CAG-36.	Consent Agenda—Hydro, 652nd Meeting—May 15, DOCKET# CP95–755		Regular Meeting (10:00 a.m.)—Continued MISSOURI GAS ENERGY, A DIVISION OF SOUTHERN UNION COMPANY V. PANHANDLE EASTERN PIPE LINE COMPANY	
CAG-37.	DOCKET# CP96-131	000	CENTANA INTRASTATE PIPELINE COMPANY	
	OTHER#S CP96-122	000	TEXAS EASTERN TRANSMISSION CORPORATION	
CAG-38.	DOCKET# MT95-7	000	NORTHWEST PIPELINE CORPORATION	
HYDRO AGENDA				
H-1.	RESERVED			
ELECTRIC AGENDA				
E-1.	RESERVED			
OIL AND GAS AGENDA				
I. PR-1. II. PC-1.	PIPELINE RATE MATTERS RESERVED PIPELINE CERTIFICATE MATTERS RESERVED			

Lois D. Cashell, Secretary. [FR Doc. 96–12023 Filed 5–09–96; 11:13 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

#### [Docket No. CP96-348-000, et al.]

### Colorado Interstate Gas Company, et al.; Natural Gas Certificate Filings

May 7, 1996.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

## 1. Colorado Interstate Gas Company [Docket No. CP96–348–000]

Take notice that on April 24, 1996, Colorado Interstate Gas Company (CIG), P.O. Box 1087, Colorado Springs, Colorado 80944, filed in Docket No. CP96-348-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.211 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.211) for authorization to construct a new delivery facility under CIG's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP83-21-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

CIG proposes to construct and operate the delivery facility in Sherman County, Texas. The facility will be constructed pursuant to a facilities agreement between CIG and Amarillo Natural Gas Inc. (Amarillo) wherein CIG will tap its 20-inch main line with a 3/4 inch tap and valve for the delivery of gas to Amarillo. The estimated cost of construction is \$1,100. The quantity of gas to be delivered is approximately 400 Dth per day on an interruptible basis. CIG states that the gas will be transported for Amarillo for delivery to a feedlot to be used to process feed.

CIG states that this new delivery facility is not prohibited by its existing

tariff and that it has sufficient capacity to accomplish deliveries without detriment or disadvantage to other customers. The proposed delivery facility will not have an effect on CIG's peak day and annual deliveries and the total volumes delivered will not exceed total volumes authorized prior to this request.

Comment date: June 21, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

### 2. Williams Natural Gas Company

[Docket No. CP96-373-000]

Take notice that on April 30, 1996, Williams Natural Gas Company (WNG), P.O. Box 2400, Tulsa, Oklahoma, 74102, filed in Docket No. CP96-373-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205, and 157.212(b) of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, and 157.212) for approval to install and operate a tap, measuring and appurtenant facilities for the delivery of transportation gas to City Utilities of Springfield (City Utilities) in Christian County, Missouri, under the blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82–479–000, pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA), all as more fully set forth in the request which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

WNG states that the facilities for which it seeks construction authorization are designed to accommodate delivery volumes at ant level between 3,500 Dth per day and 125,000 Dth per day. It is indicated that there will be no increase in peak deliveries to City Utilities beyond that requested in Docket No. CP95–700–000. It is further indicated that the estimated cost of construction is \$499,737, which will be fully reimbursed by City Utilities to WNG.

WNG indicates that the proposed construction is not prohibited by its

existing tariff and that WNG has sufficient capacity to accomplish the deliveries without detriment or disadvantage to its other customers.

Comment date: June 21, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

## 3. Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company [Docket No. CP96–402–000]

Take notice that on May 1, 1996, Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company (Tennessee), P.O. Box 2511, Houston, Texas 77252, filed in Docket No. CP96-402–000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.212) for authorization to replace an existing delivery point in Middlesex County, Massachusetts under Tennessee's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-413-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Tennessee proposes to replace an existing delivery point in Middlesex County, Massachusetts to accommodate increase natural gas deliveries to Commonwealth Gas Company (Commonwealth). Commonwealth has requested that Tennessee amend the maximum daily delivery quantities under Commonwealth's rate schedule FT-A service agreement to shift primary firm capacity rights from Commonwealth's Worchester delivery point to the Hudson-Commonwealth delivery point. Tennessee states that the requested changes will not increase the overall firm transportation quantity under Commonwealth's Rate Schedule FT-A Service Agreement.

In order to increase the measurement capability at this point, Tennessee indicates that it will remove certain existing measurement, interconnecting and appurtenant facilities and install dual 6-inch orifice meter tubes, an 8-inch tie in assembly and approximately 150 feet of 8-inch interconnecting pipe. Commonwealth will install the regulation facilities. Tennessee states that it will be fully reimbursed for the cost associated with the replacements at this facility.

Tennessee states that the total quantities to be delivered will not exceed those quantities authorized prior to this request. Tennessee states that the replacement of the proposed delivery point is not prohibited by Tennessee's tariff, and that it has sufficient capacity to accomplish deliveries without detriment or disadvantage to any of Tennessee's other customers.

Comment date: June 21, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

## 4. Columbia Gulf Transmission Company

[Docket No. CP96-429-000]

Take notice that on May 1, 1996, Columbia Gulf Transmission Company (Columbia Gulf), 2603 Augusta STE 125, Houston, Texas 77057-5637, filed in Docket No. CP96-429-000, a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.211 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205 and 157.211) for authorization to establish a new interconnection to provide transportation service to Central Louisiana Electric Company, Inc. (CLECO) in Evangeline Parish, Louisiana, under Columbia Gulf's blanket authorization issued in Docket No. CP83-496-000, pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Columbia Gulf explains that this new interconnection has been requested by CLECO to serve Coughlin Power Plant. Columbia Gulf estimates the quantities of natural gas to be delivered at the new interconnection as 85,000 Dth per day and 6 Bcf annually. Columbia Gulf states that the transportation service to be provided to this interconnection will be interruptible service under its Rate Schedule ITS-1. Columbia Gulf states there will be no impact on its existing design day and annual obligation to its customers as a result of this new interconnection.

Columbia Gulf estimates the cost to construct the new interconnection to be approximately \$186,000, and states that CLECO will reimburse Columbia Gulf 100% of the total cost of construction. Columbia Gulf states it will comply with all of the environmental

requirements of Section 157.206(d) of the Commission's Regulations prior to the construction of any facilities.

Comment date: June 21, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

## 5. Northern Natural Gas Company [Docket No. CP96–451–000]

Take notice that on May 1, 1996, Northern Natural Gas Company (Northern), 1111 South 103rd Street. Omaha, Nebraska 68124-1000, filed in the above docket, a request, pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act, for authorization to upgrade the Crosby #4 town border station (TBS), an existing delivery point located in Crow Wing County, Minnesota, to accommodate incremental interruptible natural gas deliveries to UtiliCorp United, Inc. (UCU) under Northern's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-401-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the NGA, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Specifically, Northern states that it requests authorization to upgrade an existing delivery point in Minnesota to accommodate incremental interruptible natural gas deliveries to UCU under its currently effective throughput service agreements. Northern further states that UCU has requested increased service at the Crosby #4 TBS to provide increased service to an industrial end-user.

Northern states that the proposed increase in volumes to be delivered to UCU at the Crosby #4 TBS are an incremental 49 MMBtu on a peak day and 32,618 MMBtu on an annual basis. Northern's estimated cost of upgrading the existing delivery point is \$29,250. UCU will reimburse Northern for the total cost of upgrading the existing delivery point.

Northern states that the total volumes to be delivered to the customer after the request do not exceed the total volumes authorized prior to the request. Northern further states that the proposed activity is not prohibited by its existing tariff and that it has sufficient capacity to accommodate the changes proposed herein without detriment or disadvantage to its other customers.

Comment date: June 21, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

### 6. Williston Basin Interstate Pipeline Company

[Docket No. CP96-485-000]

Take notice that on May 3, 1996, Williston Basin Interstate Pipeline

Company (Williston Basin), Suite 300, 200 North Third Street, Bismarck, North Dakota 58501, filed in Docket No. CP96-485–000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.211 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.211) for authorization to continue the present operation of a previously installed tap located in Butte County, South Dakota under Williston Basin's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP83-1-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Williston Basin states that due to a request by Montana-Dakota Utilities Company (Montana-Dakota), a local distribution company, to commence transportation deliveries of natural gas through the subject tap to an end-user, it is necessary to state separately this delivery point on its master delivery point list. Williston Basin states that the continued operation of the subject tap will have no significant effect on its peak day or annual requirements and capacity has been determined to exist on Williston Basin's system to serve this natural gas market.

Comment date: June 21, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

# 7. El Paso Natural Gas Company [Docket No. CP96–487–000]

Take notice that on May 3, 1996, El Paso Natural Gas Company (El Paso), P.O. Box 1492, El Paso, Texas 79978, filed in Docket No. CP96-487-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.212) for authorization to operate certain existing delivery points under El Paso's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-435-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

El Paso proposes to establish as jurisdictional delivery points certain tap and meter facilities that were originally constructed for the nonjurisdictional delivery of fuel and lift gas to various field operations in the Permian Basin, as listed below. El Paso would now like to use these delivery points to provide gas deliveries to various operators under transportation service agreements.

Delivery point	Location
Sid Richardson Key- stone Field Plant Fuel Delivery Point.	Winkler County, Texas
Richardson-Bass Plant Start-Up Fuel Delivery Point.	Winkler County, Texas.
West Texas Gather- ing Compressor Fuel Delivery Point.	Winkler County, Texas.
Blanket Gas at Jal No. 4 Delivery Point.	Lea County, New Mexico.
SWEPI Terrell Plant Emergency Fuel Delivery Point.	Terrell County, Texas
Spraberry Lift Gas No. 1 Delivery Point.	Midland County, Texas.
Spraberry Lift Gas No. 11 Delivery Point.	Midland County, Texas.
Meyers LM Water Flood Unit Delivery Point.	Lea County, New Mexico.

Comment date: June 21, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

### Standard Paragraphs

G. Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after the issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefore, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act. Linwood A. Watson, Jr., Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–11873 Filed 5–10–96; 8:45 am]

#### Office of Hearings and Appeals

### Notice of Cases Filed; Week of January 1 Through January 5, 1996

During the week of January 1 through January 5, 1996, the appeals and applications for exception or other relief listed in the Appendix to this Notice were filed with the Office of Hearings and Appeals of the Department of Energy.

Under DOE procedural regulations, 10 CFR Part 205, any person who will be aggrieved by the DOE action sought in these cases may file written comments on the application within ten days of service of notice, as prescribed in the procedural regulations. For purposes of the regulations, the date of service of notice is deemed to be the date of publication of this Notice or the date of receipt by an aggrieved person of actual notice, whichever occurs first. All such comments shall be filed with the Office of Hearings and Appeals, Department of Energy, Washington, D.C. 20585.

Dated: April 30, 1996.

George B. Breznay,

Director, Office of Hearings and Appeals.

## LIST OF CASES RECEIVED BY THE OFFICE OF HEARINGS AND APPEALS [Week of Jan. 1 through Jan. 5, 1996]

Date	Name and location of applicant	Case No.	Type of submission
Jan. 2, 1996	Charter/Mississippi, Jackson, Mississippi	RQ23-601	Application for a Second Stage Refund in the Charter, Amoco II and Oklahoma City Refund Proceedings.
	Oklahoma City/Mississippi, Jackson, Mississippi.	RQ251-602	
	Amoco II/Mississippi, Jackson, Mississippi.	RQ13-603	If granted: The second stage refund application submitted by the State of Mississippi in the Charter, Amoco II and Oklahoma City Refund Proceedings would be granted.
Jan. 2, 1996	Ellsworth Freight Lines, Inc., Memphis, Tennessee.	RR272-228	Request for Modification/Rescission in the Crude Oil Refund Proceeding. If granted: The December 7, 1995 Decision and Order, Case No. RF272–97361, issued to Ellsworth Freight Lines, Inc., regarding the firm's application for refund submitted in the Crude Oil Refund Proceeding would be modified.
Do	Tajon, Inc., Memphis, Tennessee	RR272-229	Request for Modification/Rescission in the Crude Oil Refund Proceeding. If granted: The December 21, 1995 Decision and Order, Case No. RC272–325, issued to Tajon, Inc., regarding the firm's application for refund submitted in the Crude Oil Refund Proceeding would be modified.
Jan. 4, 1996	Albuquerque Operations Office, Albuquerque, New Mexico.	VSO-0077	Request for Hearing under 10 CFR Part 710. If granted: An individual employed at the Albuquerque Operations Office would receive a hearing under 10 C.F.R. Part 710.
Do	Oakland Operations Office, Oakland, California.	VSO-0078	Request for Hearing under CFR Part 710. If granted: An individual employed at the Oakland Operations Office would receive a hearing under 10 CFR Part 710.

[FR Doc. 96–11912 Filed 5–10–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6450–01–P

#### Office of Hearings and Appeals

## Notice of Cases Filed; Week of January 15 Through January 19, 1996

During the week of January 15 through January 19, 1996, the appeals and applications for other relief listed in the Appendix to this Notice were filed with the Office of Hearings and Appeals of the Department of Energy.

Under DOE procedural regulations, 10 CFR Part 205, any person who will be aggrieved by the DOE action sought in these cases may file written comments on the application within ten days of service of notice, as prescribed in the