

National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan (1991). The construction of any improvements or new facilities authorized in the new master plan will be dependent upon future site specific environmental analysis and decisions.

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) has requested the Forest Service analyze the improvements to the Mt. Hood Meadows access road through the ski area EIS, rather than through a separate environmental document. As a cooperating agency, FHWA will review the supplemental EIS and sign a ROD for its approval and funding actions related to the access road. The decision on the road improvements will be site-specific, not conceptual, and would authorize construction of any improvements. FHWA decisions would be implemented in accordance with 23 CFR Part 771.

ADDRESSES: Submit written comments to Roberta Moltzen, Forest Supervisor, Mt. Hood National Forest, 2955 NW Division Street, Gresham, Oregon 97030.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ken Davis, Hood River Ranger District, Mt. Hood National Forest, 6780 Hwy. 35, Mt. Hood-Parkdale, Oregon 97041, Telephone: (541) 352-6002.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The *original proposed action* was to establish Mt. Hood Meadows Ski Area as a full-season destination resort with: a capacity of 15,000 persons; 1,500 units of overnight housing on National Forest System lands; 12 lifts and 1,166 developed acres of skiing; 46.3 acres of parking; and miscellaneous winter and summer uses. An expansion of the existing permit area by 855 acres was also proposed.

The May 1995 *Record of Decision* would have authorized expansion of Mt. Hood Meadows from a day-use ski area to a full-season regional resort with: a maximum capacity of 15,000 persons; 500 units of overnight housing on National Forest System lands; 14 lifts and 1,165 developed acres of skiing; parking based upon an average car occupancy and transit ridership formula; and miscellaneous winter and summer uses. An expansion of the permit area by 796 acres would have been authorized.

Since the reversal of the May 1991 decision, additional cultural resource analysis has been conducted; the Northwest Forest Plan has designated portions of the proposed permit expansion area as Late Successional Reserve and Tier II Watershed; and additional facilities authorized under the ski area's 1978 Master plan (Gulch chairlift and Hood River Meadows base

area expansion) have been approved and constructed. In May 1995, Mt. Hood Meadows resort submitted a revised application for a new Master Plan. FHWA has also requested that improvement of the Mt. Hood Meadows access road be analyzed in the ski area EIS, rather than through a separate environmental document.

The *new proposed action* would expand the existing day-use ski area to a full-season ski/recreation area with: a capacity of 15,210 persons; 15 chairlifts, an unspecified number of surface lifts and associated ski terrain; 36.9 acres of parking; overnight use of skier service facilities for ski/snowboard camps; miscellaneous winter uses; and summer recreation facilities and uses. An expansion of the existing permit area by 796 acres is proposed. The new proposed action includes amending the Mt. Hood National Forest Land and resource Management Plan to permit ski area expansion and adoption of a new master plan.

FHWA proposes to improve 0.7 mile of Oregon Highway 35 and 0.6 mile of the Mt. Hood Meadows access road (Forest Road 3555) to improve safety and to accommodate projected growth in traffic levels and expansion of the ski area. These improvements will be analyzed through the supplemental EIS. The FHWA will make a separate ROD for the road improvements.

The supplement will be prepared and circulated in the same manner as the draft and final EIS (40 CFR 1502.9). Comments received on the draft supplemental EIS will be considered in the preparation of the final supplement. The draft supplement is expected to be available for public review and comment in April 1996. The comment period on the draft supplement will be 45 days from the date the Environmental Protection Agency's notice of availability appears in the Federal Register.

The Forest Service believes it is important at this early stage to give reviewers notice of several court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. First, reviewers of the draft supplement to the EIS must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer position and contentions. *Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC*, 435 U.S. 519, 533 (1978). Also environmental objections that could be raised at the draft supplemental stage but that are not raised until after completion of the final Supplemental EIS may be waived or dismissed by the courts. *City of Angoon v. Hodel*, 803 F.2d. 1016, 1022 (9th Cir.

1986) and *Wisconsin Heritages, Inc. v. Harris*, 490f. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980).

Comments on the draft supplement should be as specific as possible. It is also helpful if comments refer to specific pages or chapters of the draft supplement. Comments may also address the adequacy of the supplement or the merits of the alternatives formulated and discussed in the supplement. Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points.

At the end of the comment period on the draft supplement, comments will be analyzed and considered by the Forest Service in preparing the final Supplemental EIS. The final supplement is scheduled to be completed by July 1996.

The Responsible Official, Forest Supervisor Roberta Moltzen will consider the comments, responses, environmental consequences discussed in the final Supplemental EIS, and applicable laws, regulations, and policies. The responsible official will document the decision and rationale for the Mt. Hood Meadows Ski Area in the Record of Decision. The Forest Service decision will be subject to Forest Service Appeal Regulations (36 CFR Part 217).

Dated: January 19, 1996.

Roberta Moltzen,

Forest Supervisor.

[FR Doc. 96-1383 Filed 1-25-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

Grain Inspection, Packers and Stockyards Administration

Deposting of Stockyard; Crockett Livestock Sales Co., Inc.; Maury City, Tennessee; Correction

On November 29, 1995, a notice was published in the Federal Register (95 FR 29119) giving notice of the deposting for certain stockyards listing their facility number, name, location, and date of posting.

This notice is to correct the notice for deposting of Crockett Livestock Sales, Inc., Maury City, Tennessee. The market below is still posted with the posting number, name, address and posting date.

TN-140—Crockett Livestock Sales Co., Inc.; Maury City, Tennessee, January 28, 1960.

Done at Washington, D.C., this 18th day of January 1996.

Daniel L. Van Ackeren,

Director, Livestock Marketing Division.

[FR Doc. 96-1416 Filed 1-25-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-EN-P

Natural Resources Conservation Service

BS-3A Caernarvon Diversion Outfall Management Project, Plaquemines Parish, Louisiana

AGENCY: Natural Resources Conservation Service.

ACTION: Notice of a finding of no significant impact.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to Section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969; the Council on Environmental Quality Guidelines (40 CFR Part 1500); and the Natural Resources Conservation Service Guidelines (7 CFR Part 650); the Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, gives notice that an Environmental Impact Statement is not being prepared for the Caernarvon Diversion Outfall Management Project, Plaquemines Parish, Louisiana.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Donald W. Gohmert, State Conservationist, Natural Resources Conservation Service, 3737 Government Street, Alexandria, Louisiana 71302; telephone number (318) 473-7751.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The environmental assessment of the federally assisted action indicates that the project will not cause significant local, regional, or national impacts on the environment. As a result of these findings, Donald W. Gohmert, State Conservationist, has determined that the preparation and review of an Environmental Impact Statement is not needed for this project.

This project proposes to improve the utilization of fresh water, sediments, and nutrients from the Mississippi River, via the Caernarvon Diversion Structure, on approximately 23,800 acres of intermediate marsh in Plaquemines Parish, Louisiana. Project measures include the construction of variable-crested weirs, earthen plugs, flow-thru culverts, and 12,000 feet of spoil bank maintenance.

The Notice of a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) has been forwarded to the Environmental Protection Agency and to various federal, state, and local agencies and interested parties. A limited number of copies of the FONSI are available to fill single copy requests at the above address. Basic data developed during the environmental assessment are on file and may be reviewed by contacting Donald W. Gohmert.

No administrative action on implementation of the proposal will be taken until 30 days after the date of this publication in the Federal Register.

Donald W. Gohmert,
State Conservationist.

[FR Doc. 96-1249 Filed 1-25-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-16-M

Rural Utilities Service

Barry Electric Cooperative; Finding of No Significant Impact

AGENCY: Rural Utilities Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice of finding of no significant impact.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the Rural Utilities Service (RUS) has made a finding of no significant impact (FONSI) with respect to a request by Barry Electric Cooperative to use its general funds to construct a new headquarters facility in Barry County, Missouri. The FONSI is based on a borrower's environmental report (BER) submitted to RUS by Barry Electric Cooperative. RUS conducted an independent evaluation of the report and concurs with its scope and content. In accordance with RUS Environmental Policies and Procedures, 7 CFR Part 1794.61, RUS has adopted the BER as its environmental assessment for the project.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lawrence R. Wolfe, Senior Environmental Protection Specialist, Engineering and Environmental Staff, RUS, Ag. Box 1569, Washington, D.C. 20250-1569, telephone (202) 720-1784.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The headquarters facility is proposed to be located just north of Cassville adjacent to Highway 37 in Section 17, Township 23 North, Range 27 West. The size of the proposed site for the headquarters

facility is approximately 30 acres of which 10 acres would be developed.

The headquarters facility would consist of a 7,000 square foot office, a 35,000 square foot warehouse and 850 square foot covered storage building, and approximately 36,000 square feet of open storage area for poles, transformers, dumpsters, service and field employee vehicles, radio antenna, and salvage. The facility will also include parking for the cooperative employees and the public and a drive through depository window.

The buildings to be constructed will be pre-engineered metal. The parking and drive lanes will be a hard surface of either asphalt or concrete. The covered and open storage area will be surfaced with crushed rock and surrounded by a chain-link fence.

RUS considered the alternative of taking no action that would approve Barry Electric Cooperative's construction of the proposed headquarters facility.

Copies of the BER and FONSI are available for review at, or can be obtained from, RUS at the address provided herein or from Mr. Earle W. Shiveley, Barry Electric Cooperative, P.O. Box 307, 100 Main Street, Cassville, Missouri 65625, telephone (417) 847-2131.

Dated: January 22, 1996.

Adam M. Golodner,

Deputy Administrator, Program Operations.

[FR Doc. 96-1417 Filed 1-25-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-15-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Economic Development Administration

Notice of Petitions by Producing Firms for Determination of Eligibility To Apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance

AGENCY: Economic Development Administration (EDA), Commerce.

ACTION: To give firms an opportunity to comment.

Petitions have been accepted for filing on the dates indicated from the firms listed below.

LIST OF PETITION ACTION BY TRADE ADJUSTMENT ASSISTANCE FOR PERIOD 11/21/95-01/17/95

Firm name	Address	Date petition accepted	Product
Chaffin Manufacturing, Inc	1001 S. Echo, P.O. Box 975, Holdenville, OK 74848.	11/27/95	Oilfield pumps.