Development name	Application con- struction start date	Amendment con- struction start date <sup>1</sup>	Amended on-line date
Madison	1995 1998 1996 Subject to additional study.	2001	Late 2002. Late 2002. 2010 None at this time.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> These dates assume that a new license will be issued in the last quarter of 1996.

Amendment 5: Montana Power's fifth amendment to the license application includes the revisions and material listed below.

- (1) Throughout the application, Montana Power refers to the operating level of Cochrane Reservoir as 3,115.0 feet. This amendment is to change all references to the operating level of Cochrane Reservoir from 3,115.0 feet to 3,116.5.
- (2) Montana Power submitted at Madison Thermal Mitigation Plan to the Commission on June 30, 1995. This amendment includes a revised Final Madison Thermal Mitigation Plan.
- (3) In its August 21, 1995, filing with the Commission, Montana Power stated that it would submit the Comprehensive Recreation Plan Executive Summary upon its completion. This amendment includes the Comprehensive Recreation Plan Executive Summary.
- (4) In its August 21, 1995, filing with the Commission, Montana Power stated that the 1995 Madison River temperature and meteorological field data would be available in November. This amendment includes the 1995 temperature and meteorological data.
- (5) Since filing the final application, Montana Power has completed some of the proposed enhancement measures in Exhibit E, and some of the cost estimates of enhancements have been updated. This amendment includes revised Exhibit E tables that depict these changes in cost estimates and summarize the funds Montana Power has spent on various enhancement measures since filing the application in 1992.
- (6) In its August 21, 1995, filing with the Commission, Montana Power provided updated Benefit/Cost Work Papers for all the Missouri-Madison developments based on its 1995 *Electric Integrated Least Cost Resources Plan* (ILCP). This amendment includes newly updated and revised Benefit/Cost Work Papers.
- I. Available Location of Application: A copy of the application, as amended and supplemented, is available for inspection and reproduction at the Commission's Public Reference and Files Maintenance Branch, located at

888 1st Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, or by calling (202) 208–1371. A copy is also available for inspection and reproduction at Montana Power Company, 40 East Broadway, Butte, MT 59701 or by calling (406) 723–5454.

m. Refiling of comments on the original application or motions to intervene in this docket is not necessary. This notice supplements the notice issued April 6, 1995, for Montana Power Company's Project No. 2188–030. Comments on the amendments to the license application should be filed by May 1, 1996.

- n. Montana Power's responses to comments on the amendments to the license application should be filed by June 1, 1996.
- o. Filing and Service of Responsive Documents—Any filings must bear in all capital letters and title "COMMENTS,"

"RECOMMENDATIONS FOR TERMS AND CONDITIONS," or "PROTEST", "MOTION TO INTERVENE," as applicable, and the project number of the particular application to which the filing is in response. Any of these documents must be filed by providing the original and the number of copies required by the Commission's regulations to: The Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 1st Street, NE., Washington, DC 20436. An additional copy must be sent to: the Director, Division of Project Review, Office of Hydropower Licensing, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, at the above address. A copy of any notice of intent, competing application, or motion to intervene must also be served upon each representative of the applicant specified in the particular application.

p. This notice also consists of the following standard paragraph: B.

B. Comments, Protests, or Motions to Intervene—Anyone may submit comments, a protest, or a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of Rules and Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.210, .211, .214. In determining the appropriate action to take, the Commission will consider all protests or other comments filed, but only those who file a motion to

intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules may become a party to the proceeding. Any comments, protests, or motions to intervene must be received on or before the specified comment date for the particular application.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–6767 Filed 3–20–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[Docket No. CP96-235-000, et al.]

#### Southern Natural Gas Company, et al.; Natural Gas Certificate Filings

March 14, 1996.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. Southern Natural Gas Company [Docket No. CP96–235–000]

Take notice that on March 6, 1996, Southern Natural Gas Company (Southern), P.O. Box 2563, Birmingham, Alabama 35202-2563, filed in Docket No. CP96-235-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205 and 157.212) for authorization to construct and operate a new natural gas delivery point located in Etowah County, Alabama under Southern's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-406-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Southern proposes to construct and operate a new delivery point consisting of two 6-inch turbine meters and other appurtenant facilities for DeKalb-Cherokee County Gas District (DeKalb-Cherokee). Southern states that the new facilities would cost approximately \$307,700 and DeKalb-Cherokee would reimburse Southern for these costs. Southern adds that DeKalb-Cherokee would construct, own and operate, as part of its natural gas distribution system, 58 miles of 10 or 12 inch diameter pipeline extending from the outlet of the proposed meter station to its existing distribution system.

Southern states that DeKalb-Cherokee does not propose to add any additional transportation demand to its firm service agreements as a result of the addition of the delivery point. Southern asserts that DeKalb-Cherokee has elected to assign a Maximum Daily Delivery Quantity of 5,657 Mcf of gas per day from its existing delivery point to the proposed new delivery point. Southern estimates that average annual gas deliveries at the new delivery point would be 1,095,000 Mcf. Southern mentions that the proposed facilities will have no adverse effect on its ability to provide its peak day or firm deliveries.

Comment date: April 29, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

### 2. Northwest Pipeline Corporation

[Docket No. CP96-236-000]

Take notice that on March 7, 1996, Northwest Pipeline Corporation (Northwest), 295 Chipeta Way, Salt Lake City, Utah 84158, filed in Docket No. CP96-236-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.216 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.216) for authorization to abandon certain facilities and construct and operate replacement facilities under Northwest's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-433-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Northwest proposes to abandon certain undersized facilities and construct and operate replacement facilities in order to provide firm service to Washington Water Power Company at a cost of \$260,880.

Comment date: April 29, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

## 3. Williams Natural Gas Company

[Docket No. CP96-240-000]

Take notice that on March 8, 1996, Williams Natural Gas Company (WNG), P.O. Box 3288, Tulsa, Oklahoma 74101, filed in Docket No. CP96-240-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.212) for authorization to utilize facilities originally installed for transportation of natural gas under Section 311 of the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978 (NGPA) for purposes other than NGPA Section 311 transportation under WNG's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82 479-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the

Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

WNG proposes to utilize the NGPA Section 311 facilities originally installed for transportation of natural gas to Tartan Energy Company (Tartan) located in Greene County, Missouri for deliveries of gas other than NGPA Section 311 transportation. The facilities consist of a dual 4-inch meter run, regulator and electronic flow measurement equipment. WNG states that the cost to construct the facilities was \$105,070. WNG states that the authorization requested would allow Tartan additional receipt point flexibility in the future.

WNG states that the proposed change is not prohibited by an existing tariff and that it has sufficient capacity to accomplish the deliveries estimated as up to 10,100 Dth per day and annual volume of 2,000,000 Dth without detriment or disadvantage to its other customers.

Comment date: April 29, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

# 4. Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company [Docket No. CP96–241–000 ]

Take notice that on March 11, 1996, Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company (Tennessee), Post Office Box 2511, Houston, Texas 77252, filed a request with the Commission in Docket No. CP96-241-000 pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (NGA) for authorization to modify an existing receipt point to provide a delivery point for Hunt Petroleum Corporation (Hunt Petroleum), authorized in blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-413-000, all as more fully set forth in the request on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Tennessee proposes to establish a delivery point for Hunt Petroleum by modifying an existing receipt point located at Tennessee's Milepost 525A-101+0.33 in Plaquemines Parish, Louisiana. To accomplish this Tennessee would remove an existing check valve, fabricate, install, own, operate and maintain a tie-in assembly and install measurement charts at Hunt Petroleum's platform. Hunt Petroleum will own and Tennessee will inspect Hunt Petroleum's installation of a 2 inch orifice meter that would be used as the delivery meter for Hunt Petroleum's gas lift operations.

Comment date: April 29, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

5. Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation

[Docket No. CP96-242-000]

Take notice that on March 11, 1996, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation (Transco), Post Office Box 1396, Houston, Texas 77251, filed in Docket No. CP96–242–000 an application pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act for permission and approval to abandon an off system gas supply facility located in Hidalgo County, Texas, all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Transco proposes to abandon the facility, which consists of approximately 2.47 miles of small diameter pipeline and an associated meter located in the South McAllen field in Hidalgo County. Transco proposes to retire the line in place and to remove the meter. It is stated that the facility was constructed under Commission authorization in Docket Nos. CP78-541, CP79-506, and CP80-415. Transco requests abandonment authorization because the pipeline has developed a leak which would require repairs costing \$30,000 which Transco has determined is uneconomical. It is asserted that Transco has minimal gas supplies located behind the facility. It is further asserted that the abandonment would have no impact on Transco's customers or rate schedules.

Comment date: April 4, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

### 6. Northern Natural Gas Company

[Docket No. CP96-244-000]

Take notice that on March 13, 1996, Northern Natural Gas Company (Northern), 1111 South 103rd Street, Omaha, Nebraska 68124-1000, filed a prior notice request with the Commission in Docket No. CP96-244-000 pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (NGA) for authorization to abandon three small volume meter stations (farm taps) in Nebraska and Minnesota under Northern's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-401-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the NGA, all as more fully set forth in the request which is open to the public for inspection.

Northern proposes to abandon by removal three farm taps located in Johnson County, Nebraska, and Dodge County, Minnesota, and currently served by Peoples Natural Gas Company. Northern states that it would remove taps from farms belonging to Kevin Kuhlman in Johnson County,

Bernice Hackenmiller in Dodge County, and Ken and Dorothy Mensing in Dodge County, all who have requested the removals.

Comment date: April 29, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

### Standard Paragraphs

F. Any person desiring to be heard or make any protest with reference to said filing should on or before the comment date file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal **Energy Regulatory Commission by** Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this filing if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for the applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

G. Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after the issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefore, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a

protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act. Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–6823 Filed 3–20–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

## **ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

[FRL-5443-6]

Agency Information Collection Activities Up for Renewal; Request for Comments: Beach Closing Survey Report on the Great Lakes (OMB Control Number 2090–003)

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.), this notice announces that EPA is planning to submit the following continuing Information Collection Request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). Before submitting the ICR to OMB for review and approval, EPA is soliciting comments on specific aspects of the proposed information collection as described below.

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted on or before May 20, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Great Lakes National Program Office, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, G–9J, Chicago, IL 60604– 3590.

Request copy of Beach Closing Survey questionnaire from David C. Rockwell, Environmental Scientist at the above address or locate electronically at http://glnpogis2.r05.epa.gov/glnpo/ glnpo.html

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David C. Rockwell, phone (313) 353–1373; fax: (312) 353–2018; email: Rockwell.David@epamail.epa.gov
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Affected entities: Entities affected by this action are city and county public health agencies involved with monitoring bathing beach water quality.

*Title:* Beach Closing Survey Report on the Great Lakes, OMB Control Number 2090–0003, August 31, 1999.

Abstract: This information collection will provide a summary report on the number and extent of beaches closed in the Great Lakes Basin. The information

is used to respond to public inquiry about bathing beach recreational water quality. Response by county or city public health agencies to this collection of information is voluntary. The information collected consists of public records and is not of a sensitive nature.

A Federal agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are listed in 40 CFR Part 9 and 48 CFR Chapter 15. The Great Lakes National Program Office sends twelve questions (contact David Rockwell for copy) to county or city public health agency officials who maintain beach closing information as a matter of public record for the public bathing beaches under their jurisdiction. The twelve questions have been answered in prior years. The respondent is provided with a copy of the previous year questionnaire, along with the questionnaire for the current year, and asked to note if there were any beaches closed. Questions six and seven are answered every year. Other questions may not require answers if conditions or procedures have not changed. There have been approximately 100 inquiries sent with near 100 percent response. A summary report allows the Great Lakes National Program Manager, United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) to report promptly the annual status of beaches closed to anyone seeking this information. The EPA would like to solicit comments to: (i) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (ii) evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (iii) enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected: and (iv) minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Burden Statement: The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 30 minutes per questionnaire response.