Wilderness (7,852 acres), the Sierra Ancha Wilderness (20,850 acres) and the Salt River Canyon Wilderness (9,777 acres). The Sierra Ancha Experimental Forest is 13,371 acres in size. It occurs on the A Cross and Sierra Ancha allotments. Currently, the Tonto National Forest's Land Management Plan has listed livestock use in the Experimental Forest as Level A; No Grazing. On June 29, 1994, a wildfire was started by a lightning strike near Armer Mountain. This fire burned portions of the Armer Mountain and A Cross allotments. A total of 5,760 acres was burned. Depending on the recovery of the vegetation on each of the allotments, some priorities may need to be shifted in the future. The Fish and Wildlife Service's Amendment to the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act Report on Plan 6, Central Arizona, Regulatory Storage Division was prepared as a mitigation plan designed to mitigate impacts on fish and wildlife resources associated with construction and operation of Plan 6. In this report it states, "In order to control access to the lake by livestock and reduce impacts to native vegetation associated with uncontrolled grazing, funding should be provided to accelerate the implementation of new and revised Allotment Management Plans for 11 allotments around Roosevelt Lake. * * * This funding should be utilized for the construction of range management fencing and water development which should provide for management designed to meet vegetative objectives and provide appropriate use by livestock so that established objectives could be met." The Armer Mountain, A Cross, Poison Springs, and Sierra Ancha Allotments were listed as part of those 11 allotments. An environmental assessment was prepared in December, 1995 for the Eastern Roosevelt Lake Watershed Analysis Area. Based on that assessment, it was determined that additional analyses for this project were required, and that an environmental impact statement would be prepared.

The draft EIS is expected to be filed with the EPA and to be available for public review by July, 1996. At that time, copies of the draft EIS will be distributed to interested and affected agencies, organizations, and members of the public for review and comment. The EPA will publish a Notice of Availability of the draft EIS in the Federal Register. The comment period on the EIS will be 45 days from the date the EPA publishes the Notice of Availability. It is very important that those interested in this proposed action

participate at that time. To be most helpful, comments on the draft EIS should be as specific as possible and may address the adequacy of the statement or the merits of the alternatives discussed (see The Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3).

In addition, Federal court decisions have established that reviewers of a draft EIS must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and contentions. Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. versus NRDC, 435 U.S. 519, 553 (1978). Environmental objections that could have been raised at the draft stage may be waived it not raised until after completion of the final EIS. City of Angoon versus Hodel, (9th Circuit, 1986) and Wisconsin Heritages, Inc. versus Harris, 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980). The reason for this is to ensure that substantive comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at a time when it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the final EIS.

The final EIS is scheduled to be completed by October, 1996. In the final EIS, the Forest Service is required to respond to comments received during the comment period. The responsible official will consider the comments, responses, environmental consequences disclosed in the final EIS, and applicable laws, regulations, and policies in making a decision regarding this proposal. He will document the decision and reasons for the decision in the Record of Decision. The Record of Decision will be prepared and filed with the final EIS. That decision will be subject to Forest Service appeal regulations (36 CFR 217).

Dated: April 12, 1996. Robert Dunblazier, Group Leader for Social Resources. [FR Doc. 96–9951 Filed 4–24–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–11–M

COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

Agenda and Notice of Public Meeting of the Missouri Advisory Committee

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, that a meeting of the Missouri Advisory Committee to the Commission will convene at 6:00 p.m. and adjourn at 8:30 p.m. on May 30, 1996, at the Hyatt Regency Hotel, 2345 McGee Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64108. The purpose of the meeting is to plan future projects and hold orientation for new members.

Persons desiring additional information, or planning a presentation to the Committee, should contact Melvin L. Jenkins, Director of the Central Regional Office, 913–551–1400 (TDD 913–551–1414). Hearing-impaired persons who will attend the meeting and require the services of a sign language interpreter should contact the Regional Office at least five (5) working days before the scheduled date of the meeting.

The meeting will be conducted pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the Commission.

Dated at Washington, DC, April 17, 1996. Carol-Lee Hurley,

Chief, Regional Programs Coordination Unit. [FR Doc. 96–10150 Filed 4–24–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6335–01–P

Agenda and Notice of Public Meeting of the North Dakota Advisory Committee

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, that a meeting of the North Dakota Advisory Committee to the Commission will convene at 9:00 a.m. and adjourn at 9:00 p.m. on May 16, 1996, at the Holiday Inn, 605 E. Broadway, Bismarck, North Dakota 58501. The purpose of the meeting is to receive information on civil rights enforcement in North Dakota.

Persons desiring additional information, or planning a presentation to the Committee, should contact Committee Chairperson Betty Mills, 701–223–4643, or John Dulles, Director of the Rocky Mountain Regional Office, 303–866–1400 (TDD 303–866–1049). Hearing-impaired persons who will attend the meeting and require the services of a sign language interpreter should contact the Regional Office at least five (5) working days before the scheduled date of the meeting.

The meeting will be conducted pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the Commission.

Dated at Washington, DC, April 17, 1996. Carol-Lee Hurley,

Chief, Regional Programs Coordination Unit. [FR Doc. 96–10151 Filed 4–24–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6335–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Bureau of the Census

1997 Economic Census Covering Construction Industry Sector

ACTION: Proposed agency information collection activity; comment request.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)).

DATES: Written comments must be submitted on or before June 24, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments

to Linda Engelmeier, Acting Departmental Forms Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 5327, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument(s) and instructions should be directed to Patricia L. Horning, Bureau of the Census, Room 2125, Building 4, Washington, DC 20233 on (301) 457–4680.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Abstract

The Census Bureau is the preeminent collector and provider of timely, relevant and quality data about the people and economy of the United States. Economic data are the Census Bureau's primary program commitment during nondecennial census years. The economic census, conducted under authority of Title 13 U.S.C., is the primary source of facts about the structure and functioning of the Nation's economy and features unique industry and geographic detail. Economic census statistics serve as part of the framework for the national accounts and provide essential information for government, business and the general public. The 1997 Economic Census will cover virtually every sector of the U.S. economy including more than 2.3 million construction establishments.

II. Method of Collection

The construction industry sector of the economic census will select establishments for their mail canvasses from a frame given by the Census Bureau's Standard Statistical Establishment List. To be eligible for selection, an establishment will be required to satisfy the following conditions: (i) It must be classified in the construction industry sector; (ii) it must be an active operating establishment of a multi-establishment firm, or it must be a single-establishment firm with payroll; and (iii) it must be located in one of the 50 states or the District of Columbia. Mail selection procedures will distinguish the following groups of establishments:

A. Establishments of Multi-Establishment Firms

Selection procedures will assign all active construction establishments of multi-establishment firms to the mail component of the potential respondent universe. We estimate that the census mail canvass for 1997 will include approximately 11,000 construction establishment firms.

B. Single-Establishment Firms With Payroll

As an initial step in the selection process, we will conduct a study of the potential respondent universe for construction industries. The study of potential respondents will produce payroll cutoffs that we will use to distinguish large versus small single-establishment firms within each industry or kind of business. This payroll size distinction will affect selection as follows:

1. Large Single-Establishment Firms

Selection procedures will assign large single-establishment firms having annualized payroll (from Federal administrative records) that equals or exceeds the cutoff for their industry to the mail component of the potential respondent universe. We estimate that the census mail canvass for 1997 will include approximately 20,000 construction firms in this category.

2. Small Single-Establishment Firms

Selection procedures will assign a sample of small single-establishment firms having annualized payroll below the cutoff for their industry to the mail component of the potential respondent universe. Sampling strata and corresponding probabilities of selection will be determined by a study of the potential respondent universe conducted shortly before mail selection operations begin. We estimate that the census mail canvass for 1997 will include approximately 99,000 construction firms in this category.

C. Establishments With No Payroll

All establishments with no payroll will be represented in the census by data from Federal administrative records.

III. Data

The information collected from businesses in these sectors of the economic census will produce basic statistics by industry for number of establishments, value of construction work done, payroll, employment, selected costs, depreciable assets, and capital expenditures. It also will yield a variety of subject statistics, including estimates of type of construction work done, kind of business activity and other industry-specific measures.

OMB Number: Not Available. Form Number: The forms used to collect information from businesses in this sector of the economic census are tailored to specific business practices and are too numerous to list separately in this notice. You can obtain information on the proposed content of the forms by calling Patricia L. Horning on (301) 457–4680.

Type of Review: Regular Review. Affected Public: Businesses or Other for Profit, Non-profit Institutions, Small Businesses or Organizations, and State or Local Governments.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 130,000.

Estimated Time Per Response: 2.2 hrs. Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 286,000.

Estimated Total Annual Cost: The cost to the government for this work is included in the total cost of the 1997 Economic Census, estimated to be \$224 million.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: April 18, 1996. Linda Engelmeier,

Acting Departmental Forms Clearance Officer, Office of Management and Organization.

[FR Doc. 96–10115 Filed 4–24–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–07–P

Foreign-Trade Zones Board [Docket 31–96]

Foreign-Trade Zone 98—Birmingham, AL; Application for Subzone Status, ZF Industries, Inc. (Automotive Axle Assemblies), Tuscaloosa, Alabama

An application has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) by the City of Birmingham, Alabama, grantee of FTZ 98, requesting special-purpose subzone status for the automotive axle assembly manufacturing plant of ZF Industries, Inc. (ZF) (subsidiary of ZF Friedrichshafen AG, Germany), located in Tuscaloosa, Alabama. The application was submitted pursuant to the provisions of the Foreign-Trade Zones Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), and the regulations of the Board (15 CFR Part 400). It was formally filed on April 16, 1996.

The new ZF plant (34 acres, 83,000 sq.ft.), currently under construction, is located at 1200 Commerce Drive within the Tuscaloosa County Airport Industrial Park, about 4 miles west of the City of Tuscaloosa. The facility (200 employees) will be used to produce front and rear axle assemblies for passenger vehicles manufactured at the Mercedes-Benz motor vehicle assembly plant in Tuscaloosa County, as well as for export. The application indicates that, at the outset, foreign-sourced parts and materials will comprise some 25 percent of the finished axle assemblies' material value, including: pinion sets, steering gears, tie rods, parking brake cables, and fasteners (duty rate range: 2.9-12.5%). Foreign (non-North American) parts and materials purchases are projected to decline to about 14 percent of the total in the medium term.

Zone procedures would exempt ZF from Customs duty payments on the foreign items used in production for export. On domestic shipments transferred in-bond to the Mercedes-Benz plant (Subzone 98A, Board Order 803, 61 FR 8237, 3–4–96), no duties would be paid on foreign-origin components of the axle assemblies until Mercedes enters the finished motor vehicles for domestic consumption, at which time, Mercedes could choose to apply the finished auto duty rate (2.5%).

Mercedes would pay no duties on its exports. For axle assemblies withdrawn for Customs entry, the company would be able to choose the axle duty rate (2.9%) for the foreign-origin fasteners noted above. The application indicates that the savings from zone procedures would help improve the plant's international competitiveness.

In accordance with the Board's regulations, a member of the FTZ Staff has been designated examiner to investigate the application and report to the Board.

Public comment on the application is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and three copies) shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is June 24, 1996. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period (to July 9, 1996).

A copy of the application and the accompanying exhibits will be available for public inspection at each of the following locations:

U.S. Department of Commerce District Office, Medical Forum Building, 7th Floor, 950 22nd Street North, Birmingham, AL 35203.

Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room 3716, 14th Street & Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

Dated: April 17, 1996.

John J. Da Ponte, Jr.,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–10110 Filed 4–25–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

International Trade Administration [A-351-806]

Silicon Metal from Brazil; Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; Time Limits

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of extension of time limits.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is extending the time limits of the preliminary and final results of the fourth administrative review of the antidumping duty order on silicon metal from Brazil. The review covers five manufacturers/exporters of the subject merchandise to the United States and the period July 1, 1994, through June 30, 1995.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 25, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Fred Baker or John Kugelman, Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482–5253.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Because it is not practicable to complete this review within the normal time frame, the Department is extending the time limits for completion of the preliminary results until July 29, 1996, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Trade and Tariff Act of 1930, as amended by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act of 1994. We will issue our final results for this review by December 5, 1996.

These extensions are in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. § 1675(a)(3)(A)).

Dated: April 17, 1996.
Joseph A. Spetrini,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Compliance.
[FR Doc. 96–10114 Filed 4–24–96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

[A-549-502]

Certain Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes from Thailand; Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of amended final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: On January 19, 1996, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the final results of its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain circular welded carbon steel pipes and tubes from Thailand (61 FR 1328). On February 2, 1996, Saha Thai Steel Pipe Co., Ltd. (Saha Thai), the sole respondent covered by this review, filed a timely allegation of clerical error regarding calculation of the cash deposit rate. Petitioners filed a timely reply to respondent's clerical error allegation on February 9, 1996. Upon review of these submissions, we have determined that the Department made a clerical error when it stated in the final results that "the countervailing duty review for the period January 1, 1993, through December 31, 1993, has not yet been completed." Id. at 1338. It is because of