- Phillip Watson, 5525 MacArthur Boulevard #450, Irving, TX 75038. Provided Technical Assistance Services to: Starr County Housing Authority, Rio Grande, TX. Amount: 11195
- Severin Sorensen, P.O. Box 34469, Bethesda, MD 20813–1072. Provided Technical Assistance Services to: Housing Authority of the Town of Laurinburg, Laurinburg, NC. Amount: 10000
- Phillip Watson, 5525 MacArthur Boulevard #450, Irving, TX 75038. Provided Technical Assistance Services to: Housing Authority of the City of Donna, Donna, TX. Amount: 11493
- William Gailliard, 1120 Perry Hill Road, Montgomery, AL 36109. Provided Technical Assistance Services to: Gibbs Village, Montgomery, AL. Amount: 10135
- Philip Fairweather, 6924 La Cienega Blvd, Ste 2, Inglewood, CA 90302. Provided Technical Assistance Services to: Albany Housing Authority, Albany, NY. Amount: 13610
- Phillip Watson, 5525 MacArthur Boulevard #450, Irving, TX 75038. Provided Technical Assistance Services to: Housing Authority of the City of Port Isabel, Port Isabel, TX. Amount: 11634
- Carol Deemer, 6700 South Shore Dr. #18A, Chicago, IL 60649. Provided Technical Assistance Services to: Jackson County Housing Authority, Murphysboro, IL. Amount: 11974
- Sheila Wallce, 714 Lakeside Dr., Carriere, MS. Provided Technical Assistance Services to: Picayune Housing Authority, Picayune, MS. Amount: 8003
- Paul Turner, 410 Castello Road, Lafayette, CA 94549. Provided Technical Assistance Services to: Yolo County Housing Authority, Woodland, CA. Amount: 13870
- Paul Tanner, 5618 Shorewood Rd., Jacksonville, FL 32210. Provided Technical Assistance Services to: Chipley Housing Authority, Chiley, FL. Amount: 9314
- Gwendolyn Edwards, P.O. Box 2932, Atlanta, GA 30301. Provided Technical Assistance Services to: Ceader Park, Montgomery, AL. Amount: 7335
- Michael Norman, 4060 Peachtree Rd NE B181, Atlanta, GA 30360. Provided Technical Assistance Services to: Smiley Court Resident Organization, Montgomery, AL. Amount: 9082
- Marlene Johnson, 2805 Dawson Street #202, Anchorage, AK 99503. Provided Technical Assistance Services to: Clarks Point Resident Organization, Clarks Point, AK. Amount: 14318
- Marlene Johnson, 2805 Dawson Street #202, Anchorage, AK 99503. Provided Technical Assistance Services to: Ekwok Resident Organization, Ekwok, AK. Amount: 15406
- James Kinkead, 2371 Henry Clower Blvd, Ste. C, Snellville, GA 30278. Provided Technical Assistance Services to: Rowan County Housing Authority, Salisbury, NC. Amount: 10936
- Herbert Carter, P.O. Box 215, Raleigh, NC 27702–0215. Provided Technical Assistance Services to: Housing Authority of the City of Bainbridge, Bainbridge, GA. Amount: 9874
- Gary Cordner, 410 Stratton Building, Richmond, KY 40475. Provided Technical

- Assistance Services to: Housing Authority of Cumberland, Cumberland, KY. Amount: 6711
- Gwendolyn Shepherd, GMSS Learning Services, Port Orchard, WA 98366–7645. Provided Technical Assistance Services to: Housing Authority of Clackamas County, Oregon City, OR. Amount: 10000
- Paul Tanner, 5618 Shorewood Rd., Jacksonville, FL 32210. Provided Technical Assistance Services to: Union County Housing Authority, Lake Butler, FL. Amount: 8227
- James Godfrey, P.O. Box 2470, Hot Springs, AR 71914. Provided Technical Assistance Services to: Rome Housing Authority, Rome, GA. Amount: 10824
- William Pammer, 8904 Beeler Dr., Tampa, FL 33626. Provided Technical Assistance Services to: Miller Plaza Resident Council, Las Vegas, NV. Amount: 11855
- Severin Sorensen, P.O. Box 34469, Bethesda, MD 20813–1072. Provided Technical Assistance Services to: Alachua County Housing Authority, Gainesville, FL. Amount: 11920
- Joseph Alex, P.O. Box 210546, Montgomery, AL 36121. Provided Technical Assistance Services to: Uniontown Housing Tenant Association, Uniontown, AL. Amount: 10384
- Severin Sorensen, P.O. Box 34469, Bethesda, MD 20813–1072. Provided Technical Assistance Services to: New Bedford Housing Authority, New Bedford, MA. Amount: 12757
- Luis Ortiz, Chile #7 St. Vista Verde, Vega Baja, PR 00763. Provided Technical Assistance Services to: Davila Freyetes Resident Council, Barceloneta, PR. Amount: 7825
- Abraham Williams, 804 26th Avenue, Phenix City, AL 36869. Provided Technical Assistance Services to: City of Evergreen Housing Authority, Evergreen, AL. Amount: 5478
- Sylvia Roberts, 500 South Florida Ave Ste. 600, Lakeland, FL 33804–0183. Provided Technical Assistance Services to: Winter Haven Housing Authority, Winter Haven, FL. Amount: 5928
- Herman Wrice, Mantua Against Drugs, Philadelphia, PA 19104. Provided Technical Assistance Services to: Pasco County Housing Authority, Dade City, FL. Amount: 7689
- Philip Fairweather, 6924 La Cienega Blvd, Ste 2, Inglewood, CA 90302. Provided Technical Assistance Services to: The Housing Authority of the City of Atlanta, Atlanta, GA. Amount: 13860
- Percy Dace, P.O. Box 23556, Belleville, IL 62223. Provided Technical Assistance Services to: Springfield Housing Authority, Springfield, IL. Amount: 11000
- Gary Davis, 620 Alum Creek Drive, Columbus, OH 43205. Provided Technical Assistance Services to: The Housing Authority of Martin, Martin, KY. Amount: 5282
- Isaac Montoya, 3104 Edloe St. #330, Houston, TX 77027–6022. Provided Technical Assistance Services to: Rayville Housing Authority, Rayville, LA. Amount: 9604
- John Campbell, 319 SW Washington St., #802, Portland, OR 97204. Provided

- Technical Assistance Services to: Housing Authority of the City of Salem, Salem, OR. Amount: 17481
- Carlos Garcia, Skills Training Inc, Grapevine, TX 76051. Provided Technical Assistance Services to: Housing Authority of the City of Beaumont, Beaumont, TX. Amount: 6279
- Robert Milan, P.O. Box 214, Lawton, OK 73502. Provided Technical Assistance Services to: Osage Indian Resident Council Association, Skiatook, OK. Amount: 10500
- Mary Weathers, 9830 Willow Suite 4B, Kansas City, MO 64134. Provided Technical Assistance Services to: The Housing Authority for the City of Dallas, Dallas, TX. Amount: 7466

[FR Doc. 96–7330 Filed 3–26–96; 8: 45 am] BILLING CODE 4210–33–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

Notice of Availability of a Technical/ Agency Draft Recovery Plan for the Mitchell's Satyr Butterfly (Neonympha mitchellii mitchellii) for Review and Comment

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service,

Interior.

ACTION: Notice of document availability.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service announces the availability for public review of a technical/agency draft recovery plan for the Mitchell's satyr butterfly (*Neonympha mitchellii mitchellii*). It occurs on private and public lands in southeastern Michigan and northern Indiana. The Service solicits review and comment from the public on this draft plan.

DATES: Comments on the technical/ agency draft recovery plan must be receive on or before May 28, 1996, to receive consideration by the Service. **ADDRESSES:** Persons wishing to review the draft recovery plan may obtain a copy by contacting Charles M. Wooley, Field Supervisor, East Lansing Field Office, 2651 Coolidge Road, East Lansing, Michigan 48823-6316, telephone (517) 351-2555. Comments and materials received are available for public inspection by appointment during normal business hours at the above address. Comments on the plan should be addressed to Mark Hodgkins (see ADDRESSES)

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mark Hodgkins at the above address, or telephone (517) 351–6289.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Restoring an endangered or threatened animal or plant to the point

where it is again a secure, selfsustaining member of its ecosystem is a primary goal of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's (Service) endangered species program. To help guide the recovery effort, the Service is working to prepare recovery plans for most of the listed species native to the United States. Recovery plans describe actions considered necessary for conservation of the species, establish criteria for the recovery levels to reclassify to threatened or delist them, and estimate time and cost to implement the recovery measures needed. The Service revises existing recovery plans, as needed, to reflect important new biological information, significant changes in a species' status, or the accomplishment of tasks identified in the original plan.

The Endangered Species Ăct of 1973 (Act), as amended (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.) requires the development of recovery plans for listed species unless such a plan would not promote the conservation of a particular species. Section 4(f) of the Act, as amended in 1988, requires that public notice and an opportunity for public review and comment be provided during recovery plan development. The Service will consider all information presented during a public comment period prior to approval of each new or revised recovery plan. The Service and other Federal agencies will also take these comments into account in the course of implementing approved recovery plans.

The document submitted for review is the draft Mitchell's Satyr Butterfly (Neonympha mitchellii mitchellii) Recovery Plan. Of the 30+ historic populations known, only 11 extant, isolated populations remain in southwestern Michigan and one in northern Indiana. The species is considered extirpated from Ohio, New Jersey, and Maryland (if it actually occurred in that state).

The Mitchell's satyr butterfly was emergency listed as endangered on June 25, 1991, due to a perceived threat posed by overcollection. On May 20, 1992, the Mitchell's satyr butterfly received long-term protection through the normal listing process. The literature reflects some variability in the description of Mitchell's satyr habitat. Known habitats are all peatlands but range along a continuum from prairie/ bog fen to meadow/swamp. However, a constant attribute in all historical and active habitats is a herbaceous community which is dominated by sedges, usually Carex stricta, with scattered deciduous and/or coniferous shrubs, most often tamaracks, or red cedar. Mitchell's satyr habitat is most easily characterized as a sedgedominated fen community. The greatest threat to *N. m. mitchellii* is continued loss of habitat due to development and fen alteration leading to disruption of ecological processes which create and maintain habitat.

The primary objective of this draft recovery plan is to protect an adequate number of Mitchell's satyr butterfly sites to ensure long-term viability of the species in the wild. Conditions that must be met to reclassify the Mitchell's satyr butterfly from endangered to threatened status include protection of a minimum of 16 geographically distinct, self-sustaining populations established or discovered range wide. Delisting will be considered when 25 geographically distinct, self-sustaining populations are established or discovered range wide for five consecutive years following reclassification. Also, a minimum of 15 of these sites would need the establishment of permanent protection with long-term management programs requiring some intervention.

Site protection will be accomplished through negotiating cooperative agreements and conservation easements with land owners and managers, acquiring lands from willing sellers, and using existing legislation to protect the Mitchell's satyr and their habitat. Other recovery activities will include searching for additional populations, monitoring population levels and habitat conditions, managing habitat as needed, conducting necessary studies, and conducting a general information program for the public.

The draft recovery plan is available for technical/agency review. After consideration of comments received during the review period, the recovery plan will be submitted to the Regional Director, Region 3, for final approval.

Public Comments Solicited

The Service solicits written comments on the recovery plan described. All comments received by the date specified above will be considered prior to approval of the recovery plan.

Authority

The authority for this action is Section 4(f) of the Endangered Species Act, 16 U.S.C. 1533(f).

Dated: March 20, 1996.

Mamie A. Parker,

Acting Assistant Regional Director, Ecological Services.

[FR Doc. 96–7373 Filed 3–26–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–55–M

Geological Survey

Federal Geographic Data Committee (FGDC); Public Meeting of the FGDC Facilities Working Group

AGENCY: Geological Survey, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of two meetings.

SUMMARY: This notice is to invite public participation in two meetings of the FGDC Facilities Working Group. The major topics for these meetings are: the standardization of definitions for Facility and Installation; development of a Facility/Installation ID standard; and development of standards for utility, building, and environmental hazard geospatial data.

TIME AND PLACE: 8 April 1996, from 1:00 p.m. until 4:00 p.m., and 13 May 1996, from 1:00 p.m. until 4:00 p.m. The meetings will be held at Headquarters U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, in room 8222D of the Pulaski Building, 20 Massachusetts Avenue, NW., Washington, DC. The Pulaski Building is located just a few blocks west of Union Station.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jennifer Fox, FGDC Secretariat, U.S. Geological Survey, 590 National Center, 12201 Sunrise Valley Drive, Reston, Virginia 22092; telephone (703) 648– 5514; facsimile (703) 648–5755; Internet "gdc@usgs.gov".

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FGDC is a committee of Federal Agencies engaged in geospatial activities. The FGDC Facilities Working Group specifically focuses on geospatial data issues related to facilities and facility management. A facility is an entity with location, deliberately established as a site for designated activities. A facility database might describe a factory, a military base, a college, a hospital, a power plant, a fishery, a national park, an office building, a space command center, or a prison. The database for a complex facility may describe multiple functions or missions, multiple buildings, or even a county, town, or city. The objectives of the Working Group are to: promote standards of accuracy and currentness in facilities data that are financed in whole or in part by Federal funds; exchange information on technological improvements for collecting facilities data; encourage the Federal and non-Federal communities to identify and adopt standards and specifications for facilities data; and promote the sharing of facilities data among Federal and non-Federal organizations.