### FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

### Change in Bank Control Notices; Formations of, Acquisitions by, and Mergers of Bank Holding Companies; Correction

This notice corrects a notice (FR Doc. 96-8893) published on page15946 of the issue for April 10, 1996.

Under the Federal Reserve Bank of Cleveland heading, the entry for Croghan Bancshares, Inc., Fremont, Ohio, is revised to read as follows:

1. Croghan Bancshares, Inc., Fremont, Ohio; to acquire 100 percent of the voting shares of Union Bancshares Corp., Bellevue, Ohio, and thereby indirectly acquire Union Bank and Savings Company, Bellevue, Ohio.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, May 3, 1996. Jennifer J. Johnson, Deputy Secretary of the Board. [FR Doc. 96–11561 Filed 5–8–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6210–01–F

## Formations of, Acquisitions by, and Mergers of Bank Holding Companies

The companies listed in this notice have applied to the Board for approval, pursuant to the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (12 U.S.C. 1841 et seq.) (BHC Act), Regulation Y (12 CFR part 225), and all other applicable statutes and regulations to become a bank holding company and/or to acquire the assets or the ownership of, control of, or the power to vote shares of a bank or bank holding company and all of the banks and nonbanking companies owned by the bank holding company, including the companies listed below.

The applications listed below, as well as other related filings required by the Board, are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. Once the application has been accepted for processing, it will also be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the standards enumerated in the BHC Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)). If the proposal also involves the acquisition of a nonbanking company, the review also includes whether the acquisition of the nonbanking company complies with the standards in section 4 of the BHC Act, including whether the acquisition of the nonbanking company can "reasonably be expected to produce benefits to the public, such as greater convenience, increased competition, or gains in efficiency, that outweigh possible adverse effects, such as undue concentration of resources, decreased or

unfair competition, conflicts of interests, or unsound banking practices" (12 U.S.C. 1843). Any request for a hearing must be accompanied by a statement of the reasons a written presentation would not suffice in lieu of a hearing, identifying specifically any questions of fact that are in dispute, summarizing the evidence that would be presented at a hearing, and indicating how the party commenting would be aggrieved by approval of the proposal. Unless otherwise noted, nonbanking activities will be conducted throughout the United States.

Unless otherwise noted, comments regarding each of these applications must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors not later than June 3, 1996.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond (Lloyd W. Bostian, Jr., Senior Vice President) 701 East Byrd Street, Richmond, Virginia 23261:

- 1. Horizon Bancorp, Inc., Beckley, West Virginia; to merge with Twentieth Bancorp, Inc., Huntington, West Virginia, and thereby indirectly acquire The Twentieth Street Bank, Huntington, West Virginia.
- B. Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas (Genie D. Short, Vice President) 2200 North Pearl Street, Dallas, Texas 75201-2272:
- 1. Perryton Bancshares, Inc., Perryton, Texas; to become a bank holding company by acquiring 100 percent of the voting shares of Perryton National Bank, Perryton, Texas.
- C. Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco (Kenneth R. Binning, Director, Bank Holding Company) 101 Market Street, San Francisco, California 94105:
- 1. First Savings Bank of Washington Bancorp, Inc., Walla Walla, Washington; to acquire 100 percent of the voting shares of Inland Empire Bank, Hermiston, Oregon.
- 2. InterWest Bancorp. Inc., Oak Harbor, Washington; to merge with Central Bancorporation, Wenatchee, Washington, and thereby indirectly acquire Central Washington Bank, Wenatchee, Washington, and North Central Washington Bank, Omak, Washington.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, May 3, 1996.

Jennifer J. Johnson,

Deputy Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 96–11562 Filed 5–8–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210–01–F

### Notice of Proposals to Engage in Permissible Nonbanking Activities or to Acquire Companies that are Engaged in Permissible Nonbanking Activities

The companies listed in this notice have given notice under section 4 of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1843) (BHC Act) and Regulation Y, (12 CFR part 225) to engage de novo, or to acquire or control voting securities or assets of a company that engages either directly or through a subsidiary or other company, in a nonbanking activity that is listed in § 225.25 of Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.25) or that the Board has determined by Order to be closely related to banking and permissible for bank holding companies. Unless otherwise noted, these activities will be conducted throughout the United States.

Each notice is available for inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. Once the notice has been accepted for processing, it will also be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the question whether the proposal complies with the standards of section 4 of the BHC Act, including whether consummation of the proposal can "reasonably be expected to produce benefits to the public, such as greater convenience, increased competition, or gains in efficiency, that outweigh possible adverse effects, such as undue concentration of resources, decreased or unfair competition, conflicts of interests, or unsound banking practices" (12 U.S.C. 1843). Any request for a hearing on this question must be accompanied by a statement of the reasons a written presentation would not suffice in lieu of a hearing, identifying specifically any questions of fact that are in dispute, summarizing the evidence that would be presented at a hearing, and indicating how the party commenting would be aggrieved by approval of the proposal.

Unless otherwise noted, comments regarding the applications must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors not later than May 23, 1996.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of New York (Christopher J. McCurdy, Senior Vice President) 33 Liberty Street, New York, New York 10045:

1. The Bank of Nova Scotia, Toronto, Ontario, Canada; to engage de novo through its subsidiary, Scotia Capital Markets (USA) Inc., New York, New York, in certain foreign exchange advisory and transaction services and in futures commission merchant activities,

pursuant to §§ 225.25 (b)(17) and (18) of the Board's Regulation Y.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, May 3, 1996.

Jennifer J. Johnson,

Deputy Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 96-11560 Filed 5-8-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-F

## FEDERAL RETIREMENT THRIFT INVESTMENT BOARD SUNSHINE ACT MEETING

**PLACE:** 4th Floor, Conference Room, 1250 H Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. **STATUS:** Open.

### **MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:**

- 1. National Finance Center record keeping.
- 2. Congressional/agency/participant liaison.
  - 3. Benefits administration.
  - 4. Investments.
  - 5. Participant communications.
- 6. Approval of the minutes of the last meeting.
- 7. Thrift Savings Plan activity report by the Executive Director.
- 8. Review of selection criteria for software vendor.
- 9. Approval of the update of the FY 1996 budget and FY 1997 estimates.
  - 10. Investment policy review.
  - 11. Status of audit recommendations.

# **CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:** Thomas J. Trabucco, Director, Office of External Affairs (202) 942–1640.

Dated: May 6, 1996.
Roger W. Mehle, Executive Director,
Federal Retirement Thrift Investment Board.
[FR Doc. 96–11710 Filed 5–7–96; 12:29 pm]
BILLING CODE 6760–01–M

### GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

### **Public Buildings Service**

### Notice of Intent To Prepare Environmental Impact Statement for the Consolidated Law Federal Office Building, Portland, OR

SUMMARY: The General Services Administration (GSA) hereby gives notice it intends to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA), as amended for the Consolidated Law Federal Office Building, in Portland, Multnomah County, Oregon. The EIS would evaluate the proposed project, other reasonable alternatives, and the no action alternative identified during the

scoping process. Scoping would be accomplished through written correspondence, through a public scoping meeting, and through individual meetings with interested persons, groups, organizations, and federal, state, and local agencies. ADDRESSES: Written comments on the scope of alternatives and potential impacts should be sent to GSA's environmental contractor, Herrera Environmental Consultants, at the following address: 2200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 601, Seattle, Washington, 98121. DATES: Written comments should be sent to Herrera Environmental Consultants by May 25, 1996. Comments will also be accepted at a public scoping meeting from 4:30 p.m. to 7:30 p.m. on May 7, 1996 and May 8, 1996 at the location indicated below. **PUBLIC SCOPING MEETING:** Comments and suggestions will be solicited at a public scoping meeting to be held at: Edith Green-Wendell Wyatt Federal Building, 1220 SW 3rd Avenue, Portland, Oregon.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Donna M. Meyer, Regional Environmental Program Officer, General Services Administration, (206) 931–7675 or Ms. Nona Diediker at Herrera Environmental Consultants, 2200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 601, Seattle, Washington, 98121, (206) 441–9080.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The General Services Administration, assisted by the environmental contractor, is considering preparation of a federal NEPA Environmental Impact Statement on a proposal to design and construct a new Consolidated Law Federal Office Building in Portland, Oregon. The scoping process would determine the level of effort, the scope of issues to be addressed in the environmental document, and identify the significant issues related to the proposed project. Scoping will be conducted consistent with the Council on Environmental Quality's (CEQ) Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of NEPA (40 CFR parts 1500-1508). GSA will serve as the lead agency for the preparation of the EIS pursuant to Section 1501.5(a) of the regulations.

### Scoping

GSA invites interested individuals, organizations, and federal, state, and local agencies to participate in defining the reasonable alternatives to be evaluated in the EIS, and in identifying any significant physical, biological, or socioeconomic environmental issues related to the alternatives. Scoping comments can be made verbally at the public scoping meeting, or in writing

(see DATES and ADDRESSES section above for location and time of meeting). During scoping, comments should focus on identifying specific impacts to be evaluated and suggesting alternatives that minimize adverse impacts while achieving similar objectives. Comments may also identify issues which are not significant or which have been covered by prior environmental review. Scoping should be limited to commenting on the project alternatives. There will be opportunity to comment on preferences during the Draft EIS comment review phase.

#### Additional Information

A project information newsletter will be available at the public scoping meeting or can be obtained by contacting Nona Diediker at Herrera Environmental Consultants. The newsletter will describe in more detail the proposed project, alternatives, and the EIS process.

### Mailing List

If you wish to be placed on our mailing list to receive further and future information as the EIS process develops, contact Herrera Environmental Consultants at the address or phone listed above.

Project Purpose, Historical Background, and Project Description

The House and Senate Subcommittees on Treasury, Postal Service, and General Government have determined a need exists for a facility to serve as a detention center for prisoners appearing before the Federal courts and for the consolidation of Federal law enforcement agencies in Portland, Oregon. The committees have directed the General Services Administration to undertake the necessary studies to address this requirement. Detention facilities in Portland for federal prisoners awaiting a trial and sentencing are limited. Federal law enforcement officials are forced to move federal prisoners back and forth from the federal prison in Sheridan, Oregon and other county holding facilities in Oregon and Washington. This situation often leads to many security and logistical problems.

The proposal to design and construct a 350,000 occupiable square foot office, court and 300-bed facility to consolidate federal law enforcement agencies and provide detention capabilities would aid in alleviating security and logistic problems by establishing an adjacency relationship to the new U.S. Courthouse. Site alternatives are presently under investigation and a delineated area has been identified as