Because there are only twenty subheadings available for designating upland cotton special import quotas in subchapter III of chapter 99 of the HTS, only twenty such quotas can be in effect at one time. Each subheading corresponds to a Secretary of Agriculture's Special Cotton Import Quota Announcement specifying that a particular amount of upland cotton may be imported during a particular 180-day period. The twenty-first consecutive quota cannot be established until the earliest of the existing twenty quotas ends. Therefore, the special import quota described in this notice opened on May 27, 1996—the day after the quota 13 in existence at the time of the announcement ended.

The quota amount, 41,858,494 kilograms (92,282,258 pounds), is equal to 1 week's consumption of upland cotton by domestic mills at the seasonally-adjusted average rate of the most recent 3 months for which data are available—December 1995 through February 1996. The special cotton import quota identifies a quantity of imports that is not subject to the overquota tariff rate of a tariff-rate quota. The quota is not divided by staple length or by country of origin. The quota does not affect existing tariff rates or phytosanitary regulations. The quota does not apply to Extra Long Staple

Authority: Sec. 136, P.L. 104–127 and U.S. Note 6(a), Subchapter III, Chapter 99 of the HTS

Signed at Washington, DC on May 21, 1996

Dan Glickman,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–13650 Filed 5–30–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–05–P

Forest Service

Newspapers Used for Publication of Legal Notice of Appealable Decisions for the Northern Region; Idaho, Montana, North Dakota, and Portions of South Dakota and Eastern Washington

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice lists the newspapers that will be used by all Ranger Districts, Forests, and the Regional Office of the Northern Region to publish legal notice of all decisions subject to appeal under 36 CFR 215 and 217 and to publish notices for public comment and notice of decision subject to the provisions of 36 CFR 215. The intended effect of this action is to

inform interested members of the public which newspapers will be used to publish legal notices for public comment or decisions; thereby allowing them to receive constructive notice of a decision, to provide clear evidence of timely notice, and to achieve consistency in administering the appeals process.

DATES: Publication of legal notices in the listed newspapers will begin with decisions subject to appeal that are made on or after May 23, 1996. The list of newspapers will remain in effect until another notice is published in the Federal Register.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Edward C. Monnig; Acting Regional Appeals and Litigation Coordinator; Northern Region; P.O. Box 7669; Missoula, Montana 59807. Phone: (406) 239–3647.

The newspapers to be used are as follows:

Northern Regional Office

Regional Forester decisions in Montana: The Missoulian, Great Falls Tribune, and The Billings Gazette.

Regional Forester decisions in Northern Idaho and Eastern Washington: The Spokesman Review.

Regional Forester decisions in North Dakota: Bismarck Tribune.

Regional Forester decisions in South Dakota: Rapid City Journal.

Beaverhead/Deerlodge—Montana Standard.

Bitterroot—Ravalli Republic.
Clearwater—Lewiston Morning
Tribune.

Custer—Billings Gazette (Montana), Bismarck Tribune (North Dakota), Rapid City Journal (South Dakota).

Flathead—Daily Interlake.

Gallatin—Bozeman Chronicle.

Helena—Independent Record.

Idaho Panhandle—Spokesman

Review. *Kootenai*—Daily Interlake. *Lewis & Clark*—Great Falls Tribune.

Lolo—Missoulian.
Nez Perce—Lewiston Morning
Tribune.

Supplemental notices may be placed in any newspaper, but time frames/ deadlines will be calculated based upon notices in newspapers of record listed above.

Dated: May 23, 1996. Richard M. Bacon, Deputy Regional Forester. [FR Doc. 96–13677 Filed 5–30–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–11–M

Rural Utilities Service

LaGrange County, Indiana Sewer District; Final Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement

AGENCY: Rural Utilities Service, USDA. **ACTION:** Notice of Availability of Final Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the Rural Utilities Service (RUS) is issuing a final Programmatic **Environmental Impact Statement (PEIS)** related to the LaGrange County, Indiana Sewer District's proposal to construct sanitary wastewater collection and treatment facilities for residential population centers. The final PEIS was prepared pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (U.S.C. 4231 et sea.) in accordance with the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of NEPA (40 CFR 1500-1508) and Farmers Home Administration's procedure (7 CFR 1940, Subpart G, Environmental Program). RUS invites comments on the alternative analyses performed by and addressed in the final PEIS.

The purpose of this PEIS is to evaluate the environmental impacts of proposed alternative strategies to provide treatment of sanitary wastewaters for rural residential population centers in LaGrange County. Discussion of each alternative's impact on the human environment, including risks to public health and safety, and effects on the natural environment is presented. The proposed action is necessary in order to respond to increased public health concerns and the continuation of surface and ground water degradation caused by inadequately treated wastewater effluent. This PEIS provides a planning tool to County officials and citizens and engineers who will select the most appropriate design and implementation strategy to address LaGrange County's wastewater problems.

The Preferred Alternative

Fund a Decentralized Wastewater Collection and Treatment System for Residents in Densely Populated Areas Using Engineered Wetlands Treatment Processes: This alternative involves the use of pressure or gravity collection systems to convey wastewater to multiple engineered wetland treatment facilities. Collection and conveyance technologies considered for this option will be the same as those analyzed for the centralized treatment facility option. Treatment alternatives for this option will include: wetlands application followed by land application (spray irrigation) of the effluent; wetlands application followed by disinfection and surface water discharge; and wetlands application followed by subsurface discharge.

Other Alternatives Presented in the PEIS

The following project alternatives were identified in feasibility studies conducted for the LaGrange County Sewer District and analyzed in this PEIS. Even though they are creditable technical alternatives, they were not selected as the preferred alternative.

Continued Use of On-Site Waste Disposal Systems (No Action Alternative): This alternative would not address the present public health concerns or the continued degradation of the County's surface and ground water.

Centralized Wastewater Collection and Treatment for all County Residents Using Conventional Wastewater Treatment: This alternative would provide wastewater collection and treatment service for all county residents. This option would use either gravity, or pressure sewers to convey wastewater to a centralized facility. Collection and conveyance alternatives that were analyzed for this option include: small diameter gravity systems; small diameter pressure systems using single connection effluent grinder pumps; and conventional gravity collector lines connected to pressure lines for conveyance to the treatment facility. Activated sludge process alternatives that were considered for this option included: oxidation ditches, and extended aeration.

Centralized Wastewater Collection and Treatment for All County Residents Except for Residents in Remote Locations Using Conventional Activated Sludge Waste Treatment Processes: This alternative involves providing water waste collection and treatment services for all of the County's residents except those located in isolated regions. This option would use the same collection and treatment technologies as the option providing wastewater treatment for the entire County. Cost savings over serving the entire county would be realized because of the high unit cost of serving remote residences.

ADDRESSES/FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For more information, contact or for transmittal of written comments send to: Paul Neumann, State Environmental Coordinator, USDA, Rural Development, RUS, 5975 Lakeside Blvd., Indianapolis, IN 46278, (219)

290–3109, and FAX (219) 290–3011. Copies of the final PEIS will be available for public inspection, during normal business hours at the following locations:

LaGrange Town Clerk, 107 S. High Street, LaGrange, IN 46761 Town of Topeka, Attn: Duane Bontrager, 101 Main Street, Topeka, IN 46571 Town of Shipshewana, Attn: Ruth Ann Downey, P.O. Box 486, 345 N. Morton Street, Shipshewana, IN 46565 Town of Wolcottville, Attn: Elizabeth Hodge, P.O. Box 325, 101 W. Race Street, Wolcottville, IN 46795.

The draft final PEIS will be distributed to various Federal, State, and local agencies, and elected officials.

Dated: May 13, 1996.

Wally Beyer,

Administrator.

[FR Doc. 96–13644 Filed 5–30–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–15–P

COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

Agenda and Notice of Public Meeting of the Georgia Advisory Committee

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, that a meeting of the Georgia Advisory Committee to the Commission will convene at 3:00 p.m. and adjourn at 5:00 p.m. on Friday, June 21, 1996, at the Ponce de Leon branch, Atlanta-Fulton Public Library, 980 Ponce de Leon Avenue, NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30306. The purpose of the meeting is to meet with Joy Berry, Executive Director, Georgia Human Relations Commission; to discuss a civil rights conference proposal; to review the project on programs of the Atlanta Committee on the Olympic Games; and to discuss civil rights problems and/or progress in Georgia and the United States.

Persons desiring additional information, or planning a presentation to the Committee, should contact Committee Chairperson Elaine Alexander, 404–233–8414, or Bobby D. Doctor, Director of the Southern Regional Office, 404–730–2476 (TDD 404–730–2481). Hearing-impaired persons who will attend the meeting and require the services of a sign language interpreter should contact the Regional Office at least five (5) working days before the scheduled date of the meeting.

The meeting will be conducted pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the Commission.

Dated at Washington, DC, May 22, 1996. Carol-Lee Hurley,

Chief, Regional Programs Coordination Unit. [FR Doc. 96–13624 Filed 5–30–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6335–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Submission For OMB Review; Comment Request

DOC has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. chapter 35).

Agency: Bureau of the Census. Title: Survey of Environmental Products and Services.

Form Number(s): EPS-1(M), 2(M), 3(M), 1(S), 1(C).

Agency Approval Number: None. Type of Request: New collection. Burden: 20,000 hours.

Number of Respondents: 10,000. Avg Hours Per Response: 2 hours. Needs and Uses: Currently, there are

no reliable data on the output and export of goods and services that control, reduce, and remediate pollution. Representatives of industry, state governments, and environmental organizations have called for the development of these data as they are critical to the implementation of the **Environmental Technologies Exports** Initiative, the Environmental Technology Initiative, the Clean Air Act, the Clean Water Act and other environmental statutes. Further, a study is necessary to meet the requirements of the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendment, the Exports Enhancement Act of 1988 and the Jobs Through Trade Expansion Act of 1988. The Census Bureau plans to conduct, on a test basis, a survey of manufacturing and service establishments to collect total value of shipments of goods and revenues for services in 1995 defined for environmental use. The survey will also ask for value of shipments and revenues for services which were exported. The survey will provide information on the size and scope of activity generated from environmental protection. The survey will also provide a benchmark measure of the extent of the environmental industry, its export potential, and the implication for employment in a potential growth industry. Government classification specialists will use the information to determine how industry and product classification systems can be refined and modified to improve the identification of products and services