

determined that air safety and the public interest require the adoption of the rule as proposed except for minor editorial corrections. The FAA has determined that these minor corrections will not change the meaning of the AD and will not add any additional burden upon the public than was already proposed.

The FAA estimates that 300 airplanes in the U.S. registry will be affected by this AD, that it will take approximately 6 workhours per airplane to accomplish this action, and that the average labor rate is approximately \$60 an hour. Parts are estimated to be \$160 per airplane. Based on these figures, the total cost impact of this AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$156,000 or \$520 per airplane. Beech has informed FAA that no parts have been distributed to owners/operators for this modification; therefore, this figure is based on the assumption that no owners/operators have accomplished the proposed inspection and modification.

The regulations adopted herein will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this final rule does not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this action (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the final evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption **ADDRESSES**.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding a new airworthiness directive (AD) to read as follows:

96-11-12. Beech Aircraft Corporation: Amendment 39-9637; Docket No. 95-CE-82-AD.

Applicability: The following Model C90A Airplanes, certificated in any category, that are equipped with an optional Beech electric trim system or a Collins autopilot system:

(1) Serial numbers LJ-1111 through LJ-1410 that were equipped at manufacture assembly with a pin-type cable guard actuator assembly (P/N 33-524023-51) on the elevator electric trim tab actuator assembly.

(2) All serial numbers (except LJ-1 through LJ-1110) equipped with a pin-type cable guard actuator assembly (P/N 33-524023-51) installed through field approval.

Note 1: Steps 1 through 4 of the ACCOMPLISHMENT INSTRUCTIONS section of Beech Service Bulletin (SB) No. 2631, Issued: June 1995, Revised: September 1995, provide procedures for determining which assembly is installed.

Note 2: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (c) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it. Compliance: Required as follows, unless already accomplished:

(1) Within 150 hours time-in-service (TIS) after the effective date of this AD; or

(2) Upon installation of an optional Beech elevator electric trim tab system or a Collins autopilot system, whichever occurs first.

To prevent possible failure of the optional Beech electric trim system or the Collins autopilot system, which, if not detected and corrected, could cause loss of airplane maneuverability and possible loss of control of the airplane, accomplish the following:

(a) Modify all elevator electric trim tab actuator assemblies, part number (P/N) 33-524023-51 to the P/N 33-524023-77 or P/N 33-524023-79 level, by accomplishing the procedures in the ACCOMPLISHMENT INSTRUCTIONS section of Beechcraft Mandatory Service Bulletin SB No. 2631, Issued: June 1995, Revised: September 1995.

(b) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199

of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

(c) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the initial or repetitive compliance times that provides an equivalent level of safety may be approved by the Manager, Wichita Aircraft Certification Office, 1801 Airport Road, Room 100, Mid-Continent Airport, Wichita, Kansas 67209. The request shall be forwarded through an appropriate FAA Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Wichita Aircraft Certification Office.

Note 3: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Wichita Aircraft Certification Office.

(d) The modification required by this AD shall be done in accordance with Beechcraft Mandatory Service Bulletin No. 2631, Issued: June 1995, Revised: September 1995. This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies may be obtained from Beech Aircraft Corporation, P.O. Box 85, Wichita, Kansas 67201-0085. Copies may be inspected at the FAA, Central Region, Office of the Assistant Chief Counsel, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri, or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., 7th Floor, suite 700, Washington, DC.

(e) This amendment (39-9637) becomes effective on June 24, 1996.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on May 22, 1996.

Bobby Sexton,

Action Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 96-13273 Filed 5-28-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-U

14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 96-ANE-12]

Amendment to Class E Airspace; Pittsfield, MA

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Direct final rule; request for comments.

SUMMARY: This action revises the Class E airspace area at Pittsfield, MA (PSF) to provide for adequate controlled airspace for those aircraft using the GPS RWY 8 Instrument Approach Procedure to Pittsfield Municipal Airport.

DATES: Effective 0901 UTC, August 15, 1996.

Comments for inclusion in the Rules Docket must be received on or before June 28, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Send comments on the proposal to: Manager, Operations

Branch, ANE-530, Federal Aviation Administration, Docket No. 96-ANE-12, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA 01803-5299; telephone (617) 238-7530; fax (617) 238-7596. Comments may also be submitted electronically to the following Internet address:

neairspace__comments@mail.hq.faa.gov

The official docket file may be examined in the Office of the Assistant Chief Counsel, New England Region, ANE-7, Room 401, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA 01803-5299; telephone (617) 238-7050; fax (617) 238-7055.

An informal docket may also be examined during normal business hours in the Air Traffic Division, Room 408, by contacting the Manager, Operations Branch at the first address listed above.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Joseph A. Bellabona, Operations Branch, ANE-530.6, Federal Aviation Administration, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA 01803-5299; telephone (617) 238-7536; fax (617) 238-7596.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A new Standard Instrument Approach Procedure to Pittsfield Municipal Airport, the GPS RWY 8 approach, requires additional Class E airspace area at Pittsfield, MA. This action extends the Class E airspace area at Pittsfield, MA southwesterly in order to provide adequate controlled airspace for those aircraft using the GPS RWY 8 instrument approach. Class E airspace designations for airspace areas extending upward from 700 feet above the surface of the earth are published in paragraph 6005 of FAA Order 7400.9C, dated August 17, 1995, and effective September 16, 1995, which is incorporated by reference in 14 CFR 71.1. The Class E airspace designation listed in this document will be published subsequently in this Order.

The Direct Final Rule Procedure

The FAA anticipates that this regulation will not result in adverse or negative comment, and, therefore, issues it as a direct final rule. The FAA has determined that this regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. Unless a written adverse or negative comment, or a written notice of intent to submit an adverse or negative comment is received within the comment period, the regulation will become effective on the date specified above. After the close of the comment period, the FAA will publish a

document in the Federal Register indicating that no adverse or negative comments were received and confirming the date on which the final rule will become effective. If the FAA does receive, within the comment period, an adverse or negative comment, or written notice of intent to submit such a comment, a document withdrawing the direct final rule will be published in the Federal Register, and a notice of proposed rulemaking may be published with a new comment period.

Comments Invited

Although this action is in the form of a direct final rule, and was not preceded by a notice of proposed rulemaking, interested persons are invited to comment on this rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified under the caption **ADDRESSES**. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments will be considered, and this rule may be amended or withdrawn in light of the comments received. Factual information that supports the commenter's ideas and suggestions is extremely helpful in evaluating the effectiveness of this action and determining whether additional rulemaking action would be needed.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the rule that might suggest a need to modify the rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report that summarizes each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this action will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this rule must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket No. 96-ANE-12." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

Agency Findings

The regulations adopted herein will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this final rule does not have sufficient federalism

implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

The FAA has determined that this regulation is noncontroversial and unlikely to result in adverse or negative comments. For the reasons discussed in the preamble, I certify that this regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under Department of Transportation (DOT) Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a Regulatory Evaluation as these routine matters will only affect air traffic procedures and air navigation. It is certified that this rule will not have significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

Airspace, Incorporation by reference, Navigation (air).

Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me, the Federal Aviation Administration amends part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 71) as follows:

PART 71—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 71 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40103, 40113, 40120; E.O. 10854, 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959-1963 Comp., p. 389; 14 CFR 11.69.

§ 71.1 [Amended]

2. The incorporation by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 of Federal Aviation Administration Order 7400.9C, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated August 17, 1995, and effective September 16, 1995, is amended as follows:

Paragraph 6005—Class E Airspace Areas Extending Upward from 700 Feet or more Above the Surface of the Earth

* * * * *

ANE MA E5 Pittsfield, MA [Revised]

Pittsfield Municipal Airport, MA
(lat. 42°25'36" N, long. 73°17'34" W)

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 4-mile radius of Pittsfield Municipal Airport, and within 3.9 miles on each side of the Pittsfield Municipal Airport 244° bearing extending from the 4-mile radius to 9.1 miles southwest of Pittsfield Municipal Airport, and within 4 miles on each side of the Pittsfield Municipal Airport 065° bearing extending from the 4-mile radius to 16.2 miles northeast of Pittsfield Municipal Airport; excluding that

airspace within the Great Barrington, MA, and Hudson, NY, Class E airspace areas.

* * * * *

Issued in Burlington, MA, on May 28, 1996
David J. Hurley,

Manager, Air Traffic Division, New England Region.

[FR Doc. 96-13424 Filed 5-28-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-B-M

OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES TRADE REPRESENTATIVE

15 CFR Part 2011

Implementation of Tariff-Rate Quota for Imports of Sugar

AGENCY: Office of the United States Trade Representative (USTR).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This rule makes final the interim final rule published on October 4, 1990 in the Federal Register governing certificates of quota eligibility for imports of sugar, specialty sugar, and allocations for "Other Specified Countries and Areas", with a change responding to comments received on that interim final rule and with conforming changes to reflect the entry into force of the Agreement Establishing the World Trade Organization (WTO).

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 29, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Office of the United States Trade Representative, Office of Agricultural Affairs, 600 17th Street NW., Washington, DC 20506.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Thomas Perkins, Senior Economist for Agricultural Affairs, Room 421, Office of the United States Trade Representative, Washington, DC 20506; telephone: (202) 395-6127.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: As a result of the Uruguay Round Agreements, approved by the Congress in section 101 of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA) (Pub. L. 103-465), the United States has replaced the previous tariff-rate quota (TRQ) for imports of certain sugars, syrups, and molasses with a new tariff-rate quota provided in Schedule XX—United States of America annexed to the Marrakesh Protocol to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade 1994 (GATT 1994). Pursuant to section 111 of the URAA, the President proclaimed a number of changes to the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTS) to implement the new sugar TRQ (President Proclamation No. 6763 of December 23, 1994). The changes include, among other things, changes in the HTS item numbers for imports of sugar, the deletion of notes to the HTS,

and the proclaiming of new notes to the HTS.

A number of conforming changes need to be made to the sugar regulations issued by the United States Trade Representative (USTR) to reflect these changes to the HTS. This rule makes those conforming changes, as well as some technical and clerical amendments. Those conforming changes include correcting the references to the HTS to reflect the new HTS item numbers and removing subpart C as unnecessary in light of the fact that the allocations under the new TRQ will be announced annually.

In addition, when the United States Trade Representative promulgated the current rule on October 4, 1990 (55 FR 40648), it did so as an interim rule and invited public comments. This rule includes an amendment to the definition of specialty sugar in response to the comments received.

Summary of Issues Raised by Public Comments

Four public comments were received.

Specialty Sugars

One commenter requested that certain edible sugar decorations be added to the list of products eligible for potential treatment as "specialty sugars." Pursuant to this public comment, this final rule adds to that list sugar decorations. Two informal comments that were received after the December 4, 1990, deadline requested that various other specified sugar products be added to the list of products eligible for treatment as "specialty sugars." The Office of the United States Trade Representative, responding to these written comments, has added to the list: golden granulated sugar, muscovado, molasses sugar and sugar cubes. The United States Trade Representative has determined that these specific items are appropriate because they represent specialty sugars within the normal commerce of the United States.

The United States Trade Representative also has determined that it is appropriate to provide in the definition for other forms of sugar determined by the United States Trade Representative to be specialty sugar products within the normal commerce of the United States.

Another commenter requested that rock candy be removed from the list of products which are eligible for potential treatment as specialty sugar. The commenter's suggestion was not adopted primarily because rock candy appears to qualify as specialty sugar.

Reallocation of Quota Shortfalls

Finally, a commenter suggested that the rule contain a provision that if a country were not fully utilizing its allocation under the tariff-rate quota, then that country's allocation would be automatically reallocated to other countries. The commenter's suggestion was not adopted in the final rule because a general provision to that effect is unnecessary given alternative means by which unused allocations may be reallocated on a case-by-case basis when appropriate. Moreover, the HTS authorizes the USTR, in consultation with the Secretaries of State and Agriculture, to modify or suspend a country's allocation for the remainder of a quota year whenever he or she determines that a country will not be filling such allocation and he or she finds that such action is appropriate to carry out the rights or obligations of the United States under any international agreement to which the United States is a party or is appropriate to promote the economic interests of the United States.

Review

This rule has been determined to be a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866.

Pursuant to the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995, USTR has assessed the effects of this rulemaking action on state, local, and tribal governments, and the private sector. This action does not compel the expenditure of \$100 million or more by any state, local, or tribal government, or by anyone in the private sector, and therefore a statement under section 202 of the Act is not required.

Pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980, the Office of Management and Budget has approved the information collection requirements imposed by this rule under Office of Management and Budget control number 0551-0014. Comments on any burden resulting from the information collection requirements of this regulation may be forwarded to: Desk Officer for Agriculture, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503. These programs are not subject to the provisions of Executive Order 12372 which required intergovernmental consultation with State and local officials.

No regulatory flexibility analysis is required for this rule since neither 5 U.S.C. 553 nor any other provision of law requires publication of a general notice of proposed rulemaking with respect to this rule. However, the United States Trade Representative has also determined that the rule will not have