purchasing the transcript should contact the court reporter directly. A copy of the court reporter's transcript will be docketed.

6. The FAA will consider all materials presented at the meeting by participants. Position papers and other handout material may be accepted at the discretion of the chairperson. Participants are requested to provide 10 copies of all materials to be presented, for distribution to the panel members. Enough copies should be provided for distribution to all conference participants.

7. Statements made by FAA participants at the meeting will not be taken as expressing final FAA positions.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on April 5, 1996.

Ronald T. Wojnar,

Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service, ANM-100. [FR Doc. 96-9250 Filed 4-12-96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

Situational Awareness for Safety Systems Requirements Team Meeting

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT. **ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: Situational Awareness for Safety (SAS) focuses on: increasing pilot situational awareness of self. others, and environment; establishing enabling standards, specifications, and technologies for Free Flight; and facilitating means and opportunities for affordable avionics. The SAS concept increases the pilot awareness of position, terrain, weather, and other information, through next-generation avionics. SAS promotes more efficient, safe, and free use of airspace. As a project, SAS teams the FAA critical players with industry to implement the SAS concept through certification of affordable avionics in all aircraft. DATES: The meeting will be held May 7-

8, from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the Holiday Inn, Annapolis.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Mark Cato, Crown Communications, Inc., 1133 21st Street NW Suite 300, Washington, DC 20036; telephone (202) 785–2600, extension 3020.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92– 463; 5 U.S.C. app. II), notice is hereby given of a meeting to solicit information from the aviation community concerning the standards and technical guidelines necessary to certify affordable avionics for Free Flight applications. The information is requested to assist the SAS Systems Requirement Team (SAS–SRT) in its deliberations with regard to a task assigned to SAS–SRT by the Federal Aviation Administration. Specifically the task is as follows:

Develop guidance, standards, and procedures that will: foster implementation of Situational Awareness for Safety (SAS) Systems; develop standards for the manufacture of equipment, hardware, software, and operational procedures; and coordinate validation of the SAS concept. This information exchange will contribute to an environment that will promote an efficient and safe National Airspace System.

Attendance is open to the interested public, but may be limited to the space available. An agenda and background material will be provided to all interested parties before the meeting. In addition, sign and oral interpretation can be made available at the meeting, as well as an assistive listening device, if requested 10 calendar days before the meeting. Arrangements may be made by contacting the meeting coordinator listed under the heading FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

Issued in Washington, DC, on April 8, 1996.

James I. McDaniel,

Program Manager, Situational Awareness for Safety.

[FR Doc. 96–9248 Filed 4–12–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

Notice of Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) Approvals and Disapprovals

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT. **ACTION:** Monthly Notice of PFC Approvals and Disapprovals. In March 1996, there were eight applications approved. Additionally, seven approved amendments to previously approved applications are listed.

SUMMARY: The FAA publishes a monthly notice, as appropriate, of PFC approvals and disapprovals under the provisions of 49 U.S.C. 40117 (Pub. L. 103–272) and Part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 158). This notice is published pursuant to paragraph d of § 158.29.

PFC Appications Approved

Public Agency: Manchester Airport Authority, Manchester, New Hampshire. Application Number: 96–02–U–00–

MHT.

Application type: Use PFC revenue.

PFC Level: \$3.00.

Total Net PFC Revenue: \$5,461,000. Charge Effective Date: January 1, 1993.

Estimated Charge Expiration Date: March 1, 1997.

Class of Air Carriers Not Required to Collect PFC's: No change from previous approval.

¹ Brief Description of Project Approved for Use: Part 150 noise mitigation. Decision Date: March 4, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Priscilla A. Scott, New England Region Airports Division, (617) 238–7614.

Public Agency: City of Bismark, North Dakota.

Application Number: 96–01–C–00– BIS.

Application Type: Impose and use a PFC.

PFC Level: \$3.00.

Total Net PFC Revenue Approved in This Application: \$336,388.

Estimated Charge Effective Date: July 1, 1996.

Estimated Charge Expiration Date: July 1, 1997.

Class of Air Carriers Not Required to Collect PFC's: Air Taxis filing FAA Form 1800–31.

Determination: Disapproved. The FAA has determined that the class of air carriers defined as air taxis filing FAA Form 1800–31 enplanes in excess of 1 percent of the total annual enplanements at Bismarck Municipal Airport. The FAA notes that the public agency consulted with all air carriers during the consultation process; therefore, the disapproval of this class will not adversely affect the adequacy of the consultation.

Brief Description of Projects Approved for Concurrent Authority To Impose and Use: Reconstruct general aviation and regional airline ramps, Airfield signage and replacement of rotating beacon, Part 107 security access control, Airfield signing and marking, Construct service roads, Runway rejuvenation and construct blast erosion protection, Airfield lighting and electrical improvements, and improve airport access control system, Snow removal equiment storage addition, Update airport layout plan and prepare utility maps, Environmental assessment for runway 3/21 improvements, Snow removal equipment acquisition, Apron reconstruction, and expansion and reconfigure Part 107.14 security system, Drainage improvements, Installation of security fencing and apron lighting, Electronic decelerometer, Acquisition of snow removal equipment, Master plan update, Plans and specifications for extension and widening of runway 3/21, PFC application preparation costs.

Decision Date: March 8, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Irene R. Porter, Bismarck Airports District Office, (701) 250–4358.

Public Agency: City of Rhinelander and County of Oneida, Rhinelander, Wisconsin.

Application Number: 96–03–C–00– RHI.

Application Type: Impose and use of a PFC.

PFC Level: \$3.00.

Total Net PFC Revenue Approved in This Application: \$332,000.

Estimated Charge Effective Date: June 1. 1996.

Estimated Charge Expiration Date: October 1, 2000.

Class of Air Carriers Not Required To Collect PFC's: Air Taxi/commercial operators operating under Part 135 using aircraft with less than 10 seats.

Determination: Approved. Based on information contained in the public agency's application, the FAA has determined that the proposed class accounts for less than 1 percent of the total annual enplanements at Rhinelander-Oneida County Airport.

Brief Description of Projects Approved for Concurrent Authority To Impose and Use: Airport snow removal vehicle (III), Airport master plan update, Interactive training equipment, PFC administration, Groove and mark runway 9/27.

Brief Description of Project Partially Approved for Only Collection Authority: Terminal building improvements.

Determination: Partially approved. This project contains administrative offices that are not eligible under the Airport Improvement Program; and therefore, not PFC eligible. The approved amount does not include any costs associated with the administration offices.

Decision Date: March 11, 1996. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Franklin D. Benson, Minneapolis Airports Districts Office, (612) 725–

4221. *Public Agency:* Greater Orlando Aviation Authority, Orlando, Florida.

Application Number: 96–04–C–00– MCO.

Application Type: Impose and use a PFC.

PFC Level: \$3.00.

Total Net PFC Revenue Approved in This Application: \$89,092,000.

Estimated Charge Effective Date: June 1, 1996.

Estimated Charge Expiration Date: December 1, 1998.

Class of Air Carriers Not Required To Collect PFC's: None.

Brief Description of Projects Approved for Collection and Use: Rehabilitation of automated guideway transit vehicles, Terminal and roadway signage, Modifications for Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) compliance, West ramp high mast lighting, Rehabilitation of northwest terminal support area ramp, Design of midcrossfield taxiway bridge expansion, Expansion of existing international facilities.

Brief Description of Project Approved for Only Collection: Design of airport road interchange and expansion—east and west.

Brief Description of Project Approved in Part for Only Collection: Construction of airport road interchange and expansion—east and west.

Determination: Partially approved. The total amount approved for PFC collection has been reduced to match the amount approved as alternative uses for PFC revenue.

Decision Date: March 21, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Pablo G. Affant, Orlando Airports District Office, (407) 648–6586.

Public Agency: City of Albuquerque Aviation Department, Albuquerque, New Mexico.

Application Number: 96–01–C–00– ABQ.

Application Types: Impose and use a PFC.

PFC Level: \$3.00.

Total Net PFC Revenue Approved in this Application: \$49,638,000.

Estimated Charge Effective Date: July 1, 1996.

Estimated Charge Expiration Date: July 1, 2001.

Class of Air Carriers Not Required to Collect PFC'S: Air taxi/commercial operators exclusively filing FAA Form 1800–31.

Determination: Approved. Based on information submitted in the public agency's application, the FAA has determined that the proposed class accounts for less than 1 percent of the total annual enplanements at Albuquerque International Support.

Brief Description of Project Approved for Collection and Use: Reconstruction of runway 8/26.

Decision Date: March 22, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ben Guttery, Southwest Region Airports Division, (817) 222–5614.

Public Agency: Columbus Municipal Airport Authority, Columbus, Ohio. Application Number: 95–04–C–00– CMH.

Application Type: Impose and use a PFC.

PFC Level: \$3.00.

Total Net PFC Revenue Approved: \$29,459,337.

Charge Effective Date: October 1, 1992.

Estimated Charge Expiration Date: November 1, 1996.

Class of Air Carriers Not Required To Collect PFC'S: No change from previous approvals.

Brief Description of Projects Approved for Use at Port Columbus International Airport (CMH): Wonderland acquisition/ relocation, Relocate taxiway B from taxiway A to C-3 (engineering), Southeast cargo apron, taxiway to runway 13/31, and tug road, Runway 5 easements, Relocate taxiway B from taxiway A to C-3 (construction), Maintenance runup pad, Southeast cargo apron (construction), Relocate taxiway B (phase II) (engineering), Relocate taxiway B (phase II) (construction), Štabilized shoulders runway 28L/10R, Stabilized shoulderrunway 28L/10R and runway 10R blast pad (construction), Relocate lights taxiway G, Replace runway 5/23 lighting cable, Communication and closed circuit television system, Electronic monitoring/airfield lighting (construction), Sawyer Road rehabilitation (engineering/ construction-east), Airfield guidance signs, Relocate taxiway D, construction runway 28L runup apron (engineering and construction), Master plan/Part 150 amendments, Ramp sweeper, Airfield fencing phase II, Relocate control room, Land acquisition/relocation—west side properties, Land acquisition/ relocation-Englewood Heights, Residential soundproofing-phase I, Terminal building modification, Gate 17 ramp expansion.

Brief Description of Project Approved for Use at Bolton Field: T-hanger apron and taxiway.

Brief Description of Partially Approved Projects for Use at Port Columbus International Airport (CMH): North concourse apron.

Determination: The apron overlay and expansion portion of this project is approved. Per paragraph 595(a) of FAA Order 5100.38A, Airport Improvement Program (AIP) Handbook, buildings owned by the public agency may be either relocated or demolished. The costs associated with the demolition of the building and the removal are eligible, minus any salvage value. For public agency-owned buildings which are to be relocated, the Federal share would be limited to the estimated costs to demolish and remove the building approved in the impose only application for the existing apron overlay. Therefore, the relocation of the triturator building and the FAA employee parking lot are only partially eligible.

Emergency preparedness equipment/ communications.

Determination: Partially approved. The rehabilitation of the airport command post vehicle and the purchase of an automated emergency notification system, information transaction equipment, portable light stands, and emergency radio equipment portions of this project are approved. The safety self-inspection vehicle, aircraft rescue and firefighting proximity suits, and the installation of security equipment including a turnstile at a security checkpoint and a lock and key system are not approved. No evidence of consultation with the air carriers on the purchase of additional equipment or construction of this project was provided in this application in accordance with sections 158.23(b), the requirement for a consultation meeting, and 158.25(b) (11) and (13), which requires a summary of consultation with air carriers and foreign air carriers operating at the airport and revised funding plan be included in an application for which the imposition of PFC funds for a project is requested.

North concourse expansion.

Determination: Partially approved. There are several elements included in the revised project description that are not approved for the imposition or use of PFC revenue. Per paragraph 595(a) of FAA Order 5100.38Å, buildings owned by the public agency may be either relocated or demolished. The costs associated with the demolition of the building and the removal are eligible, minus any salvage value. For public agency-owned buildings which are to be relocated, the Federal share would be limited to the estimated costs to demolish and remove the building approved in the impose only application for the existing apron overlay. Therefore, the relocation of the triturator building and the FAA

employee parking lot are only partially eligible. Also, the airline operations areas and office/support areas are ineligible areas whose costs must be removed from the project and paid for with local funds.

Terminal curb front improvements planning study.

Determination: Partially approved. The curbside improvement study is approved. However, the south ramp settlement study and the skycap and parking toll booth planning studies are not approved. No evidence of consultation with the air carriers on the two additional planning studies contained in this project was provided in this application in accordance with sections 158.23(b), the requirement for a consultation meeting, and 158.25(b) (11) and (13), which requires a summary of consultation with air carriers and foreign air carriers operating at the airport and revised funding plan be included in an application for which the imposition of PFC funds for a project is requested.

Brief Description of Disapproved Project: Ramp sweeper.

Determination: Disapproved. For equipment to be eligible under AIP and therefore PFC, it must be suitable for snow and ice control. The equipment purchased is not suitable for snow and ice control on airports and therefore not eligible.

Decision Date: March 27, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mary W. Jagiello, Detroit Airports District Office, (313) 487–7296.

Public Agency: City of Morgantown, West Virginia.

Application Number: 96–03–C–00– MGW.

Application Type: Impose and use a PFC.

PFC Level: \$2.00.

Total Approved Net PFC Revenue in This Application: \$18,450.

Estimated Charge Effective Date: July 1, 2000.

Estimated Charge Expiration Date: January 1, 2001.

Class of Air Carriers Not Required to Collect PFC'S: None.

Brief Description of Projects Approved for use: Construct facilities within the north terminal (phase III), Snow removal equipment.

Brief Description of Projects Approved for Collection and use: Construct stairwell within the north terminal, rehabilitate access road, ADA chairlift. Decision Date: March 27, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Elonza Turner, Beckley Airports Field Office, (304) 252–6216.

Public Agency: Houghton County Airport Committee, Hancock, Michigan.

Application Number: 96–04–C–00– CMX.

Application Type: Impose and use a PFC.

PFC Level: \$3.00.

Total Net PFC Revenue Approved in This Application: \$73,895.

Estimated Charge Effective Date: July 1, 1996.

Estimated Charge Expiration Date: January 1, 1998.

Class of Air Carriers Not Required to Collect PFC's: None.

Brief Description of Projects Approved for Collection and use: Rehabilitate airport rescue and firefighting track vehicle, Reimbursement of charges for PFC application preparation, Rehabilitate airport electrical vault, Airport boundary survey and monumentation and update existing Exhibit A property map.

Decision Date: March 29, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jon B. Gilbert, Detroit Airports District Office, (313) 487–7281.

AMENDMENTS TO PFC APPROVALS:

Amendment No. City, State	Amendment approved date	Amended ap- proved net PFC revenue	Previous ap- proved net PFC revenue	Previous estimated charge exp. date	Amended estimated charge exp. date
94–01–C–01–DFW, Dallas, TX	3/12/96	\$132,000,000 342,555 5,702,523 17,956,220 735,000 517,324,470	\$115,000,000 572,609 5,461,000 30,976,072 470,000 481,806,170	4/1/98 3/1/97 8/1/05	6/1/96 10/1/97 9/1/97 8/1/05 10/1/99 5/1/99
92-01-I-03-CMH Columbus, OH	3/20/96	7,741,114	6,601,427	1/1/96	1/1/96

Issued in Washington, D.C. on April 8, 1996.

Donna P. Taylor,

Manager, Passenger Facility Charge Branch. [FR Doc. 96–9249 Filed 4–12–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

Maritime Administration

Voluntary Intermodal Sealift Agreement (VISA) (60 FR 54144, October 19, 1995)

AGENCY: Maritime Administration, DOT. **ACTION:** Notice of meeting of Joint Planning Advisory Group.

On March 26–29, 1996, the Maritime Administration and the United States Transportation Command, Co-Chairs of the Joint Planning Advisory Group (Group), hosted a meeting of the Group to present contingency scenarios involving sealift requirements. The meeting was closed pursuant to 44 CFR 332.5(c).

CONTACT PERSON FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION: James E. Caponiti, Associate Administrator for National Security, (202) 366–2323.

By Order of the Maritime Administrator. Dated: April 10, 1996.

Joel C. Richard,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–9292 Filed 4–12–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–81–P

Research and Special Programs Administration

[Docket PS-135; Notice 3]

Proposed Collection: Comment Request

AGENCY: Research and Special Programs Administration (RSPA), DOT. **ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: This notice requests public participation in the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approval process regarding an RSPA new collection of information. RSPA has published a pipeline safety regulation that requires gas service line operators who do not maintain certain customer piping to notify the customers of the need to maintain the piping. RSPA intends to request OMB approval of this information collection under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 and 5 CFR Part 1320.

DATES: Comments on this notice must be received on or before June 14, 1996 to be assured of consideration.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to send comments in duplicate to the Dockets Unit, Room 8421, Research and Special Programs Administration, U.S. Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh St., S.W. Washington, D.C. 20590. Please identify the docket and notice numbers shown in the heading of this notice.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Marvin Fell, (202) 366–1640, to ask questions about this notice; or the Dockets Unit, (202) 366–4453, to request copies of information in the docket.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Customer-Owned Service Lines. *Type of Request:* New information collection.

Abstract: An RSPA regulation (49 CFR 192.16) requires operators of gas service lines who do not maintain buried customer piping up to building walls or certain other locations to notify their customers of the need to maintain that piping. Congress directed DOT to take this action in view of service line accidents. By advising customers of the need to maintain their buried gas piping, the notices may reduce the risk of further accidents.

The regulation requires each operator to notify each customer not later than August 14, 1995, or 90 days after the customer first receives gas at a particular location, whichever is later. However, operators of master meter systems may continuously post a general notice in a prominent location frequented by customers. In addition, each operator must make the following records available for inspection by RSPA or a state agency participating under 49 U.S.C. 60105 or 60106: (1) a copy of the notice currently in use; and (2) evidence that notices have been sent to customers within the previous 3 years.

Estimate of Burden: Minimal. *Respondents:* Gas transmission and distribution operators.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 1,590.

Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent: 3,460.

Éstimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents: Minimal.

More information about this information collection can be found in the Final Rule document that established the collection (60 FR 41821; August 14, 1995) and the accompanying final regulatory evaluation. These documents can be reviewed at the Dockets Unit, Room 8421, Research and Special Programs Administration, U.S. Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh St., S.W. Washington, D.C.

Comments are invited on: (a) the need for the proposed collection of

information for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques.

All timely written comments to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for OMB approval. All comments will also be available to the public in the docket.

Issued in Washington, DC on April 9, 1996. Richard B. Felder,

Associate Administrator for Pipeline Safety. [FR Doc. 96–9262 Filed 4–12–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–60–P

Surface Transportation Board

[STB Finance Docket No. 32798]

Economic Development Rail II Corporation—Acquisition Exemption— Lines of Consolidated Rail Corporation

AGENCY: Surface Transportation Board.¹ **ACTION:** Notice of exemption.

SUMMARY: The Board, under 49 U.S.C. 10502, exempts from the prior approval requirements of 49 U.S.C. 10902, the acquisition of a 5-mile line of railroad in Warren Township and Holland Township, Trumbull County, OH, by Economic Development Rail II Corporation, a Class III railroad. DATES: The exemption will be effective May 15, 1996. Petitions to stay must be filed by April 25, 1996. Petitions to reopen must be filed by May 6, 1996. ADDRESSES: Send pleadings, referring to STB Finance Docket No. 32798 to: (1) Surface Transportation Board, Office of the Secretary, Case Control Branch, 1201 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20423; and (2) petitioner's representative: Robert A. Wimbish, Rea, Cross & Auchincloss, Suite 420, 1920 N Street NW., Washington, DC 20036.

¹The ICC Termination Act of 1995, Pub. L. No. 104–88, 109 Stat. 803, which was enacted on December 29, 1995, and took effect on January 1, 1996, abolished the Interstate Commerce Commission (ICC) and transferred certain functions and proceedings to the Surface Transportation Board (Board). This notice relates to functions that are subject to Board jurisdiction pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 10902.