

M1.8 to Post Mile R6.9, Funding and COE Section 404 Permit Issuance, Tuolumne County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding cumulative impacts of the project on the environment and development plans along the alignment, water quality, and hazardous waste found at sites within the corridor.

ERP No. D-FRC-A08030-00 Rating EU2, Promoting Wholesale Competition through Open Access Non-Discriminatory Transmission Service by Public Utilities (RM95-8-000) and Recovery of Stranded Costs by Public Utilities and Transmitting Utilities (Docket No. RM-94-7-001), Proposed Rulemaking.

Summary: EPA raised environmental concerns over the potential for the proposed rule to significantly increase air pollution, the need for additional information to better assess the potential impacts, the need for further analysis and consideration of mitigation options, and the absence of an appropriate mitigation mechanism to prevent the pollution increases. EPA believed that by working with FERC, the Department of Energy and other agencies, practical mitigation steps can be developed.

ERP No. D-USA-K11065-CA Rating EC2, Miramar Naval Air Station (NAS) Realignment or Conversion to Miramar Marine Corps Air Station, Implementation, San Diego, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding the loss of vernal pools and endangered species habitat, as well as noise analysis.

ERP No. DA-FTA-K51035-CA Rating EC2, Bay Area Rapid Transit District (BART) Transportation Improvements, San Francisco to San Francisco International Airport Extension, Alternative VI Aerial Design Option, Approval, Funding, COE Section 404 and Possible FHWA Encroachment Permits Issuance, San Mateo County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns with the project's possible impacts to wetland, endangered species, and minority neighborhoods.

Final EIS's

ERP No. F-AFS-K65154-CA Mendocine National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan, Implementation, Colusa, Glenn, Lake, Mendocino, Tehama and Trinity Counties, CA.

Summary: Review of the final EIS was not deemed necessary. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F-AFS-K65157-CA Paper Reforestation and Resource Recovery Project, Implementation, Stanislaus National Forest, Mi-Wok Ranger District, Tuolumne County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding level of aerial spraying of hexazinone.

ERP No. F-AFS-L65172-ID Idaho Panhandle National Forests Noxious Weed Management Projects, Implementation, Bonners Ferry Ranger District, Boundary County, ID.

Summary: EPA had no objection to the action as proposed. ERP No. F-FHW-E40740-NC US 1 Improvements, Secondary Road 1853 at Lakeview to Secondary Road 1180 south of Sanford, Funding and COE Section 404 Permit Issuance, Lee and Moore Counties, NC.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns with the US 1 Highway Improvement Project primarily because of insufficient commitment to wetlands mitigation.

ERP No. F-FHW-K40134-CA CA-180 Transportation Project, Construction, between Temperance Avenue and Cove Road, Funding and COE Section 404 Permit, Fresno County, CA.

Summary: EPA's environmental concerns with the draft EIS were adequately addressed in the FEIS. Also, EPA recommended that FHWA continue their coordination with the other interested state and local agencies.

ERP No. F-MMS-G02005-00 1996 Central and Western Gulf of Mexico Outer Continental Shelf (OSC) Oil and Gas Lease Sales No. 157 (March 1996) and No. 161 (August 1996), Lease Offerings, Offshore coastal counties and parishes of AL, MS, LA and TX.

Summary: EPA had no objections to the selection of the preferred alternative.

ERP No. F-NOA-A29004-00 Programmatic EIS—Coastal Nonpoint Pollution Control Program, Implementation, Approval for 29 States and Territories Coastal Nonpoint Program.

Summary: Review of the Final EIS was not deemed necessary. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F-NOA-A91061-00 Atlantic Mackerel, Squid and Butterfish Fisheries, Fishery Management Plan, Amendment No. 5, Implementation, Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) off the US Atlantic Coast.

Summary: EPA had no objections to the proposed program.

ERP No. F-UAF-K11061-GU Andersen Air Force Base (AFB) Solid Waste Management Facility, Construction, Island of Guam, GU.

Summary: EPA continued to express environmental concerns regarding

stability and monitorability of the landfill site and reiterated the need for additional information regarding monitoring, air emissions, pretreatment and runoff controls before the Air Force signs a ROD.

ERP No. F-USN-G11028-TX Mine Warfare Center of Excellence (MWCE) Establishment, Construction and Operations, Magnitic Silencing Facility (MSF), Aviation Mine Count Measures (AMCM) and Sled Facility, Possible NPDES Permit, COE Section 10 and 404 Permits, Corpus Christi Bay Area, TX.

Summary: EPA had no objection to the selection of the preferred alternative described in the Final EIS.

ERP No. F-USN-K11062-CA San Diego Homeporting Facilities Construction and Operation to Support Berthing One NIMITZ Class Aircraft Carrier, Implementation, San Diego County, CA.

Summary: EPA had no objection to the final EIS.

ERP No. FR-UAF-B11015-ME Loring Air Force Base (AFB) Disposal and Reuse, Implementation, Aroostook County, ME.

Summary: EPA environmental concerns have been resolved satisfactorily in the 1995 revised documents.

Other

ERP No. LF-NPS-L61204-OR.

Adoption: Wallowa River Wild and Scenic River Study from the Confluence of the Minam and Wallowa Rivers to the Confluence of the Wallowa River and the Wild and Scenic Grande Ronde River for Designation or Nondesignation into the National Wild and Scenic River System, Union and Wallowa Counties, OR.

Summary: Review of the final EIS has been completed and the project found to be satisfactory. EPA provided no formal written comments. EPA had no objection to the preferred alternative as described in the EIS.

Dated: March 26, 1996.

William D. Dickerson,
Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 96-7754 Filed 3-28-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

[PP 6F4650/PF646; FRL-5357-3]

Trichoderma harzianum Rifai Strain KRL-AG2; Notice of filing

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of filing.

SUMMARY: EPA has received a petition (PP 6F4650) for a revision of the current

exemption from the requirement of tolerances for *Trichoderma harzianum* Rifai strain KRL-AG2 (40 CFR 180.1102) to include all raw agricultural commodities. The request was filed by TGT Inc., 122 North Genesee Street, Geneva, N.Y. 14456.

DATES: Written comments, identified by the docket control number [PP 6F4650/PF646], must be submitted to EPA by April 29, 1996.

ADDRESSES: By mail, submit written comments to: Public Response and Program Resources Branch, Field Operations Division (7506C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460. Comments and data may also be submitted electronically by sending electronic mail (e-mail) to: opp-docket@epamail.epa.gov. Electronic comments must be submitted as an ASCII file avoiding the use of special characters and any form of encryption. Comments and data will also be accepted on disks in WordPerfect 5.1 file format or ASCII file format. All comments and data in electronic form must be identified by docket number [PP 6F4650/PF646]. No CBI should be submitted through e-mail. Electronic comments on this notice of filing may be filed online at many Federal Depository Libraries. Additional information on electronic submissions can be found in the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section of this document.

Information submitted as a comment concerning this document may be claimed confidential by marking any part or all of that information as "Confidential Business Information" (CBI). Information so marked will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 CFR part 2. A copy of the comment that does not contain CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public record. Information not marked confidential may be disclosed publicly by EPA without prior notice. All written comments will be available for public inspection in Rm. 1132 at the address given above, from 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Shanaz Bacchus, Biopesticides and Pollution Prevention Division (7501W), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St., SW., Washington DC 20460. Office location and telephone number: 5th Floor, CS #1, 2805 Jefferson Davis Hwy., Arlington, VA, 703-308-8097; e-

mail address:

bacchus.shanaz@epamail.epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

PP 6F4650. This notice announces that EPA has received from TGT Inc., 122 North Genesee Street, Geneva, N.Y. 14456, a notice of filing under section 408 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 346a) for pesticide petition (PP) 6F4650 to amend 40 CFR part 180 to revise the current exemption from the requirement of tolerances for the microbial pesticide *Trichoderma harzianum* Rifai strain KRL-AG2. The current exemption from the requirement of a tolerance (40 CFR 180.1102) is established for residues of this biofungicide in or on beans (green and dry), cabbage, corn (field and sweet), cotton, cucumbers, peanuts, potatoes, sorghum, soybeans, sugar beets, and tomatoes when used as a fungicide for the treatment of seeds of these crops in accordance with good agricultural practices.

This petition requests that the current exemption from tolerances be revised to include all raw agricultural commodities which have been sprayed or otherwise treated with *Trichoderma harzianum* Rifai strain KRL-AG2. The pesticide is to be applied as seed treatment, for pot filling, as a dip for cuttings and transplants, as an in-furrow spray, and as a sprayable formulation. Rates of application vary from 4 to 8 ounces per hundredweight of seed, and up to 10 pounds per acre (in furrow) for row crops at planting. Field and greenhouse crops may be sprayed at rates of 1 pound per acre and up to 5 applications per year.

A record has been established for this notice of filing under docket number [PP 6F4650/PF646] (including comments and data submitted electronically as described below). A public version of this record, including printed, paper versions of electronic comments, which does not include any information claimed as CBI, is available for inspection from 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The public record is located in Room 1132 of the Public Response and Program Resources Branch, Field Operations Division (7506C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, Crystal Mall #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Hwy., Arlington, VA.

Electronic comments can be sent directly to EPA at: opp-docket@epamail.epa.gov

Electronic comments must be submitted as an ASCII file avoiding the use of special characters and any form of encryption.

The official record for this notice of filing, as well as the public version, as described above will be kept in paper form. Accordingly, EPA will transfer all comments received electronically into printed, paper form as they are received and will place the paper copies in the official rulemaking record which will also include all comments submitted directly in writing. The official record is the paper record maintained at the address in "ADDRESSES" at the beginning of this document.

List of Subjects

Environmental Protection, Agricultural commodities, Pesticides and Pests, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: March 19, 1996.

Janet L. Anderson

Acting Director, Biopesticides and Pollution Prevention Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.

[FR Doc. 96-7743 Filed 3-28-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-F

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notice of Public Information Collections being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission; Comments Requested

March 25, 1996.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimates; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Written comments should be submitted on or before May 28, 1996. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.