

Army Science Board; Notice of Partially Closed Meeting

In accordance with Section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463), announcement is made of the following Committee Meeting:

Name of Committee: Army Science Board (ASB)

Date of Meeting: 24 & 25 January 1996

Time of Meeting: 0900-1100, 24 January 1996 (Closed)

1200-1600, 24 January 1996 (Open)

0930-1600, 25 January 1996 (Open)

Place: Pentagon—Washington, DC

Agenda: The Army Science Board's Ad Hoc Study on "Army Digitization Information Systems Vulnerabilities and Security" will meet for briefings and discussions relative to the study subject. The open portions of these meetings are open to the public. Any person may attend, appear before or file statements with the committee. The closed portion of these meetings will be closed to the public in accordance with Section 552b(c) of title 5, U.S.C., specifically subparagraph (4) thereof, and Title 5, U.S.C., Appendix 2, subsection 10(d).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michelle Diaz (703) 695-0781.

Michelle P. Diaz,

Acting Administrative Officer, Army Science Board.

[FR Doc. 96-977 Filed 1-23-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-08-M

Army Science Board; Notice of Closed Meeting

In accordance with Section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463), announcement is made of the following Committee Meeting:

Name of Committee: Army Science Board (ASB)

Date of Meeting: 22 & 23 January 1996

Time of Meeting: 0900-1730, 22 January 1996
0900-1500, 23 January 1996

Place: Pentagon—Washington, DC

Agenda: The Army Science Board (ASB) TRADOC Issue Group on "Operational Architecture" will meet for briefings and discussions on the study subject. These meetings will be closed to the public in accordance with Section 552b(c) of title 5, U.S.C., specifically subparagraph (4) thereof, and Title 5, U.S.C., Appendix 2, subsection 10(d). The proprietary matters to be discussed are so inextricably intertwined so as to preclude opening any portion of these meetings.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michelle Diaz (703) 695-0781.

Michelle P. Diaz,

Acting Administrative Officer, Army Science Board.

[FR Doc. 96-978 Filed 1-23-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-08-M

Army Science Board; Notice of Closed Meeting

In accordance with Section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463), announcement is made of the following Committee Meeting:

Name of Committee: Army Science Board (ASB)

Dates of Meetings: 0900-1600, 17 January 1996

0800-1500, 18 January 1996

0900-1500, 18 January 1996

0900-1500, 19 January 1996

Places: US Army Aviation, Troop Support Command, Federal Center, St. Louis, MO (17 Jan 96)

US Army Tank Automotive Command, Warren, MI (18 Jan 96)

US Army Missile Command, Huntsville, AL (18 Jan 96)

US Army Communications & Electronics Command, Fort Monmouth, NJ (19 Jan 96)

Agenda: The Army Science Board (ASB) Independent Assessment Panel on "Reengineering the Acquisition and Modernization Processes of the Institutional Army, T&E Subgroup" will meet for briefings and discussions on the study subject. These meetings will be closed to the public in accordance with Section 552b(c) of title 5, U.S.C., specifically subparagraph (4) thereof, and Title 5, U.S.C., Appendix 2, subsection 10(d). The proprietary matters to be discussed are so inextricably intertwined so as to preclude opening any portion of these meetings.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michelle P. Diaz (703) 695-0781.

Michelle P. Diaz,

Acting Administrative Officer, Army Science Board.

[FR Doc. 96-979 Filed 1-23-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-08-M

Army Science Board; Notice of Closed Meeting

In accordance with Section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463), announcement is made of the following Committee Meeting:

Name of Committee: Army Science Board (ASB)

Date of Meeting: 25-26 January 1996

Time of Meeting: 1000-1600, 25 January 1996
0800-1400, 26 January 1996

Place: Pentagon—Washington, DC

Agenda: The Army Science Board's (ASB) Independent Assessment on "Reengineering the Acquisition and Modernization Processes of the Institutional Army" will meet for briefings and discussions on the study subject. These meetings will be closed to the public in accordance with Section 552b(c) of Title 5, U.S.C., specifically paragraph (1) thereof, and Title 5, U.S.C., Appendix 2, subsection 10(d). The classified and unclassified matters to be discussed are so inextricably intertwined so as to preclude opening any portion of these meetings.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michelle Diaz (703) 695-0781.

Michelle P. Diaz,

Acting Administrative Officer, Army Science Board.

[FR Doc. 96-980 Filed 1-23-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-08-M

Department of the Army, Corps of Engineers

Intent To Prepare a Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the Ashtabula River Partnership (ARP) Feasibility Study and Comprehensive Management Plan (CMP) and Development of a Dredging and Confined Disposal Facility (CDFs) Project for Ashtabula Harbor, Ashtabula County, OH

AGENCY: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DOD for the Ashtabula River Partnership.

ACTION: Notice of intent.

SUMMARY: The proposed project involves appropriate sediment dredging, dewatering, treatment, transport, and use of existing and/or developed confined disposal facilities (CDFs) for disposal of polluted material dredged from the Lower Ashtabula River. Material will be dredged for initial River clean-up and for continued maintenance of harbor navigation channels. Project CDFs will be designed and used initially for confining some initially dredged Toxic Substance Control Act (TSCA) sediments.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Tod Smith, 716-879-4175, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Buffalo District, Environmental Analysis Section, 1776 Niagara Street, Buffalo, New York 14207-3199.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Authority

This study is being conducted under the authorities of the U.S. Rivers and Harbors Acts from 1919 to 1965, as amended, as they pertain to Ashtabula Harbor; authorities associated with the Ashtabula River Partnership (ARP); and Section 401 of the 1990 Water Resources

Development Act pertaining to technical assistance to OEPA to develop ARP plans.

Proposed Action

The proposed action involves dredging (environmentally) approximately 750,000 cubic yards of contaminated material (approximately 300,000 cubic yards of which may be PCB TSCA) from the lower Ashtabula River and appropriate dewatering, treatment, transport and disposal in appropriately designed existing and/or developed confined disposal facilities (CDFs). Generally, contaminants of concern include metals such as chromium and lead and chlorinated organic compounds including PCBs in excess of 50 mg/kg. Some future disposal capacity for harbor operations and maintenance dredged material (not suitable for unrestricted open-lake disposal) will also be included.

Alternatives

The Ashtabula River Partnership and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Buffalo District are investigating several associated alternative scenarios and have investigated some 36 sites for potential CDF development. The Project Siting Committee recently recommended that four upland sites, one in-lake CDF, and three existing landfill areas be assessed in further detail. The "No Action" alternative must also be a consideration.

Scoping Process

Study activities are being coordinated with government agencies, interest groups, and the general public. The intent is to gain assistance in: identifying and scoping problems, needs, and concerns; developing feasible alternative solutions; assessing/evaluating alternative solutions; and identifying the preferred and the selected plans. The public involvement process for the study incorporates a public involvement (outreach) program, written correspondence, telephone communications, public meetings/workshops, and draft and final report review procedures.

An initial local scoping meeting for this project was conducted in January of 1994. Subsequent meetings followed. In June 1995, supplemental scoping letters were coordinated with agencies and others known to have an interest in the study. Coordination continues. Additional scoping input from potentially affected Federal, State, and local agencies and interests is invited by this notice.

Significant Issues

The Ashtabula River Partnership, comprised of private citizens, government officials, and business and industry leaders, is dedicated to exploring how to effectively remediate the contaminated sediments in the Ashtabula River and Harbor. The goal is to look beyond traditional approaches to determine a comprehensive solution for remediation of the contaminated sediments not suitable for open-lake disposal. Successful remediation of contaminated sediments in the Ashtabula River and Harbor will ultimately enhance economic, environmental, and social development opportunities in the Ashtabula region. Alternatives will be developed and evaluated for engineering and economic feasibility, and environmental and social acceptability. The alternative selected will reflect the best overall response to meeting the developed project objectives. The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Buffalo District has taken the leadership role as a Partnership's project manager to prepare its Comprehensive Management Plan and Environmental Impact Statement to address sediment remediation. The study shall be conducted to comply with the various Federal and State Environmental Statutes and Executive Orders and associated review procedures. When the Draft Environmental Impact Statement is completed, it will be filed with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and coordinated and reviewed under the National Environmental Policy Act procedures.

Scoping Meeting: Since Federal, State, and local interests have been involved with initiation of the study, and adequate coordination is already being conducted, no new formal initial scoping meeting is scheduled.

Availability

It is expected that the Draft Environmental Impact Statement will be made available to the public about October 1997.

Dated: January 2, 1996.
Walter C. Neitzke,
Colonel, U.S. Army, Commanding.
[FR Doc. 96-914 Filed 1-23-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-6P-M

Department of the Navy

Record of Decision for the Disposal and Reuse of Naval Hospital, Long Beach, California, Parcel A

The Department of the Navy (Navy), pursuant to section 102(2) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA), 42 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.*, and the Regulations of the Council on Environmental Quality that implement NEPA procedures, 40 CFR parts 1500-1508, hereby announces its decision to dispose of Parcel A of the property comprising the Naval Hospital at Long Beach, California.

Navy intends to dispose of the property in a manner that is consistent with the proposed reuse and redevelopment plan submitted by The City of Long Beach, the Local Redevelopment Authority (LRA), described as the Retail Sales Alternative in the Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS).

Background

The 1991 Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission recommended closure of the Naval Hospital at Long Beach and Naval Station Long Beach. These recommendations were then approved by President Bush and the One Hundred Second Congress. Operations at the Naval Hospital ceased on March 31, 1994, and the property has been in caretaker status since that date. Operations at Naval Station Long Beach ceased on September 30, 1994.

The Naval Hospital property is located within The City of Long Beach, California, and consists of two parcels. Parcel A is a 30.5 acre site which contains the hospital buildings, associated barracks, and warehouses. Parcel B is an adjacent 34.7 acre site that contains a parking lot, helicopter landing pad, and Navy housing. Ownership of Parcel B reverted from Navy to The City of Long Beach on October 17, 1995, by operation of law under the terms of the original land acquisition agreement.

A Notice of Intent was published in the Federal Register on January 28, 1994, stating that Navy would prepare an Environmental Impact Statement that analyzed the impacts of disposal and reuse of Parcel A of the Naval Hospital property. A 90-day public scoping period was established, and two scoping meetings were held in the cities of Long Beach and Lakewood on April 5 and 6, 1995. An additional scoping meeting where Navy's presentation was translated into Spanish was held in the adjacent City of Hawaiian Gardens on July 19, 1994.