

million or more, or adversely and materially affecting a sector of the economy, productivity, competition, jobs, the environment, public health or safety, or State, local or tribal governments or communities (also known as "economically significant"); (2) creating serious inconsistency or otherwise interfering with an action taken or planned by another agency; (3) materially altering the budgetary impacts of entitlement, grants, user fees, or loan programs; or (4) raising novel legal or policy issues arising out of legal mandates, the President's priorities, or the principles set forth in this Executive Order.

Pursuant to the terms of this Executive Order, EPA has determined that this rule is not "significant" and is therefore not subject to OMB review.

This action does not impose any enforceable duty, or contain any "unfunded mandates" as described in Title II of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub.L. 104-4), or

require prior consultation as specified by Executive Order 12875 (58 FR 58093, October 28, 1993), entitled Enhancing the Intergovernmental Partnership, or special consideration as required by Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

Pursuant to the requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601-612), the Administrator has determined that regulations establishing new tolerances or raising tolerance levels or establishing exemptions from tolerance requirements do not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. A certification statement explaining the factual basis for this determination was published in the Federal Register of May 4, 1981 (46 FR 24950).

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 180

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Agricultural commodities, Pesticides and pests, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: May 10, 1996.

Peter Caulkins,
Acting Director, Registration Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.

Therefore, it is proposed that 40 CFR part 180 be amended as follows:

PART 180—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 180 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 21 U.S.C. 346a and 371.

2. In § 180.368, by removing "peppers, bell" from the table in paragraph (a), by revising the table in paragraph (c) and by adding a new paragraph (d) to read as follows:

§ 180.368 Metolachlor; tolerances for residues.

*	*	*	*
*			
(c)*	*	*	

Commodity	Parts per million	Expiration date
Onions, dry bulb	1.0
Grass forage, fodder and hay group (excluding Bermudagrass), forage	10	December 31, 1998
Grass forage, fodder and hay group (excluding Bermudagrass), hay	0.2	December 31, 1998

(d) A time-limited tolerance is established for the combined residues (free and bound) of the herbicide metolachlor [2-chloro-N-(2-ethyl-6-methylphenyl)-N-(2-methoxy-1-

methylethyl)acetamide], and its metabolites, determined as the derivatives, 2-[(2-ethyl-6-methylphenyl)amino]-1-propanol and 4-(2-ethyl-6-methylphenyl)-2-hydroxy-5-

methyl-3-morpholinone, each expressed as the parent compound in or on the following raw agricultural commodity:

Commodity	Parts per million	Expiration date
Pepper	0.5	December 31, 1998

[FR Doc. 96-13022 Filed 5-23-96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560-50-F

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Chapter I and Part 64

[CC Docket No. 96-98; CC Docket No. 96-112; DA 96-753]

Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions in the Telecommunications Act of 1996; Allocation of Costs Associated With Local Exchange Provision of Video Programming Services

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Common Carrier Bureau released a public notice inviting parties filing comments or reply comments on the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in CC Docket No. 96-98, regarding implementation of the local competition provisions in the Telecommunications Act of 1996, and the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in CC Docket No. 96-112, regarding allocation of costs associated with local exchange provision of video programming services, to submit proposed language for rules that the Commission could adopt in these proceedings. Such proposed language will not be counted against the page limits set forth in those notices.

DATES: Comment dates in CC Docket No. 96-98 are May 16, 1996, with reply comments due May 30, 1996, and also May 20, 1996, with reply comments due

June 3, 1996. Comments in CC Docket No. 96-112 are due May 28, 1996; reply comments are due June 7, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Comments must be filed with the Office of the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, 1919 M Street, N.W., Washington, D. C. 20554. The complete text of the Notice released by the Commission is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Center, 1919 M Street, N.W., Room 239, Washington, D. C. 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paul Gallant (202/418-1941), Policy and Program Planning Division, Common Carrier Bureau.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Synopsis of Public Notice

On April 19, 1996, the Commission released a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in CC Docket No. 96-98 to implement the local competition provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, FCC 96-182 (Local Competition NPRM) (61 FR 18311, April 25, 1996). Parties are hereby invited to submit, in conjunction with their comments or reply comments, proposed text for rules that the Commission could adopt in this proceeding. Specific rule proposals should be filed as an appendix to a party's comments or reply comments, and will not be counted against the page limits set forth in paragraph 291 of the Local Competition NPRM or the Order released May 7, 1996, modifying those page limits. Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions of the

Telecommunications Act of 1996, Order, DA 96-700. Such appendices may include only proposed text for rules that would implement proposals set forth in the parties' comments and reply comments in this proceeding, and may not include any comments or arguments. Proposed rules should be provided in the format used for rules in the Code of Federal Regulations, and should otherwise conform to the Comment Filing Procedures set forth in the Local Competition NPRM.

On May 10, 1996, the Commission released a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in CC Docket No. 96-112. Allocation of Costs Associated with Video Programming Services, Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, FCC 96-214 (LEC Video Programming NPRM) (61 FR 25184, May 20, 1996). Parties are hereby invited to submit, in conjunction with their comments or reply comments, proposed text for rules that the Commission could adopt in this proceeding. Specific rule proposals

should be attached as an appendix to a party's comments or reply comments, and will not be counted against the page limits set forth in paragraph 66 of the LEC Video Programming NPRM. Such appendices may include only proposed text for rules that would implement proposals set forth in the parties' comments and reply comments in this proceeding, and may not include any comments or arguments. Proposed rules should be provided in the format used for rules in the Code of Federal Regulations, and should otherwise conform to the Comment Filing Procedures set forth in the LEC Video Programming NPRM.

Accordingly, *it is ordered* That parties may file proposed language for rules in this proceeding consistent with the procedures set forth above.

Federal Communications Commission.

Regina M. Keeney,

Chief, Common Carrier Bureau.

[FR Doc. 96-13101 Filed 5-23-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P