

extended the expiration date of the license to December 31, 1972. On August 15, 1972, Amendment No. 8 was issued to Amended Facility License No. DPR-4 that changed the license status to possession-only. On January 10, 1974, Amendment No. 9 was issued to Amended Facility License No. DPR-4 which extended the expiration date of the license to February 11, 2000.

II

By letter dated November 21, 1995, as supplemented on March 13, 1996, pursuant to 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.90, SNEC submitted a request for consent to transfer control of the license and approval of amendments to the SNEF Amended Facility License No. DPR-4 and Technical Specifications appended thereto that would add GPU Nuclear Corporation (GPU Nuclear) as a possession-only licensee for the SNEF and would transfer from SNEC to GPU Nuclear all management-related responsibilities for the SNEF. SNEC's responsibilities as a licensee would not otherwise be affected. The NRC published a "Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility License and Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing" in the Federal Register on January 31, 1996 (61 FR 3502), and published a "Notice of Transfer of Control of License" in the Federal Register on March 19, 1996 (61 FR 11231).

The transfer of control of Amended Facility License No. DPR-4 is subject to the NRC's approval under 10 CFR 50.80. On the basis of information provided by SNEC in the letters of November 21, 1995, and March 13, 1996, and other information before the Commission, the NRC staff has concluded that GPU Nuclear is qualified to be a joint holder of Amended Facility License No. DPR-4 to the extent and for the purposes described above and that the proposed transfer, subject to the conditions set forth herein, is otherwise consistent with the applicable provisions of law, regulations, and orders issued by the Commission. This proposed action was evaluated by the staff as documented in a Safety Evaluation, dated May 10, 1996.

III

By June 10, 1996, any person adversely affected by this order may file a request for a hearing with respect to issuance of the Order. Any person requesting a hearing shall set forth with particularity how such person's interest is adversely affected by this Order and shall address the criteria set forth in 10 CFR 2.714(d).

If a hearing is to be held, the Commission will issue an Order designating the time and place of such hearing.

If a hearing is held concerning this Order, the issue to be considered at any such hearing will be whether this Order should be sustained.

Any request for a hearing must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, Attention: Docketing and Services Branch, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, by the above date. Copies should also be sent to the Office of the General Counsel and to the Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, and to Ernest L. Blake, Jr., Esquire; Shaw, Pittman, Potts, and Trowbridge; 2300 N Street NW., Washington, DC 20037.

IV

Accordingly, pursuant to sections 161b, 161i, and 184 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 2201(b), 2201(i), and 2234, and 10 CFR 50.80, it is hereby ordered that the Commission consents to the proposed transfer of control of Amended Facility License No. DPR-4 to GPU Nuclear to the extent and for the purposes described herein subject to the following: (1) the approval of the amendment proposed in the SNEC submittals dated November 21, 1995, and March 13, 1996, which, when issued by the NRC, would become effective as of the date of issuance, and (2) should the transfer of the license as set forth above to GPU Nuclear not be completed by August 9, 1996, this Order shall become null and void unless upon application and for good cause shown, this date is extended.

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment and transfer of license dated November 21, 1995, as supplemented on March 13, 1996, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 2120 L Street NW., Washington, DC 20037, and at the Local Public Document Room located at the Saxton Community Library, 911 Church Street, Saxton, Pennsylvania 16678.

Dated at Rockville, MD., this 10th day of May 1996.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
William T. Russell,
Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.
[FR Doc. 96-12405 Filed 5-16-96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

[Docket No. 50-244]

Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. DRP-18 issued to Rochester Gas & Electric Corporation (RG&E) for operation of the R. E. Ginna Nuclear Power Plant located in Wayne County, New York. The proposed amendment would modify the Technical Specifications to correct several typographical errors that were implemented in the Ginna Station Improved Technical Specifications (ITS) at Ginna Station per Amendment No 61.

On February 24, 1996, RG&E implemented the ITS. Currently, Ginna Station is in a defueled condition while in the performance of a steam generator replacement project. While in this condition, several typographical errors have been discovered within the ITS by various plant staff personnel. In general, these errors are minor and are readily apparent. However, several errors could lead to confusion and a potential incorrect application of a requirement. The correction of these more limiting errors is required prior to entering MODE 2 which is scheduled to occur on June 2, 1996. Failure to correct these known errors would therefore prevent a scheduled resumption in power operation. The proposed changes would permit the Ginna Station to enter MODE 2 as planned. Exigent action is justified in order to avoid an unnecessary delay in reactor startup.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.91(a)(6) for amendments to be granted under exigent circumstances, the NRC staff must determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards

consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

1. Operation of Ginna Station in accordance with the proposed changes does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated. The proposed changes only correct various typographical errors within the technical specifications. The errors were discovered during use of the new improved technical specifications and do not involve any technical issues when compared to NUREG-1431 or the "old" technical specifications. As such, these changes are administrative in nature and do not impact initiators or analyzed events or assumed mitigation of accident or transient events. Therefore, these changes do not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously analyzed.

2. Operation of Ginna Station in accordance with the proposed changes does not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated. The proposed changes do not involve a physical alteration of the plant (i.e., no new or different type of equipment will be installed) or changes in the methods governing normal plant operation. The proposed changes will not impose any new or different requirements. Thus, this change does not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

3. Operation of Ginna Station in accordance with the proposed changes does not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. The proposed changes will not reduce a margin of plant safety because the changes are administrative in nature. As such, no question of safety is involved, and the change does not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 10 CFR 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

The Commission is seeking public comments on this proposed determination. Any comments received within 15 days after the date of publication of this notice will be

considered in making any final determination.

Normally, the Commission will not issue the amendment until the expiration of the 15-day notice period. However, should circumstances change during the notice period such that failure to act in a timely way would result, for example, in derating or shutdown of the facility, the Commission may issue the license amendment before the expiration of the 15-day notice period, provided that its final determination is that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will consider all public and State comments received. Should the Commission take this action, it will publish in the Federal Register a notice of issuance and provide for opportunity for a hearing after issuance. The Commission expects that the need to take this action will occur very infrequently.

Written comments may be submitted by mail to the Rules Review and Directives Branch, Division of Freedom of Information and Publications Services, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, and should cite the publication date and page number of this Federal Register notice. Written comments may also be delivered to Room 6D22, Two White Flint North, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland, from 7:30 a.m. to 4:15 p.m. Federal workdays. Copies of written comments received may be examined at the NRC Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC.

The filing of requests for hearing and petitions for leave to intervene is discussed below.

By June 17, 1996, the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to issuance of the amendment to the subject facility operating license and any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding and who wishes to participate as a party in the proceeding must file a written request for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene. Requests for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene shall be filed in accordance with the Commission's "Rules of Practice for Domestic Licensing Proceedings" in 10 CFR Part 2. Interested persons should consult a current copy of 10 CFR 2.714 which is available at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Rochester Public Library, 115 South Avenue, Rochester, New York 14610. If a request

for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene is filed by the above date, the Commission or an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, designated by the Commission or by the Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, will rule on the request and/or petition; and the Secretary or the designated Atomic Safety and Licensing Board will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

As required by 10 CFR 2.714, a petition for leave to intervene shall set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, and how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why intervention should be permitted with particular reference to the following factors: (1) the nature of the petitioner's right under the Act to be made party to the proceeding; (2) the nature and extent of the petitioner's property, financial, or other interest in the proceeding; and (3) the possible effect of any order which may be entered in the proceeding on the petitioner's interest. The petition should also identify the specific aspect(s) of the subject matter of the proceeding as to which petitioner wishes to intervene. Any person who has filed a petition for leave to intervene or who has been admitted as a party may amend the petition without requesting leave of the Board up to 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, but such an amended petition must satisfy the specificity requirements described above.

Not later than 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, a petitioner shall file a supplement to the petition to intervene which must include a list of the contentions which are sought to be litigated in the matter. Each contention must consist of a specific statement of the issue of law or fact to be raised or controverted. In addition, the petitioner shall provide a brief explanation of the bases of the contention and a concise statement of the alleged facts or expert opinion which support the contention and on which the petitioner intends to rely in proving the contention at the hearing. The petitioner must also provide references to those specific sources and documents of which the petitioner is aware and on which the petitioner intends to rely to establish those facts or expert opinion. Petitioner must provide sufficient information to show that a genuine dispute exists with the applicant on a material issue of law or fact. Contentions shall be limited to matters within the scope of the amendment under consideration. The

contention must be one which, if proven, would entitle the petitioner to relief. A petitioner who fails to file such a supplement which satisfies these requirements with respect to at least one contention will not be permitted to participate as a party.

Those permitted to intervene become parties to the proceeding, subject to any limitations in the order granting leave to intervene, and have the opportunity to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing, including the opportunity to present evidence and cross-examine witnesses.

If a hearing is requested, the Commission will make a final determination on the issue of no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will serve to decide when the hearing is held.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration, the Commission may issue the amendment and make it immediately effective, notwithstanding the request for a hearing. Any hearing held would take place after issuance of the amendment.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves a significant hazards consideration, any hearing held would take place before the issuance of any amendment.

A request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, Attention: Docketing and Services Branch, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, by the above date. Where petitions are filed during the last 10 days of the notice period, it is requested that the petitioner promptly so inform the Commission by a toll-free telephone call to Western Union at 1-(800) 248-5100 (in Missouri 1-(800) 342-6700). The Western Union operator should be given Datagram Identification Number N1023 and the following message addressed to Jocelyn A. Mitchell, Acting Director, Project Directorate I-1, petitioner's name and telephone number, date petition was mailed, plant name, and publication date and page number of this Federal Register notice. A copy of the petition should also be sent to the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, and to Nicholas S. Reynolds, Winston & Strawn, 1400 L Street, NW., Washington, DC 20005, attorney for the licensee.

Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests

for hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the presiding Atomic Safety and Licensing Board that the petition and/or request should be granted based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1)(i)-(v) and 2.714(d).

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated May 8, 1996, as supplemented May 10, 1996, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Rochester Public Library, 115 South Avenue, Rochester, New York.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this fourteenth day of May 1996.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
Guy S. Vissing,

Senior Project Manager, Project Directorate I-1, Division of Reactor Projects—I/II, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 96-12616 Filed 5-16-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Rel. No. IC-21956; 812-9920]

Blue Chip Value Fund, Inc.; Notice of Application

May 14, 1996.

AGENCY: Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC").

ACTION: Notice of Application for Exemption under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (the "Act").

APPLICANT: Blue Chip Value Fund, Inc.

RELEVANT ACT SECTIONS: Exemption requested under section 6(c) of the Act that would grant an exemption from section 19(b) of the Act and rule 19b-1 thereunder.

SUMMARY OF APPLICATION: Applicant requests an order to make up to four distributions of long-term capital gains in any one taxable year, so long as applicant maintains in effect a distribution policy calling for quarterly distributions of a fixed percentage of its net asset value.

FILING DATES: The application was filed on January 2, 1996, and amended on April 18, 1996.

HEARING OR NOTIFICATION OF HEARING: An order granting the application will be issued unless the SEC orders a hearing. Interested persons may request a hearing by writing to the SEC's Secretary and serving applicants with a

copy of the request, personally or by mail. Hearing requests should be received by the SEC by 5:30 p.m. on June 10, 1996, and should be accompanied by proof of service on applicants, in the form of an affidavit or, for lawyers, a certificate of service. Hearing requests should state the nature of the writer's interest, the reason for the request, and the issues contested. Persons may request notification of a hearing by writing to the SEC's Secretary.

ADDRESSES: Secretary, SEC, 450 5th Street N.W., Washington, D.C. 20549. Applicant, 1225 Seventeenth Street, 26th Floor, Denver, Colorado 80202.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Elaine M. Boggs, Staff Attorney, at (202) 942-0572, or Alison E. Baur, Branch Chief, at (202) 942-0564 (Division of Investment Management, Office of Investment Company Regulation).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The following is a summary of the application. The complete application may be obtained for a fee at the SEC's Public Reference Branch.

Applicant's Representations

1. Applicant is closed-end management investment company organized as a Maryland corporation. Applicant's investment objective is to seek a high level of total investment return, comprised of capital appreciation and current income, through investment primarily in a diversified portfolio of equity securities.

2. From 1989 to April 1994, applicant had a fixed distribution policy calling for four quarterly distributions of an amount equal to 2.5% of its net asset value at the time of the declaration, for a total of approximately 10% of its net asset value per year. Any realized capital gains from 1989 through 1993 were offset by capital loss carryforwards. On April 4, 1994, applicant announced a change in its distribution policy to three quarterly distributions of net investment income, followed by a fourth distribution of an amount equal to the greater of 10% of net asset value less the prior three distributions or the sum of applicant's net investment income and net capital gains.

3. Applicant requests relief to permit it to make up to four distributions of net long-term capital gains in any one taxable year, so long as it maintains in effect a distribution policy calling for quarterly distributions of a fixed percentage of its net asset value (the "Pay-Out Policy").