initial list was published. Several of the revisions identified in today's notice have already been published in actions associated with listing and promulgating emission standards for individual source categories, and public comment has already been taken in the context of those actions. Some of the revisions in today's notice have not been reflected in any previous notices, and are being taken without public comment on the Administrator's own motion. Such revisions are deemed by EPA to be without need for public comment, based on the nature of the actions. Other revisions have been only proposed as of today's date, but are reflected nevertheless to be inclusive of all list and schedule actions of probable interest to the reader.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** June 4, 1996.

**ADDRESSES:** Relevant information can be found in the two Federal Register notices cited above in the **SUMMARY** section of this notice.

**Docket:** Docket No. A-90-49, containing supporting information used in development of this notice, is available for public inspection and copying between 8 a.m. and 5:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, at the EPA's Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center, Waterside Mall, room M-1500, first floor, 401 M Street, SW, Washington, D. C. 20460, or by calling (202) 260-7548 or 260-7549. A reasonable fee may be charged for copying.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For information concerning this notice, contact Mr. David Svendsgaard, Emissions Standards Division (MD-13), U.S. EPA, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711, telephone number (919) 541-2380.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

### I. Background

The Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 [Pub. L. 101-549] require, under the revisions to Section 112, that the Agency list and promulgate national emission standards for hazardous air pollutants (NESHAP) in order to control, reduce, or otherwise limit the emissions of HAP from categories of major and area sources. Pursuant to the various specific listing requirements in Section 112(c), the Agency published on July 16, 1992 (57 FR 31576) an initial list of 174 categories of major and area sources that would be henceforth subject to emission standards. Following this listing, pursuant to requirements in Section 112(e), on December 3, 1993 (58 FR 63941) the Agency published a schedule for the promulgation of emission standards for each of the 174 listed source categories. The reader is directed to these two notices for information relating to development of the initial list and schedule.

There have been specific notices since the initial list and schedule that have revised the list and schedule in the context of actions relating to individual source categories. For example, on November 12, 1993 (58 FR 60021), the Agency listed marine vessel loading operations as a category of major sources, with standards to be promulgated, pursuant to Section 112(c)(5), by the year 2000. As another example, on September 8, 1994 (59 FR 46339), the Agency promulgated standards for HAP emissions for industrial process cooling towers. This latter action did not revise the list or schedule, per se, but specifically delineated rule applicability by defining the affected sources within the listed category. The Agency believes that defining rule applicability and affected sources as part of standard setting constitutes an important aspect of list revision. As was stated in the original listing notice (57 FR 31576):

the Agency recognizes that these descriptions [in the initial list], like the list itself, may be revised from time to time as better information becomes available. The Agency intends to revise these descriptions as part of the process of establishing standards for each category. Ultimately, a definition of each listed category, or subsequently listed subcategories, will be incorporated in each rule establishing a NESHAP for a category.

Various other Agency actions may trigger the need for list and/or schedule revisions. Included actions are:

—The Administrator is obligated to list any category of major sources.

Today's notice is listing seven