may be obtained from Judith Street; (202) 267–9895; ABC–100; 800 Independence Avenue, S.W.; Washington, DC 20591.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 3507 of Title 44 of the United States Code, as adopted by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, requires that agencies prepare a notice for publication in the Federal Register, listing those information collection requests submitted to OMB for approval or renewal under that Act. OMB reviews and approves agency submissions in accordance with criteria set forth in that Act. In carrying out its responsibilities, OMB also considers public comments on the proposed forms and the reporting and recordkeeping requirements. OMB approval of an information collection requirement must be renewed at least once every three years.

Item Submitted to OMB for Review

The following information collection request was submitted to OMB on April 25, 1996:

DOT No: 4074.

OMB No: 2120—New.

Administration: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA).

Title: Request for Identification of Former Government Employees; Complying With Federal Workforce Restructuring Act of 1994.

Summary: The Federal Aviation Administration is attempting to identify former Government employees who accepted incentive payments in exchange for volunteering separation from government employment and now may be performing personal services in violation of the Federal Workforce Restructuring Act of 1994.

Need for Information: The Office of the Inspector General for the Department of Transportation issued a report which attempted to evaluate whether the FAA is complying with the Federal Workforce Restructuring Act of 1994, Public Law 103-226. The Inspector General recommended that the FAA (1) identify all FAA employees who took the buyout and returned to work as employees of FAA contractors, (2) determine whether the Act was violated and take appropriate action against those who violated the Act, and (3) recoup incentive payments from those former employees who violated the Act.

Respondents: FAA Support Contractors. An estimated 350 contractors.

Burden Estimate: A one time burden of an estimated 1190 hours.

Issued in Washington, D.C. on April 25, 1996.

Phillip A. Leach,

Information Clearance Officer, M–32. [FR Doc. 96–10668 Filed 4–29–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P [Summary Notice No. PE-96-23]

Petitions for Exemption; Summary of Petitions Received; Dispositions of Petitions Issued

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of petitions for exemption received and of dispositions of prior petitions.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to FAA's rulemaking provisions governing the application, processing, and disposition of petitions for exemption (14 CFR Part 11), this notice contains a summary of certain petitions seeking relief from specified requirements of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Chapter I), dispositions of certain petitions previously received, and corrections. The purpose of this notice is to improve the public's awareness of, and participation in, this aspect of FAA's regulatory activities. Neither publication of this notice nor the inclusion or omission of information in the summary is intended to affect the legal status of any petition or its final disposition.

DATES: Comments on petitions received must identify the petition docket number involved and must be received on or before May 20, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Send comments on any petition in triplicate to: Federal Aviation Administration, Office of the Chief Counsel, Attn: Rule Docket (AGC-200), Petition Docket No. _____, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591.

Comments may also be sent electronically to the following internet address:

nprmcmts@mail.hq.faa.gov.

The petition, any comments received, and a copy of any final disposition are filed in the assigned regulatory docket and are available for examination in the Rules Docket (AGC–200), Room 915G, FAA Headquarters Building (FOB 10A), 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591; telephone (202) 267–3132.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mr. D. Michael Smith, Office of Rulemaking (ARM–1), Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591; telephone (202) 267–7470.

This notice is published pursuant to paragraphs (c), (e), and (g) of § 11.27 of Part II of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 11). Issued in Washington, DC, on April 25, 1996.

Donald P. Byrne,

Assistant Chief Counsel for Regulations.

Petitions for Exemption

Docket No.: 28506.

Petitioner: Corporate Aviation, Inc. Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 135 153(b).

Description of Relief Sought: To permit Corporate Aviation, Inc., to operate a Gulfstream II aircraft (Registration No. N658PC, Serial No. 658) equipped with an alternate system as provided by § 135.153(b), rather than an FAA-approved ground proximity warning system, after April 20, 1996.

Docket No.: 28521.

Petitioner: City of Glendale, California. *Sections of the FAR Affected:* 14 CFR 133.45(e)(1).

Description of Relief Sought: To permit the City of Glendale, California, to conduct shorthaul Class D rotorcraft-load combination rescue operations in its two McDonnell Douglas 500 aircraft, which are single-engine helicopters, without meeting the singleengine hover capability requirements of § 133.45(e)(1).

Docket No.: 28524. Petitioner: Southland Aviation. Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 91.313(e).

Description of Relief Sought: To permit Omni Engineering, Inc., crewmembers to operate a Grumman HU–16D, Albatross aircraft (Registration No. N695S, Serial No. 146426), which is currently certified as a restricted category aircraft, over densely populated areas, in congested airways, and near busy airports where passenger transport operations are conducted.

[FR Doc. 96–10669 Filed 4–29–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

[Summary Notice No. PE-96-22]

Petitions for Exemption; Summary of Petitions Received; Dispositions of Petitions Issued

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT. **ACTION:** Notice of petitions for exemption received and of dispositions of prior petitions.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to FAA's rulemaking provisions governing the application, processing, and disposition of petitions for exemption (14 CFR Part 11), this notice contains a summary of certain petitions seeking relief from specified requirements of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Chapter I), dispositions of certain petitions previously received, and corrections. The purpose of this notice is to improve the public's awareness of, and participation in, this aspect of FAA's regulatory activities. Neither publication of this notice nor the inclusion or omission of information in the summary is intended to affect the legal status of any petition or its final disposition. **DATES:** Comments on petitions received must identify the petition docket number involved and must be received on or before May 20, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Send comments on any petition in triplicate to: Federal Aviation Administration, Office of the Chief Counsel, Attn: Rule Docket (AGC– 200), Petition Docket No. _____, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591.

Comments may also be sent electronically to the following internet address:

nprmcmts@mail.hq.faa.gov.

The petition, any comments received, and a copy of any final disposition are filed in the assigned regulatory docket and are available for examination in the Rules Docket (AGC–200), Room 915G, FAA Headquarters Building (FOB 10A), 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591; telephone (202) 267–3132.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. D. Michael Smith, Office of Rulemaking (ARM–1), Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591; telephone (202) 267–7470.

This notice is published pursuant to paragraphs (c), (e), and (g) of § 11.27 of Part 11 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 11).

Issued in Washington, DC, on April 25, 1996.

Donald P. Byrne,

Assistant Chief Counsel for Regulations.

Petitions for Exemption

Docket No.: 28544.

Petitioner: Learjet, Inc.

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 25.783(h).

Description of Relief Sought: To permit, exemption, for Lear Model 45, from the minimum emergency exit requirements of § 25.783(h) for the passenger entry door, to allow an oversized Type III hatch in lieu of the required Type II floor-level exit.

Docket No.: 28551.

Petitioner: Dassault Aviation.

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 25.571(e)(1).

Description of Relief Sought: To permit exemption, for the Mystere-Falcon 900EX, from the bird speed requirements of § 25.571(e)(1) to allow compliance with the requirement using bird impact velocity of Vc at sea level or 0.85 Vc at 8,000 feet, whichever is more critical.

[FR Doc. 96–10670 Filed 4–29–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

Surface Transportation Board 1

[STB Finance Docket No. 32893]

The Indiana & Ohio Rail Passenger Corporation—Acquisition by Trackage Rights and Operation Exemption— Cincinnati Terminal Railway Corp., Indiana and Ohio Railroad Company, Indiana & Ohio Railway Company, Inc., and Indiana & Ohio Central Railroad Company, Inc.

The Indiana & Ohio Rail Passenger Corporation (IORP), a noncarrier, has filed a verified notice of exemption under 49 CFR 1150.31 to acquire, and operate over, trackage rights from the Cincinnati Terminal Railway Corp., the Indiana and Ohio Railroad Company, the Indiana & Ohio Railway Company, Inc., and the Indiana & Ohio Central Railroad Company, Inc. The trackage over which IORP's operations will be conducted are: (1) With Cincinnati Terminal Railway Corp., from MP 0.4 in Cincinnati, OH, to MP 16.4 at Evendale, OH, for a total of 16 miles; (2) with Indiana and Ohio Railroad Company, from MP 17.75 at Valley Junction, OH, to MP 43.90 at Brookville, IN, for a total of approximately 26.2 miles; (3) with Indiana & Ohio Railway Company, Inc., from (a) MP 5.9 near Monroe, OH, to MP 12.0 near Hageman, OH, (b) MP 31.1 near Hageman, OH, to MP 36.9 at South Mason, OH, (c) MP 27.7 at Lebanon, OH, to MP 31.1 near Hageman, OH, and (d) MP 39.76 at Brecon, OH, to MP 50.5 at Norwood, OH, for a total of approximately 26 miles; and (4) with Indiana & Ohio Central Railroad Company, Inc., from (a) MP 44.96 at Midland City, OH, to MP 74.45 near Thrifton, OH, (b) MP 9.1 near Columbus, OH, to MP 52.56 near Logan, OH, (c) MP 202.7 at Springfield, OH, to MP 228.83 at Fayne, OH, (d) MP 129.4 near Bell, OH, to MP 130.1 near Springfield, OH, (e) MP 0.0 near Bell, OH, to MP 17.2, near Mechanicsburg, OH, and (f) MP 98.8 at Bellfontaine, OH, to MP 129.4 at Bell, OH, for a total of approximately 147.6 miles.

The purpose of the transaction is to provide rail passenger service in the States of Indiana and Ohio. The parties intended to consummate

the proposed transaction on or after April 12, 1996.

This proceeding is related to *The Indiana & Ohio Rail Passenger* Corporation—Trackage Rights Exemption—Cincinnati Terminal Railway Corp., Indiana and Ohio Railroad Company, Indiana & Ohio Railway Company, Inc., and Indiana & Ohio Central Railroad Company, Inc., STB Finance Docket No. 32894, wherein IORP has concurrently filed a notice of exemption for trackage rights.²

If the verified notice contains false or misleading information, the exemption is void *ab initio*. Petitions to reopen the proceeding revoke the exemption under 49 U.S.C. 10502(d) may be filed at any time. The filing of a petition to reopen will not automatically stay the transaction.

An original and 10 copies of all pleadings, referring to STB Finance Docket No. 32893, must be filed with the Office of the Secretary, Surface Transportation Board, Case Control Branch, 1201 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20423 In addition, a copy of each pleading must be served on Robert L. Calhoun, Sullivan & Worcester, LLP, 1025 Connecticut Avenue, NW., Suite 1000, Washington, DC 20036.

Decided: April 23, 1996.

By the Board, David M. Konschnik, Director, Office of Proceedings.

Vernon A. Williams,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–10639 Filed 4–29–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4915–00–P

[STB Finance Docket No. 32894]

The Indiana & Ohio Rail Passenger Corporation—Trackage Rights Exemption—Cincinnati Terminal Railway Corp., Indiana and Ohio Railroad Company, Indiana & Ohio Railway Company, Inc., and Indiana & Ohio Central Railroad Company, Inc.

The Indiana & Ohio Rail Passenger Corporation (IORP) has filed a verified

¹The ICC Termination Act of 1995, Pub. L. No. 104–88, 109 Stat. 803, which was enacted on December 29, 1995, and took effect on January 1, 1996, abolished the Interstate Commerce Commission and transferred certain functions to the Surface Transportation Board (Board). This notice relates to functions that are subject to Board jurisdiction pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 11323.

¹The ICC Termination Act of 1995, Pub. L. No. 104–88, 109 Stat. 803, which was enacted on December 29, 1995, and took effect on January 1, 1996, abolished the Interstate Commerce Commission and transferred certain functions to the Surface Transportation Board (Board). This notice relates to functions that are subject to Board jurisdiction pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 10901.

²IORP has concurrently filed a notice of exemption in STB Finance Docket No. 32894 under 49 CFR 1180.2(d)(7) to acquire trackage rights as a rail carrier. Because acquisition of trackage rights by a noncarrier, which would become a Class III carrier after completion of the transaction, appears to be covered by the exemption procedures under section 1150.31, the notice of exemption filed in STB Finance Docket No. 32894 might be unnecessary unless one or more of the trackage rights transactions will occur after another of the transactions, in which case IORP will have already become a carrier and thus require exemption under section 1180.2(d)(7).